

**In The
Supreme Court Of The United States**

HAYMOND, LESLIE ANN

Petitioner,

v.


HELMAND INVESTMENT, LLC,

Respondent.

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Directed to the Honorable John G. Roberts Jr.,
Chief Justice of the United States and Circuit Justice for the
United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

Leslie Ann Haymond, Pro Se
525K East Market Street #110
Leesburg, Virginia 20176
(571) 246-1009
leslieahaymond@gmail.com



To the Honorable Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., Circuit Justice for the United States Court of Appeals for the Supreme Court of Virginia:

The Petitioner, Leslie Ann Haymond, under this Court's Rules 13.5 and 22, respectfully requests a sixty (60) day extension of time to file its petition for writ of certiorari. This request, if granted, would extend the deadline from September 27, 2018 to November 26, 2018. The Petitioner will challenge the decision of the Supreme Court of Virginia in Leslie Ann Haymond v. Helmand Investment, LLC., 180080 (Va.S.C 2018), issued on April 18, 2018 (App. A). The Court's jurisdiction to review the Fourth Circuit's judgment rests on 28 U.S.C. § 1254, and its jurisdiction to review the preliminary-injunction order rests on the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a).

The Petitioner, on January 17, 2018 Appealed an Unlawful Detainer from Loudoun County Circuit Court to Supreme Court of Virginia for Lack of Subject-matter Jurisdiction.

The Supreme Court of Virginia denied the Appeal on April 18, 2018 (App. A).

The Petitioner, was diagnosed with Breast Cancer on April 24, 2018.

The Petitioner, filed her Petition for Rehearing or Rehearing *en banc* on May 2, 2018.

The Fourth Circuit denied a timely petition for rehearing or rehearing *en banc* on June 29, 2018 (App. B).

The Petitioner's mastectomy surgery was completed June 7, 2018.

The Petitioner is having reconstruction surgery on September 26, 2018.

The Petitioner is not a lawyer and her pleadings cannot be treated as such. This is clearly stated in *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519 (1972), a complaint, "however in artfully pleaded," must be held to "less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers" and can only be

dismissed for failure to state a claim if it appears "beyond doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his claim which would entitle him to relief." *Id.*, at 520-521, quoting *Conley v. Gibson*, 355 U.S. 41, 45-46 (1957). "[A] pro se petitioner's pleadings should be liberally construed to do substantial justice." *United States v. Garth*, 188 F.3d 99, 108 (3d Cir.1999).


Please take mandatory notice (Federal Rules of Evidence 201(d)) that "Petitioner should not be charged fees or costs for the lawful and constitutional right to petition this court in this matter in which she is entitled to relief; as it appears that the filing fee rule was originally implemented for fictions and subjects of the State; and, should not be applied to the Petitioner is a natural individual and entitled to relief." *Hale v. Henkel*; 201 U.S. 43.

"A constitutional provision that right and justice shall be administered according to such guarantees is mandatory upon the departments of government. Hence, it requires that a Cause shall not be heard before a prejudicial court; the word "prejudice", however, in the constitutional provision that justice shall be administered without prejudice. These guarantees cannot be destroyed, denied, abridged or impaired by legislative enactments." *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. 137, 1803.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Due to the Petitioner's illness and time needed for recuperation she prays the Court will grant her request for the sixty day extension of time to November 26, 2018.

Respectfully, submitted this 27th day of September 2018.


Leslie Ann Haymond
525K East Market #110
Leesburg, Virginia 20176