

18-7598

NO. 17-51125

ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

WASHINGTON, DC.

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

NOV 23 2018

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

CAROL JENNIFER MORRIS, Fed. Reg. # 76547-086; TDCS # 488243, PETITIONER PROSE,

V.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION 28 USC § 1746

I, SWEAR OR AFFIRM UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT BECAUSE OF MY POVERTY, I CANNOT PREPAY THE DOCKET FEES OF MY APPEAL OR POST BOND FOR THEM. I PROCEEDED IN FORMA PAUPERIS IN, NO. 12-5109; JURE MORRIS (COURT OF CLAIMS, WASH., D.C.); AND USDC NO-97-CE-10; U.S.A. V. CAROL JENNIFER MORRIS. TITLE 18 USC § 3006(A), JUSTICE CLAIM ACT, IN FEDERAL COURT. SEE, JURE STONE, 118 F.3d AT B. 1034 (6th Cir. 1997). I BELIEVE I AM ENTITLED TO REDRESS. I SWEAR UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER UNITED STATES LAWS, THAT MY ANSWERS ARE TRUE AND CORRECT. SEE, HOUJ. V. U.S., 16.

SIGNED: Carol Jennifer Morris
Carol Jennifer Morris, Pro Se

DATE: DECEMBER 28th 2018
NOVEMBER 28th 2018

MY ISSUES ARE: 1) WHETHER OR NOT MORRIS IS ENTITLED TO JUST COMPENSATION UNDER USCA COMMERCE CLAUSE, TITLE 28 USC § 1358, ENCLAVED DOMINION STATUTE - USCA CONST. ARTICLES 5, 14; AND 2) WHETHER OR NOT, MORRIS IS ENTITLED TO CORAM VOBIS RELIEF FROM USDC NO-97-CE-10'S 1997 FEDERAL CONVICTIONS AND SENTENCES?

Morris 1691829

No. NO. 17-51125

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C.

CAROL JOHNSON MORRIS, Eq. # 76547-080 PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Carol Johnson Morris Eq. # 76547-080, Pro Se
(Your Name)
MOUNTAIN VIEW UNIT-D2-#4
2305 RAUSON RD.

(Address)

GATESVILLE, TEXAS 76528
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTIONS

1) IN RETALIATION FOR PETITIONER CROOK JONNEWE MORRIS, Fed. Reg. # 76547-080; DCJ-76 # 488243, FILING HER, TITLE 28 USC § 351; JUDICIAL COMPLAINT, Nov. 6, 2009 (5th Cir.), WAS SHE DENIED HER ENTITLEMENT TO JUST COMPENSATION? TRC STATE RULE 37, CASE No. 0231739's, MCAD v. BP America Prod., CV-44864, 282 S.W.3d 215 (Tex. App. - EASTLAND 2009); RE: MCAD v. CROOK JONNEWE MORRIS, TX 13106, FILED OCTOBER 15, 2012; COMPARE TO, BP America Prod. v. BURTON, Sec. OF JUST., 127 S. Ct. 638 (2006), UNDER THE TITLE 28 USC § 1358, ENLIGHTENED DOMINION STATUTE OF THE USCA COMMERCE CAUSE, ART 1 § 8, CL. 3? CONST. AMEND. 5,

2) SUBSEQUENTLY, WHETHER OR NOT, MORRIS, WAS DENIED CORAM NOBIS RELIEF IN, MO-97-CR-10, U.S.A. v. CROOK JONNEWE MORRIS, FILED MARCH 20, 1997; 2nd AMENDED JUDGMENT FACT, July 14, 2004, ANOTHER ENTITLEMENT UNDER PROVISIONS, TITLE 28 USC §§ 2255, 2241(c)(3), AND § 1651(a), CORAM NOBIS, ALL WELLS ACT, UNDER THE 5th, 6th, 8th, AND 14th AMENDMENTS TO DUE PROCESS?

NO.

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

WASHINGTON, D. C.

CAROL JOHNE E. MORRIS, Fed. Reg. # 76547-080, TDCJ - CO # 488243, PETITIONER Pro Se

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondents

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

PARTIES

RESPONDENTS

CAROL JOHNE E. MORRIS, Pro Se

Fed. Reg. # 76547-080, TDCJ - CO # 488243

Mountain View Unit - B2 - # 4

2305 Rawson Rd.

CADDOVILLE, TEXAS 76528

Hon. ROBERT J. JAMES, U.S. District Judge

Western District Of Texas

200 East Wall Street - Ste. # 100

MELAND, TEXAS 79701

Mr. Joseph H. Gray, Jr.

U.S. Asst. Attorney General

601 N.W. Loop 410 - Ste. # 600

SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78216

LIST OF PARTIES

CAROL JOHNELE MORRIS, PETITIONER

Fed. Reg. # 76547-080, TDCJ # 488243

Mountain View Unit - D2 - #4

2305 Rausch Road

Catsville, Texas 76528

RESPONDENTS

Hon. Robert J. J. U.S. Dist. Judge

UNITED STATES COURT HOUSE

200 East Wm St. - Ste. #100

McLennan, Texas 76701

Mr. Joseph H. Gay, Jr.

