

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JUN 19 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ADIL HIRAMANNEK and RODA
HIRAMANNEK,

No. 17-15086
17-15087

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

D.C. No. 3:13-cv-00228-JD
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

v.

L. MICHAEL CLARK; et al.,

ORDER

Defendants-Appellees.

Before: SILVERMAN, CHRISTEN, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

Appellants' motion to settle or correct the record and for a further extension of time to file the opening brief (Docket Entry No. 22) is denied.

Appellants' motion to file an oversize brief (Docket Entry No. 24) is denied.

Appellants' motion to declare these consolidated appeals complex and to set a case management conference (Docket Entry No. 26) is denied.

The Clerk shall strike the opening brief submitted on April 20, 2018 (Docket Entry No. 21), and the substitute opening brief submitted on April 27, 2018 (Docket Entry No. 25).

Appellees' renewed motion to dismiss these consolidated appeals (Docket Entry No. 27) is granted. *See* 9th Cir. R. 42-1. These consolidated appeals are dismissed.

No motions for reconsideration, clarification, or modification of this order shall be filed or entertained. No further filings will be entertained in these closed cases.

DISMISSED.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUL 16 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ADIL HIRAMANNEK and RODA
HIRAMANNEK,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

L. MICHAEL CLARK; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 17-15086
17-15087

D.C. No. 3:13-cv-00228-JD
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

ORDER

Before: SILVERMAN, CHRISTEN, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

We have received and reviewed appellants' motion and supplemental motion to vacate the June 19, 2018 order (Docket Entry Nos. 36, 38), Roda HirananeK's declaration in support of appellants' motion (Docket Entry No. 37), and the opening brief submitted on July 5, 2018 (Docket Entry No. 39). Appellants' motion to vacate the June 19, 2018 order is denied (Docket Entry Nos. 36, 37, 38).

The mandate shall issue in due course.

No motions for reconsideration, clarification, or modification of this order shall be filed or entertained. No further filings will be entertained in these closed cases.

Appendix B

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

SEP 7 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ADIL HIRAMANNEK and RODA
HIRAMANNEK,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

L. MICHAEL CLARK; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 17-15086
17-15087

D.C. No. 3:13-cv-00228-JD
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

ORDER

The court's July 16, 2018 order stated that no motions for reconsideration, clarification, or modification of the order shall be filed or entertained, and no further filings will be entertained in these closed cases. Accordingly, the court will take no action on appellants' petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc, and motion for remand (No. 17-15086 Docket Entry Nos. 41, 43, and No. 17-15087 Docket Entry Nos. 43, 45).

These appeals remain closed.

FOR THE COURT:

MOLLY C. DWYER
CLERK OF COURT

By: Delaney Andersen
Deputy Clerk
Ninth Circuit Rule 27-7