

# APPENDIX A

FILED: June 28, 2018

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 18-1027  
(5:13-cv-00315-BO)

---

JOHN B. LASCHKEWITSCH, as Administrator for the Estate of Ben  
Laschkewitsch

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

LINCOLN LIFE AND ANNUITY DISTRIBUTORS, INC., d/b/a Lincoln  
Financial Group

Defendant - Appellee

---

JUDGMENT

---

In accordance with the decision of this court, the judgment of the district  
court is affirmed.

This judgment shall take effect upon issuance of this court's mandate in  
accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 41.

/s/ PATRICIA S. CONNOR, CLERK

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

**No. 18-1027**

JOHN B. LASCHKEWITSCH, as Administrator for the Estate of Ben  
Laschkewitsch,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

LINCOLN LIFE AND ANNUITY DISTRIBUTORS, INC., d/b/a Lincoln  
Financial Group,

Defendant - Appellee.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, at  
Raleigh. Terrence W. Boyle, District Judge. (5:13-cv-00315-BO)

---

Submitted: June 19, 2018

Decided: June 28, 2018

---

Before NIEMEYER and KING, Circuit Judges, and HAMILTON, Senior Circuit Judge.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

John B. Laschkewitsch, Appellant Pro Se. Matthew Nis Leerberg, Raleigh, North  
Carolina, Bridget Warren, SMITH MOORE LEATHERWOOD LLP, Charlotte, North  
Carolina; Robert R. Marcus, BRADLEY ARANT BOULT CUMMINGS LLP, Charlotte,  
North Carolina, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

John B. Laschkewitsch appeals the district court's order denying his Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a), (b)(4) motion. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we grant leave to proceed in forma pauperis and affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *Laschkewitsch v. Lincoln Life & Annuity Distrib., Inc.*, No. 5:13-cv-00315-BO (E.D.N.C. Dec. 11, 2017). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*