Asst. U.S. Attorney General

601 N. W. Loop 410 - Ste. #600

SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78216

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

WASHINGTON, D.C.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

PETITIONER CAROL JONHUE HARRIS, FRO. Rq. #76547-080; TCJ-18 #488243, RESPECTFULLY
PRAYS A WRIT OF CERTIORARI (WITH APPENDICES A-C; EXHS. #1-5 IN SUPPORT) ISSUE TO
REVIEW, SEPTEMBER 11, 2018's, JUSCIENT BELOW.

OPINIONS BELOW

FOR CASES FROM FEDERAL COURTS

- 1) FIFTH CIRCUIT'S ORDER FROM USDC NO-97-CR-10-1, FILED SEPTEMBER 11, 2018; APPX. A;
- 2) NO. 16-51143's SUMMARY CAUTIONS, FILED MARCH 8, 2017; APPX. B;
- 3) SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES CLERK CORRESPONDENCE, DATED JAN. 14, 2016; APPX. C. (encl.)
- 4) TRC's STATE RULE 37, AUSTY C. STOPHANS: LOCATION PLAT ("AREA E"); EXH. #1;
- 5) TRC's STATE RULE 37: CASE No. 0231739; LOCATION PLAT ("AREA E"); EXH. #2;
- 6) USDC NO-08-CV-171; HARRIS V. CITY OF MICHAHO, TEXAS; (HCRB); AUSTY STOPHANS,
ET AL., DISTRICT CLERK'S CORRESPONDENCE DATED, JAN. 31, 2013; EXH. #3;
- 7) S. Ct. CLERK'S OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE, DATED JULY 11, 2018; EXH. #4;
- 8) STOP 1: STOP 2: GELAMCOS; 2018157558, DATED JULY 2, 2018; AUGUST 31, 2018; EXH. #5

JURISDICTION

THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION PURSUANT TO TITLE 28 USC §1254(1).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS

UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

USCA CONST. ART. III § 2

USCA COMMERCE CLAUSE, ARTICLE I § 8, CL. 3

USCA CONST. AMENDS. 5, 6, AND 14

USCA CONST. AMEND. V - JUST COMPENSATION

TITLE 28 USC § 351, JUDICIAL COMPLAINT

TITLE 28 USC § 1358, Eminent Domain Statute

42 USC § 1983, Civil Rights Complaint

SUPREME COURT RULES

S. Ct. Rules 10-14

FED. RULES OF APP. PROC., RULES 43, 35, 40, AND 41

FEDERAL CRIMINAL CODES

TITLE 18 USC §§ 922(g), 922(a)(1), 2K2.1(a)(1)(1996); 2K2.1(b)(1991); 2K2.11

TITLE 18 USC §§ 3553, 3584 - USSC § 561.3(b); U.S.S.C. (Guidelines)

STATUTES

TITLE 28 USC § 1651(a), Federal Writ of Habeas Corpus

§ 2253, Certificate of Appealability

§ 2241, Federal Writ of Habeas Corpus

§ 2255, Federal Motion To Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Judicial Sentence

TEXAS STATUTES

V.T.C.A., TEXAS PENAL CODES § 32.21(a)(1)(A) (Version Supp. 1989); 12.42(d); 12.42(a)(2);

TEX. PENAL CODE § 31.10

(cont'd)

