

App. No. \_\_\_\_\_

---

IN THE  
**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

**DR. LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM,**

Petitioner,

v.

**APPLE, INC., ET AL.,**

Respondents,

---

ON APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A PETITION FOR A  
WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

---

**APPENDIX OF REFERENCED EXHIBIT  
IN ACCOMPANIMENT OF  
*PRO SE* PETITIONER'S APPLICATION TO EXTEND TIME TO  
FILE A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam  
*Pro Se Petitioner*  
222 Stanford Avenue  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
Tel: (650) 690-0995  
Fax: (650) 854-3393  
Email: laks22002@yahoo.com

Dated: October 17, 2018

*Pro Se Petitioner*  
*Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam*

## **APPENDIX OF REFERENCED EXHIBITS**

| <b>DESCRIPTION OF ENTRY</b> | <b>DATE</b>        | <b>RECORD ENTRY NO.</b> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Ninth Circuit Order         | September 20, 2018 | Ex. A                   |

## **Ex. A: Ninth Circuit Order**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**FILED**

SEP 20 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM.

No. 18-71335

LAKSHMI ARUNACHALAM,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE,

Respondent,

APPLE, INC., Assigns and Agents, and App  
Store Web Application Providers; et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

Before: SCHROEDER, SILVERMAN, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner has not demonstrated that this case warrants the intervention of this court by means of the extraordinary remedy of mandamus. *See Bauman v. U.S. Dist. Court*, 557 F.2d 650 (9th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition is denied.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

**DENIED.**