

No. 18-8006

FILED

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Curtis Evans — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

FILED
AUG 17 2018
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

VS.

State of Mississippi — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR Extraordinary Writ of Habeas Corpus

Via 5th Circuit C.D.A.
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Curtis Evans
(Your Name)

Camp - 29-G-Bldg-B-Zone #105
(Address)

Parchman, Ms. 38738
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Why i was denied due process, equal protection,
by being denied effective assistance of counsel,
the protection against compelled self incrimination,
the right to trial by jury, and the right to
confront witnesses.

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Assistant District Attorney, George Hoffman

Public Defender, Dawn Stough

Senior Judge Roger Clark

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW..... 1

JURISDICTION.....

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

CONCLUSION.....

INDEX TO APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A *Order of trial court*
- APPENDIX B *Order of state court of appeals*
- APPENDIX C
- APPENDIX D
- APPENDIX E
- APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES *Due Process, Equal Protection, Trial by Jury, protection against compelled self-incrimination, and Confront witnesses.*

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at 5th Cir. COA; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at Jackson, Mo District Court; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the Harrison County Circuit court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was approx. November 2017

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: Approx. Nov. 2017, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix requested not received.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 2-13-2018
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix B.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: Approx March 2018, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

②
"Reasons the writ will aid in the Court's appellate jurisdiction"

The writ will aid in the Court's appellate jurisdiction,
aiding the court in the knowledge of lower courts that
are allowing their officials to deny its citizens equal protection,
and due process of the laws, by imposing convictions, and
sentences, illegally, in violation of the constitution, by way
of involuntary guilty pleas, where the defendants, as myself
were not advised to their rights to trial by jury, to
confront witnesses, nor to the protection against compelled
self-incrimination.

6-28-2018

Respectfully Submitted,

Archie Brown, petitioner

"Exceptional circumstances warranting the Court's discretionary powers"

These exceptional circumstances warrants the Court's discretionary powers, because the constitution is violated and denied. These exceptional circumstances warrant the Court's discretionary powers, because i have presented the evidence of the constitutional violations in my case to the circuit court, to the state Appellate Court, to the state Supreme Court, to the District Court, and also to the United States Court of Appeals, and the Constitution is still denied in my case.

6-28-2018

Respectfully Submitted,
Victor C. Esnaola

6-28-2018

"Reasons for not making application to District Court"

my petition was denied by the District Court,
in Jackson, Mississippi in approximately November,
of 2014,

my appeal was denied by the 5th Circuit,
in approximately June of 2017

6-28-2018

Respectfully Submitted
Curtis C. Evans, Petitioner

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Due Process, Equal Protection, protection against compelled self-incrimination, trial by jury, and confront witnesses, also Assistance of Counsel.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

my court appointed counsel denied me to read the plea petition, did not advise me to the rights I would be waiving by pleading guilty, and did not object when the judge did not advise me to my rights.

"Why adequate relief cannot obtain in any other form or any other court"

A adequate relief could have been obtained in the petition for post-conviction relief and the numerous appeals ive filed, threw the circuit court, the state Appellate court, the state Supreme Court, the District court, and threw the United States court of appeal, but the constitution has been denied in my case, with the evidence of the states denial of my constitutional rights before each court, forcing me to file this petition with the Court.

6-28-2018,
Respectfully Submitted,
Charles C. Evans, petitioner.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Curtis Wanso

Date: 12/10/2018