V.A.C.C.P. REC. 11.07

Vernon's Civil Practice: REMOVAL CODE § 16.023-.027

LIST OF AUTHORITIES

Abbott v. BP America Exploration, 781 F. Supp. 2d 453 (S.D. Tex.: 2011)	2
Ball v. U.S., 105 S. Ct. 1668 (1985)	7
Business, Ex parte, 739 S.W. 2d 51 (Tex. Ct.: 1987)	6
In re BP America, 97 S.W. 3d 366 (Tex. App. [Hou.: 14 th Dist.: 2003])	3
BP America Prod. v. Burton, Soc. of Taxation, 127 S. Ct. 638 (2006)	2
BP America Prod. v. Hargrave, 349 S.W. 3d 59 (Tex. App.: San Antonio: 2011)	3
Berkey v. Germany, 117 S. Ct. (1997)	1
Bustion v. Quintero, 524 F. 3d 17672 (5 th Cir.: 2008)	7-8
Carmel v. U.S., 77 F. 3d 1, 118 S. Ct. 2007 (1998)	6
Chubb, Ex parte, 732 S.W. 2d 642 (Tex. Ct.: 1987)	6
Cook v. TDCI, 37 F. 3d 166 (5 th Cir.: 1994)	4
Creekmore v. Atty. Gen. of Texas, 138 F. Supp. 2d 817 (E.D. Tex.: 2001)	8
Cronin v. U.S., 104 S. Ct. ()	9
Coulay v. U.S., 349 F. 3d (5 th Cir.: 2003)	5
Douglas v. Somers, 101 S. Ct. 183 (1980)	1
Douson v. U.S., 603 F. 3d 1143 (1979)	9
Duck v. Daborn, 633 F. Supp. 2d 317 (S.D. Tex.: 2008)	10-11
Drobnik v. U.S., 955 F. 3d 990 (5 th Cir.: 1993)	11
Drake v. Hall, 124 S. Ct. 1847 (2004), cert. denied	8
Drizhen v. Ex parte, 851 S.W. 2d 351 (Tex. Ct.: 1993)	6
Esoboue v. U.S., 357 F. 3d 532 (5 th Cir.: 2006)	10
Evitts v. Lucey, 105 S. Ct. 830 (1985)	7
Garcia v. Ex parte, 578 S.W. 2d 191 (Tex. Ct.: 1979)	5
Glover v. U.S., 121 S. Ct. 696 (2001)	9
Gorodsky v. U.S., 304 F. 3d 761 (7 th Cir.: 2002)	9
Gordon v. U.S., 102 S. Ct. 2485 (1982)	6
Hall v. Cockerell, 306 F. 3d 257 (5 th Cir.: 2002), per curiam: 325 F. 3d 867 (5 th Cir.: 2003), eneg. 306 F. 3d 814 (5 th Cir.: 2003)	8

Guerra; U.S.v.	9
Hess; U.S.v. 150 F.3d 1143 (5 th Cir. 1998)	9
Hicks OF Guerra, 207 F.3d 163 (5 th Cir. 2000)	1
Hohn v. U.S., 118 S.Ct. 1969 (1998)	2
JZEN v. Catalina, 398 F.3d 263 (5 th Cir. 2005)	3
Jackson v. Viqueira, 443 U.S. 307 (1979)	9
Johnson v. Mississippi, 91 S.Ct. 1778 (1971)	5
Johnson v. Mississippi, 108 S.Ct. 1981 (1988)	9
Jurimex De v. Suro, 819 S.W.2d 466 (Tex. 1991)	2
Jurimex White v. NEDDC, 219 F.Supp.2d 20 (D.D.C. 2002)	3
Jones; U.S.v., 119 S.Ct. 40 (1999)	5
Koo; U.S.v., 310 F.3d 787 (5 th Cir. 2003)	5
Kucile v. Chavez USA, Inc., 129 S.Ct. 2075 (2005)	5
Malone v. Cook, 109 S.Ct. 1923 (1989)	6
Marion v. Ferguson, 735 F.Supp.2d 607 (S.D. Tex. - 2009)	10
Maycock; U.S.v., 23 F.3d 888 (4 th Cir. 1994)	5
Mc Connell; U.S.v., 469 F.3d 386 (5 th Cir. 2005) ; Ex parte Hume, 330 S.W.2d 610 (Ca.-2010)	2
MCAB v. BP America Prod. Co., CV 44, 864, 282 S.W.2d 215 (Tex. App. - Eastland 2009), 2010 2, 10	
Tex APPLS. LEVUS 750, 131 S.Ct. 2097 (2011)	
Morgan; U.S.v., 346 U.S. 502, 74 S.Ct. 247 (1954)	6
Morris; Ex parte Carol Tompkins, 800 S.W.2d 225 (Ca. App. - 1990), enmg. denied Feb. 6, 1991	2
Morris v. Prosser, 97 Fed. Appx. 79 (5 th Cir. 2004)	1
Morris v. Stephens, 599 Fed. Appx. APPLS, 148-49, (2015) U.S. APPLS. 5189	10-11
Morris v. U.S.A., 258 Fed. Appx. 696 (5 th Cir. 2007)	10
Morris v. U.S.A., 123 S.Ct. 5 (2002) (two cases)	1
Murray v. Chavez, 106 S.Ct. 11 2644 (1986)	6
Pool; Ex parte, 138 S.W.2d 285 (Ca. - 1987)	7
Dumpp v. Hest, 129 S.Ct. 1036 (9 th Cir. 2009), 477 F.3d	10-11

