

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 17-15701-F

---

ELBERT WALKER,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

JOHNSON SP WARDEN,

Respondent-Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Georgia

---

ORDER:

Elbert Walker moves for a certificate of appealability ("COA") and leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") in order to appeal the dismissal of his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus petition as time-barred. In order to obtain a COA, a movant must make "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). The movant satisfies this requirement by demonstrating that "reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). Because he has not made the requisite showing, Walker's motion for a COA is DENIED. Additionally, his motion for IFP status is DENIED AS MOOT.

---

/s/ Charles R. Wilson  
UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 17-15701-F

---

ELBERT WALKER,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

JOHNSON SP WARDEN,

Respondent-Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Georgia

---

Before: WILSON and ROSENBAUM, Circuit Judges.

BY THE COURT:

Elbert Walker has filed *pro se* a motion for reconsideration, pursuant to 11th Cir. R. 22-1(c) and 27-2, of this Court's June 28, 2018, order denying his motions for a certificate of appealability, leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal, and to take judicial action. Upon review, Walker's motion for reconsideration is DENIED because he has offered no new evidence or arguments of merit to warrant relief. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(2).