

## **Appendix D**

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America, )  
                                  )  
                                  )  
Plaintiff,                    )  
                                  ) CR15-08178-02-PCT-SRB(DKD)  
vs.                            )  
                                  ) Phoenix, Arizona  
Nolan Lewis,                 )  
                                  ) May 31, 2017  
                                  ) 10:02 a.m.  
Defendant.                    )  
                                  )  
                                  )

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE SUSAN R. BOLTON, JUDGE

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

## RESTITUTION HEARING

## APPEARANCES:

## For the Government:

U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
By: Kiyoko Patterson, Esq.  
40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1200  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

## For the Defendant Nolan Lewis:

MICHAEL J. BRESNEHAN PC  
By: Michael J. Bresnahan, Esq.  
1761 E. McNair Drive, Suite 101  
Tempe, AZ 85283-5002

## Official Court Reporter:

Elizabeth A. Lemke, RDR, CRR, CPE  
Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse, Suite 312  
401 West Washington Street, SPC 34  
Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2150  
(602) 322-7247

Proceedings Reported by Stenographic Court Reporter  
Transcript Prepared by Computer-Aided Transcription

INDEX OF WITNESSES**MARILYN SCOTT:**

Direct examination by Ms. Patterson

Page 9

Cross examination by Mr. Bresnehan

Page 19

**U.S. PROBATION OFFICER DARREN STREICH:**

Direct examination by Ms. Patterson

Page 26

Cross examination by Mr. Bresnehan

Page 29

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

## EXHIBIT NO.:

## DESCRIPTION:

## RECEIVED:

Exhibit No. 1 MapQuest Directions  
from Tuba City, Arizona  
to Flagstaff, Arizona

Page 13

Exhibit No. 2 MapQuest Directions  
from Tuba City, Arizona  
to Phoenix, Arizona

Page 13

Exhibit No. 3 MapQuest Directions from  
Tonalea, Arizona to  
Phoenix, Arizona

Page 13

Exhibit No. 4 Claim for Native Health  
Care Compensation

Page 16

Exhibit No. 5 Receipts from Big Rock  
Trading Company

Page 16

CR15-08178-PCT-SRB

RESTITUTION HEARING 5-31-17

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 (Called to the order of court at 10:02 a.m.)

3 THE COURT: Good morning. Please sit down.

4 THE CLERK: Criminal case 15-8178, United States of  
5 America v. Nolan Lewis. Time set for Restitution Hearing.6 MS. PATTERSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Kiyoko  
7 Patterson on behalf of the United States.8 MR. BRESNEHAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Mike  
9 Bresnehan representing Nolan Lewis who is present in custody.10 THE COURT: Ms. Patterson, you may proceed with your  
11 evidence.

12 MR. BRESNEHAN: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt.

13 There is an issue that's come up and I think I need  
14 to make a record. It's something that I have been mulling  
15 over for the last 24 hours or so and I thought I'd better  
16 make a record of it at this point in time.17 And it may affect what we do today. It may not. But  
18 I should make the record, if I may.

19 THE COURT: Go right ahead.

20 MR. BRESNEHAN: Thank you.

21 Your Honor, as the Court knows, I was appointed to  
22 handle the appeal in this case but the restitution hearing was  
23 still hanging out there. The other -- the trial-level  
24 attorney Dana Carpenter had been granted leave to withdraw.

25 So it was my understanding I'm the only attorney

1 onboard at this point. And so I went over and saw Mr. Lewis.  
2 I began communicating with the AUSA about restitution issues  
3 and the exhibits they might have or other information and so  
4 forth and began working with my client to try to understand --  
5 and with the prosecutor -- to try and understand what the  
6 issues were and what position my client wanted to take with  
7 respect to that; and, again, also to see whether we might  
8 resolve this without a hearing.

9 I saw my client -- or I have seen my client now three  
10 times for a total of about two-and-a-half hours over the last  
11 week. And what struck me almost immediately at the first  
12 visit was how little response I got from him as we were  
13 talking about things. I had a sense that I had to explain  
14 things several times before he sort of got it and I'm not sure  
15 how much he got. And this continued. These three visits were  
16 over the past week or so.