RAVIGLE V. SEOTT, 43 F. 2d 221 (5 th Cir. 1995)	6
RELAND - OLIVIERA; U.S.V.	9
SEARS; U.S.V., 599 F. 2d 78	7
SEARCE V. KOTHA, 118 S. Ct. 978 (1999)	4
STOKES V. PROSECUTOR, 744 F. 2d 480 (5 th Cir. 1984)	8
STUCKLEMAN V. WASHINGTON, 104 S. Ct.	6
TAYLOR V. U.S., 110 S. Ct. 2143 (1990)	6
VAULEUR; U.S.V., 270 F. Supp. 2d 1318 (D. Utah 2003)	9
WATSON V. City of Moultrie, Texas, 24 S. W. 2d 853 (Tex. App. - El Paso - 2000)	3
WEINER V. McKEE, 733 F. 2d 1103 (5 th Cir. 1984)	6
WILLIAMS V. COLLINS, 802 F. Supp. 1530 (W. D. Tex. - 1992)	7
WILLIS V. COLLINS, 989 F. 2d 189 (5 th Cir. 1993)	8
WINSBY; JURE, 397 U.S. 358, 90 S. Ct. 1068 (1970)	8
WITTE V. U.S., 115 S. Ct. 2205 (1995)	10

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINION BELOW	i
JURISDICTION	ii
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS	iii
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	1-10
REASONS FOR GRANTING WRIT	2-10
CONCLUSION	10-11
PROOF OF SERVICE	12

INDEX OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: FIFTH Circuit's, NO. 17-51125; USDC HO-97-CR-10's, September 11, 2018 Order;
APPENDIX B: FIFTH Circuit's, NO. 16-51143's; USDC HO-97-CR-10, March 8, 2017 Order;
APPENDIX C: S. Ct. Clerk's correspondence, dated Jan. 14, 2016

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

AMENDMENT APPENDIX V

1) No person shall be held to a capital or otherwise infamous offense unless on presentment of an indictment by a grand jury... nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself; nor deprived of life, liberty or property without due course of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use without just compensation.

AMENDMENT VI

a) In all criminal prosecutions the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury of the state and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have previously ascertained by law and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with witnesses against her; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in her favor... and to have the assistance of counsel.

AMENDMENT XIV

3) SECTION 1... No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abrogate the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States... nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

I. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

MARCH 20, 1997, DEFENDANT CAROL JONWENE MOORE, TDCJ-16 #488243, WAS FEDERALLY INDICTED FOR VIOLATIONS: 1) FELONY IN POSSESSION OF A FIREARM (3 COUNTS), UNDER § 922(g), AND 2) FALSE STATEMENT IN ACQUISITION OF A FIREARM, (1 COUNT) UNDER § 922(a)(6), BY PRAWNING IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (HOUSTON/OAKSSA DIV.), Hon. ROYAL FERGUSON, PRESIDENT. JANUARY 27, 1998, MOORE READ HER ALLOCUTION STATEMENT IN OPEN COURT, AND WAS SENTENCED TO, FOUR (4): 41- MONTHS; 3 yrs. SUPERVISED RELEASE, SENTENCES IMPOSED TO RUN CONCURRENTLY. REVIEW, NO-97-CR-10's DEQ. JUDGMENT, p. 2, FILED JAN. 29, 1998, SPECIFICALLY, RE: USDC NO-97-CR-10; U.S.A. v. CAROL JONWENE MOORE.

MAY 14, 2001, PETITIONER MOORE FILED HER MOTION FOR SUBSTITUTION OF PARTIES, PURSUANT TO, FED. R. CIV. PROC., RULE 25, AND FRAP RULE 43, IN THE DISTRICT COURT. JULY 28, 2004, PETITIONER MOORE, Fed. Reg. # 76547-080; TDCJ-16 #488243, SUCCESSFULLY OBTAINED HER IMMEDIATE RELEASE: § 2241, H-22-CV-4878; MOORE v. PERSCOTT, 92 Fed. Appx. 74 (5th Cir. 2004). COMPARE TO, BP ADMIN. REMEDIES 9-11, DATED MARCH 20, 2003. TITLE 18 USC § 922(g); 922(a)(6); 2K2.1(a)(6); U.S.S.C. § 561.3(b); TITLE 28 USC § 3553. SEE, HELES OF GUERRA, 207 F.3d (5th Cir. 2000), U.S.A. CIVILICE CHARGE, RET. 1st CL. 3. 28th 1358.

FEBRUARY 2005, PETITIONER MOORE FILED HER BIVENS ACTION; NO-05-CV-023; MOORE v. U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL, ALBERTO GONZALES, ALLEGING FALSE ARREST, FALSE IMPRISONMENT, MALICIOUS PROSECUTION, INTENTIONAL INFLECTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS, IN VIOLATIONS OF HER CONSTITUTIONAL DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION, CONSTITUTING CELLER AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. COMPARE-APPELLATE NOS. 98-50139; NO. 98-50466; NO. 03-50024; MOORE v. U.S.A.; MOORE v. COCKROLL, 123 S. Ct. 5 (2002) (TWO CASES), CERT. DENIED. REVIEW, AND COMPARE TO, NO-05-CV-023's MOTION TO STRIKE, PP. 2-3, FILED FEB. 2006, IN THE DISTRICT COURT.

