

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

MAZE, PAUL D.  
Petitioner

vs.

No: 18-7959

RENEA TERRELL, ET AL.

---

PETITIONERS MOTION FOR RULE 44 REHEARING ENBANC/ RULE 22

Petitioner Mr. Paul D. Maze acting pro-se respectfully files this Petition for a rehearing of the Judgment entered and the decision by the Supreme Court on or about April 15, 2019.

Petitioner Paul D. Maze would further file this Petition for rehearing based upon the merits of his Writ of Certiorari filed on January 18, 2019, and would ask for a response.

Petitioner Paul D. Maze is unrepresented by Counsel in the following matter, and would ask for appointment of Counsel to represent him and does so in good faith. Petitioner Paul D. Maze would, if allowed, to do so, would submit this Petition for Rehearing and make it applicable to a specific justice, the Honorable Judge Sotomayor, regarding any decisions, per applicable Rule 22.

Petitioner Paul D. Maze would refer to the following grounds as required by Rule 29 of the Supreme Court and other Rules as they may apply to the pro-se filing herein.

FACTS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR REHEARING ENBANC

Petitioner states the following in support:

- (1). The district court has repeatedly denied Mr. Maze' access to the court and violated his due process by its failure(s) to properly address or liberally construe his pro-se status or its content(s) in all pleadings.
- (2). The district courts actions manifested a constant abuse of discretion and plain error of the law or federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- (3). The Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals declined to address Mr. Maze's fundamental rights to file using the inmate mailbox rule as mandated by Houston v. Lack, (citation omitted), or:
- (4). Both the district court in West Tennessee at (Jackson) and the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals charged Mr. Maze filing fee's for his complaint in the amount of \$350.00, then Mr. Maze was assessed another \$550.50 for appealing the district court decision and both courts refused to acknowledge him as pro-se or afford him any rights as the law requires and these facts require the consideration of this Court.

Respectfully submitted;

06-04-2019

Dated:

Mr. Paul D. Maze

Mr Paul D. Maze, Reg. #25428-076