17 The last visit was -- was as recently as yesterday.  
18 I had concerns. And after the first visit, I went back. We  
19 talked for, I think, about an hour on the second visit. I  
20 continued to have those concerns. We talked about the kinds  
21 of things that lawyers are supposed to ask their clients if  
22 they have concerns about their client's competency, like: How  
23 far did you go in school? Do you have trouble reading  
24 English? Have you ever had a head injury?

25 Those kinds of things.

1 anything like that.

2 THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure that she would know.

3 MR. BRESNEHAN: Okay. Oh, I see your point. Okay.

4 No, I did not. And maybe that's why I didn't see  
5 that one had been done. Okay. And maybe that -- maybe that's  
6 enough for the Court to --

7 THE COURT: I have no recollection of it. Maureen  
8 just saw it on the docket that it was requested and granted.

9 I can't even say as I sit here today that I ever saw  
10 it. But apparently, Mr. Carpenter, about a year ago, had  
11 concerns and those concerns were satisfied as a result of this  
12 evaluation that was done so that we were then able to proceed.

13 MR. BRESNEHAN: Were they -- if I may ask, Your  
14 Honor, were they satisfied to Mr. Carpenter's satisfaction?

15 THE COURT: I'm assuming. I don't remember. And  
16 obviously it didn't come to a hearing before me because  
17 Ms. Patterson doesn't know about it because it's sealed and ex  
18 parte.

19 MR. BRESNEHAN: And so it sounds as though  
20 Mr. Carpenter satisfied himself and didn't take it any  
21 further, perhaps?

22 THE COURT: Perhaps.

23 MR. BRESNEHAN: Yes.

24 THE COURT: And that's, you know, just based on the  
25 fact that Ms. Patterson doesn't know about it and she wouldn't

CR15-08178-PCT-SRB

RESTITUTION HEARING 5-31-17

1 know about it until -- unless Mr. Carpenter requested a  
2 hearing on competency.

3 So, you know, I think we can all conclude from our  
4 experience that the evaluation did not -- that the evaluation  
5 satisfied Mr. Carpenter's concerns.

6 MR. BRESNEHAN: All right. Nevertheless, I guess I  
7 have to make my own record based upon my own observations.

8 And so I'm making that record at this point in time  
9 and I wanted to propose something. The victim's family is  
10 here today. They have traveled a long distance and that's not  
11 lost on me at all.

12 I wonder if we could proceed provisionally today and  
13 wrap this up provisionally. And perhaps the Court could grant  
14 me five days leave to -- or leave to speak with Mr. Carpenter,  
15 maybe look at the report which I haven't received yet; or at  
16 least I don't think I have received it. I certainly haven't  
17 looked at it.

18 Then have a chance to look at the report and then  
19 take a position in writing at that point in time. And if my  
20 conclusions are the same as Mr. Carpenter's, the Court's  
21 orders here would stand.

22 But if I file something and the Court were convinced  
23 that there's reasonable probability that he should be  
24 examined, the Court could so order and vacate any findings in  
25 the hearing.

1                   That's the best I can do in terms of recommendations.

2                   THE COURT: All right. So, Ms. Patterson, you may  
3 proceed.

4                   MS. PATTERSON: Your Honor, the government calls  
5 Marilyn Scott.

6                   THE COURT: And as Ms. Scott comes forward, my  
7 recollection is -- well, it's not my recollection.

8                   My review of the record is that the -- there's  
9 approximately \$4,200 of restitution at issue.

10                  At the time that sentencing went forward, there was  
11 no dispute as to \$1,280 in restitution.

12                  Let me -- are you still seeking the entire remaining  
13 balance or is it something different?

14                  MS. PATTERSON: No, Your Honor. The government will  
15 be seeking \$3,500.94.

16                  After an additional review of all the documentation  
17 and the docket and talking with Ms. Scott, the government --  
18 our figure has since changed because there was some  
19 inaccuracies in the accounting.

20                  THE COURT: Okay. So it's gone down?

21                  MS. PATTERSON: It's gone down, Your Honor. So even  
22 though the \$1,200 has already been ordered, in addition, the  
23 government is seeking \$3,500.94.

24                  THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

25                  (Witness duly sworn)