DECEMBER 22, 2008, PLAINTIFF MOORE FILED HER, '1983 CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT; MOTION FOR WRIT ENFORCEMENT JUDGMENT; THEIR RESPECTIVE PETITIONERS PERSONALLY. RE: USDC NO-08-CV-171; MOORE v. City of HOUSTON, TEXAS, ET AL. IN THE SAME COURT. COMPARE, TRC's STATE RULE 37's; LOCATION PLAT: (AREA E'), CASE NO. 0231739; EXHS. 1 and 2, SPECIFICALLY. COMPARE TO, JURY CLERK'S CORRESPONDENCE, DATED JAN. 31, 2013; EXH. 3, ENCLOSED. SEE, DEHUN V. SPARKS, 101 S. Ct. 183 (1980). THE SAME CONSPIRATORS THAT DEPRIVED MOORE OF HER ENTITLEMENT TO JUST COMPENSATION, DENIED MOORE HER ENTITLEMENT TO CORAM NOBIS RELIEF. BERRY, 117 S. Ct. Moore #1681849

AMPLIFICATION

In Hohn v. U.S., 118 S. Ct. 1969 (1998), THE SUPREME COURT HELD, "[I]T HAS JURISDICTION TO REVIEW ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI, A DENIAL OF APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPEALABILITY UNDER THE ANTI-TERROISM AND EFFECTIVE DEATH PENALTY ACT (AEDPA). Compare, USDC NO-15-CV-0783, PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI ADDRESSED TO THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK (WASHINGTON, D.C.), MISFILED IN THE DISTRICT COURT'S, July 24, 2015 ORDER DENYING CORAM NOBIS RELIEF. NO. 17-51125's Order (5th Cir.), FILED SEPTEMBER 11, 2018; APPV. A. SEE, EQ., U.S. v. GUERRA, 187 Fed. Appx. 414 (C.A. 5-2006); compare to, U.S. v. Mc COWAN, 469 F. 2d 386 (5th Cir. 2006). SEE, JOHNSON v. HS., 108 S. Ct. 1981 (1988); EX PARTE CAROL JOHNSON MORRIS, 800 S.W. 2d 225 (Tex. Ct. - 1990), MOTION FOR RELEG. JOURNAL, Feb. 6, 1991; STATE Writ: WR NO. CR 5793-I, FILED OCTOBER 6, 2010; compare to, CR 5793-I's STATE ANSWER TO OPPOSITION, RR VOL. II-PP. 216-17, pp. 6-8, SPECIFICALLY, FILED OCTOBER 21, 2010. Tex. PRACTICE CODE § 32.21 (a)(1)(X) (Various Supp. 1989). V.A.C.C.P. Art. 11.07, U.S.C.A. CONST. AMNO. 6. TITLE 28 USC § 1651(a), CORAM NOBIS. USCA CONST. Art. III § 2.

II. REASONS FOR GRANTING WRIT

In Berkey v. Cantelero, 117 S. Ct. 1793 (1997), THE SUPREME COURT HELD, "JUDGE STANDING TO CALL IN RELATED LITIGATION SHOULD BE DISQUALIFIED. In Dowd v. Sparks, 101 S. Ct. 1983 (1980), THE SUPREME COURT HELD, "DISTRICT COURT JUDGE ILLEGITIMATELY ISSUED TEMPORARY RESTRAINING TO CONSPIRATORS, I.E. OIL COMPANIES TO DRILL FOR OIL AND GAS, 2 1/2 qrs. IN VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF'S SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS TO HER PROPERTIES AND MINERALS. SEE, BP America Prod. Co. v. SEC. OF INTERIOR BUREAU, 127 S. Ct. 638 (2006). SEE, HCAO v. BP America Prod. Co., CV 44,864, 282 S.W. 2d 215 (Tex. App. - EASTLAND - 2009), 131 S. Ct. 2097, CERT. DENIED. USCA CONNORCE CLAUSE, Art. 1 § 8, CL. 3. Compare to, JUPITER OIL v. SHAW, 819 S.W. 2d 466 (Tex. 1991). SEE, ABOTT v. BP EXPLORATION, 781 F. Supp. 2d (S.D. Tex. 2011), THAT DISTRICT COURT HOLDING, "BP AMERICA FEARFULLY OBTAINED DRILLING PERMITS. REVIEW, TO 13106; HCAO v. CAROL JOHNSON MORRIS, TDCJ TO # 1681899, FILED OCTOBER 15, 2012, IN THE 142ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT, HOCKLEY COUNTY, TEXAS. THE MINERALS SUBJECT OF: (1) ON "C11", THE CITY OF HOCKLEY, TEXAS ILLEGITIMATELY ISSUED TO THE STATE OF COLORADO (R-O-W LICENSE-DC MORRIS # 1691899

#17679 Vol. 1910 Pg. 176) EVICTED September 11, 2001 ("911"), upon Morris's, lot 2 Block 15 53' S: 1109' - 1111 E. TULLOCH AVE. (A.K.A. "SAVOY RESTAURANT"), MOODY ADDITION; AND 2) TRC's STATE RULE 37, CASE #0231739; LOCATION Plat: (AREA E'), 467' W 2575' N; OIL: CMS W/SE: DOC #26439, VOL. 2458, PG 332; E/2 of SE/4 of SECTION 36 BLOCK 39; DEER DR: LOTS 7: 8, VOL. , PG., 2301 E. Kentucky Ave. MICHIGAN Co. TEXAS, T: PRR Co. Survey (GREENWOOD ADDITION), ETAL., BELONG TO, PETITIONER MORRIS, Fed. Eq. #76547-080, SEE JUDICIAL WATCH v. NERAC, 219 F. Supp. 2d 20 (D.C. 2002); COMPARE, TO, THREE BP AMERICA, 97 S.W. 3d (Tex. App. - Houston [14th Dist.] 2003; COMPARE TO, BP AMERICA v. MARSHELL, 342 S.W. 3d 59 (Tex. App. - 2011). SEE, EQ. THREE EXXON MOBIL, 153 S.W. 3d 11.3 AT 608 (Tex. App. - AMARILLO 2004); SEE, WALTON v. City of MIAMI, 24 S.W. 3d 853 (Tex. App. - EL PASO 2000). COMPARE TO, BP AMERICA PERO. v. BUETON, 127 S. Ct. 638 (2006). USCA Commerce Clause, ART. 1 § 8, CL. 3, TITLE 28 USC § 1358, ENCL. 1 DONAHUE STATUTE, REFER TO, NO. 12-8999; MORRIS v. SOC. OF INSURORS, LETTER, EXH. #14, STORED May 2, 2013.

CONSEQUENTLY, FEDERAL AND STATE EMPLOYERS WANTONLY AND INTENTIONALLY SUBJECTED PETITIONER MORRIS TO EXTREME DEGREES OF EMOTIONAL DURESS, VIOLATIONS OF HOME ACCESS TO COURTS WHILE PERFECTING HER APPLICATION(S) FOR WRITS OF CORAM NOBIS. REVIEW, USDC NO-08-CV-171'S CLAIMS: REQUEST FOR RELIEF (pp. 2-9), SPECIFICALLY: U.S. v. William Carter, NO-06-CV-150, FILED IN THE DISTRICT COURT, September 28, 2009. SEE, TIZEN v. CATALINA, 398 F.3d (5th Cir.). THE GOVERNMENT USED FEDERAL AND STATE INFORMANTS TO TRY TO GIVE PETITIONER MORRIS, HIV/AIDS AND TO KILL HER. CONSIDER, MORRIS'S, September 11, 2014's CORRESPONDENCE TO, FORMER DOJ Regional Director, Mr. Eric GUERRERO, ASSERTING SHE WAS NOT INMATE MARGARET OLDS, Fed. P. Civ. Proc. Rule 35(b), NOR 5K1 NOTION. September 15, 2014, MORRIS WROTE, FORMER U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL, Mr. ROBERT PITHAN (CHIEF, WHITE-COLLAR CRIMES DIV., SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, REQUESTED HE INVESTIGATE THE THEFT OF HER HILBERALS AND REQUESTED TO PROSECUTE. REVIEW, NO. 12-5109; THREE MORRIS, PETITION FOR HAMDANUS, ITS EXHIBITS, ETC., FILED IN THE FEDERAL CLAIMS COURT (WASHINGTON, D.C.), IFP GRANTED: NO. 11-50805 (5th Cir.); THREE MORRIS AND ITS EXHIBITS, 17 TITLE 28 USC § 351, JUDICIAL COMPLAINT: NO. 09-51052; MORRIS v. JUDICIAL (Nov. 6, 2009), ALLEGING CORRUPTION AND INCOMPETENCE. SEE, JOHNSON v. MESS, 91 S. Ct. 978 (1971). THE SUPREME COURT HELD,

"JUDGE WHO WAS SUBJECT OF COMPLAINT AND LITIGANT BY DEFENDANT CANNOT PRESIDE."
Consequently, USDC HO-97-CR-10's Nov. 7, 1997 Psychiatric Report, Docket Entry was
MODIFIED, October 17, 2014 AND HER, Jan. 28, 1998's, Forum PSR WAS MODIFIED, October
20, 2014. Step 1 and Step 2. CIRCUMSTANCES: "2015019648, DATED October 21, 2014; "2015031854,
DATED October 22, 2014, SPECIFICALLY; "2015038691, DATED October 29, 2014 - October 20, 2014.
CONSIDER, Morris's, December 17, 2014's SAFE PERSONS COMPLAINT, NO. 15-5662; Morris v. Stephens
PETITION FOR CERTIORARI DENIED, October 19, 2015; NO. 15-51076's; USDC HO-14-CV-026; Morris
v. Stephens, PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI (NO. 14-50443's, Brief On Appeal (pp. 1-6);
Morris v. Stephens, 599 Fed. App. 148-49, U.S. App. LEXIS 5189; (COA) GRANTED IN PART;
DENIED IN PART), March 31, 2015, WAS STOLEN August 10, 2017. Prior to, Morris's
NO. 16-51143's, PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI ADDRESSED TO THE SUPREME COURT'S
CLERK'S OFFICE; HO-97-CR-10's WORKSHEET, Orig. Judgment, Filed Jan. 29, 1998; 2ND
Amended Judgment, Filed July 14, 2004; AND Mr. DAVID GREENHAW'S, PSI OBJECTIONS,
DATED, December 17, 1997, AND Amended OBJECTIONS UNDER §561.3, DATED Jan. 15, 1998,
EXHIBITS marked: "1-5, WERE STOLEN, CONSEQUENTLY, ITS October 16, 2016's
Brief On Appeal (COA) WAS DENIED BY, Hon. EDITH JONES, JUSTICE, THE SAME JUDGE
MORRIS FILED FOR, TITLE 28 USC §351 JUDICIAL COMPLAINT WITH, Nov. 6, 2009. Morris's
March 20, 2017's MOTION FOR RETURNING EU BANK WAS STOLEN. COMPARE TO, NO. 16-
51143's Order, Filed March 8, 2017; APPV B, ENCL. CONSEQUENTLY, NO. 17-51125's DOCKET
NUMBER WAS FILED IN, USDC HO-97-CR-10. COMPARE TO, Sup. Ct. Clerk's CORRESPOND-
ENCE, DATED July 11, 2017. MOST RECENTLY, "2254 W-18-CV-158; (CIV. NO. 20,484-18;
CE 36,894-D; EXPARTE MORRIS v. TEXAS Bd. OF P. P.'s); MORRIS v. TEXAS Bd. OF PERSONS;
PAROLE, ITS MEMORANDUM OF LAW (pp. 1-12); ITS EXHIBITS; ATTACHMENTS, WERE
STOLEN TWICE, JUNE 1, 2018 AND JUNE 11, 2018. SEE, COOK v. TDCJ, PLANNING,
37 F. 3d 166 (5TH Cir. 1994), THAT COURT OF APPEALS HOLDING, "THE TEXAS Bd. OF P. P.'s
SHOULD NOT CONSIDER VOID CONVICTIONS FOR PAROLEE'S ELIGIBILITY FOR PAROLE."
TITLE 28 USC §2241(c)(3); 2254(a). SEE, SPANER v. KOTINA, 118 S. Ct. 978 (1998)

NO. 17-7503's Supreme Court Rule 44. PETITION FOR REHEARING WAS STOLEN, April 29, 2018, ADDRESSED TO UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT CLERK (WASHINGTON, D.C.). AUGUST 30, 2017, PETITIONER MORRIS FORWARDED A COPY OF HER, TITLE 28 USC § 1651(a), All Writs Act, IN CARE OF Mr. Jeffrey B. Walls (ACTING), AT 950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W.; ST. 5613, U.S. SOUTHERN COUNCIL, FOR FILING TO THE SUPREME COURT. USCA CONST. ART. III § 2. SEE, HOWE AT 1983, LO. COMPARE, U.S. v. CONLEY, 349 F.3d (5th Cir.); TO SEE, U.S. v. H¹ CONLEY, 469 F.3d; RAYSON, U.S. v. VANUETER, 270 F. Supp. 2d 1318 (D. UTAH 2003); SEE, U.S. v. LEE, 310 F.3d (5th Cir. 2003); COMPARE TO, EX PARTE CARLA, 578 S.W. 2d 141 (Tex. Ct. 1979), THAT TEXAS COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS HOLDING, "WHERE ONE OF THE CONVICTIONS IS VOID USED FOR ENHANCEMENT," THE HABEAS COURT WOULD GRANT FEDERAL HABEAS RELIEF, UNLESS FELON IN POSSESSION OF A FEDERAL STATUTE. 18 USC § 922(g). COMPARE TO, U.S. v. HAYBURN, 23 F.3d 888 (4th Cir. 1994), THAT COURT OF APPEALS HOLDING, "DEFENDANT ACTUALLY INNOCENT OF BEING A FELON IN POSSESSION OF A FEDERAL. COMPARE TO, U.S. v. HUFF 370 F.3d 454 (5th Cir. 2004). SEE, JONES, 119 S.Ct. 40 (1998). PRIOR TO, USDC MD-97-CR-10; MORRIS v. USA; NO. 14-50875's (COA), DENIED JAN. 30, 2015, IFP GRANTED. F.3d (2015), AFFIRMED. MARCH 6, 2015, MARCH 16, 2015; HABEAS ISSUED. MARCH 20, 2015, PETITIONER MORRIS FILED HER PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC, COMPARE, U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL, JOSEPH GRAY, JR., ANSWER FILED APRIL 9, 2018, TO MORRIS'S REPLY FILED APRIL 11, 2018; NO. 17-51125's ORDER, FILED SEPTEMBER 11, 2018; APPX A. IN INGLE V. CHANDON USA, LLC, 125 S.Ct. 2075 (2005), THE SUPREME COURT HELD, "WHERE THE GOVERNMENT REQUIRES OWNERS TO SUFFER PERMANENT INVASION OF HER PROPERTY; HOWEVER MINOR IT MUST PROVIDE JUST COMPENSATION. USCA COMMERCE CLAUSE, ART 1 § 8, CL 3. TITLE 28 USC § 1358, EMINENT DOMAIN STATUTE, U.S.C.A. CONST. ART. 5, 14. JUST COMPENSATION, MORRIS'S, CR 5793 1988 Schley Co., TEXAS CONVICTION IS VOID. THAT VIOLATION CAUSES RISE TO A FUNDAMENTAL DEFECTIVE INDICTMENT FOR ITS FAILURE TO ALLEGES AN ESSENTIAL ELEMENT, "WHO WILLING PURPORTED TO BE THE ACT OF ANOTHER WHO DO NOT AUTHORIZE THE ACT." SEE, EX PARTE CAROL JOHNSON MORRIS, 800 S.W. 2d 225 (Tex. Ct. App. 1990), REHEAR. DENIED FEB. 6, 1991. COMPARE TO, CR 5793-I's STATE ANSWER... IF ONLY TO THOSE CONVICTIONS (1984) MORRIS 1691989

WE HAVE NO OBJECTIONS, p. 7, SPECIFICALLY, FILED OCTOBER 21, 2010, IN THE TCCA'S DOCKET, DECEMBER 17, 2010. BECAUSE MOREN'S INDICTMENT IS VOID, THAT CONVICTION COULD NOT BE ENHANCED INTO A 20-YR., SECOND-DEGREE FELONY, CR 8793'S JUDGMENT, FILED JULY 28, 1988, NOR USED TO ENHANCE MOREN'S 1997 CONVICTIONS OR SENTENCES. SEE, E.G. U.S. v. CORN, 77 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 2000), 118 S.Ct. 2007 (1998). SEE, Mueeny v. Curren, 106 S.Ct. 1126 (1994); COMPARE TO, Wentz v. McKaskle, 733 F.2d at 1107 (5th Cir. 1984), THAT COURT OF APPEALS HELD, "A VOID INDICTMENT IS THE ONLY EXCEPTION TO THE CONTINUOUS OBJECTION RULE IN TEXAS. SEE, SHULTZ v. COLLINS, 977 F.2d at 956 (5th Cir. 1994); IN CONTRAST TO, SEE, RANNEY v. SCOTT, 43 F.3d 221 (5th Cir. 1995), COMPARE TO, EX PARTE METZMAN, 851 S.W.2d 315 (Tex. Cr. App. - 1993), THAT TCCA'S HOLDING, "A CONVICTION REVERSED AS A RESULT OF INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL IS CONSTITUTIONALLY UNFETTERED. STRICKLAND, TO, SEE, SUMMONS v. STATE, 784 S.W.2d at 562 (Tex. App. - Ft. Worth - 1990). SEE, EX PARTE BARTNESS, 739 S.W.2d 51 (Cr. 1987), TEX. ANN. CODE § 32.21 (a)(1A). SEE, EX PARTE CHILCROSS, 732 S.W.2d 242 (Cr. App. - 1987), HOLDING, "BECAUSE INDICTMENT OBTAINED AN ESSENTIAL ELEMENT NO TRIAL COURT HAD JURISDICTION AND THE CONVICTION IS SUBJECT TO COLLATERAL ATTACK UPON SUBSEQUENT CONVICTIONS. COMPARE TO, CR 36, 894, STATE OF TEXAS v. CARA JEROME MOREN, REINDICTMENT FOR THEFT BY DECEPTION, FILED MARCH 31, 2010; ITS JUDGMENT, "ONE AND THEFT, p. 2, FILED AUGUST 3, 2010. COMPARE TO, CR 36, 894 - A, EX PARTE MOREN'S, MEMORANDUM OF LAW, PP. 1-4, ALSO FILED AUG. 3, 2010. SEE, E.G. BOEDENKLECHER v. HAYES, 48 S.Ct. 663 (1978), THE SUPREME CT. HOLDING, "A DEFENDANT CANNOT BE PUNISHED FOR EXERCISING HER CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. SEE, U.S. v. GOODWIN, 102 S.Ct. 2485 (1982), THE SUPREME COURT HOLDING, "THE PRESUMPTION OF PROSECUTORIAL VINDICTIVENESS, TO, SEE, E.G. U.S. v. JONES, 119 S.Ct. 40 (1998). SEE, TAYLOR v. U.S., 110 S.Ct. 2143 (1990). A VOID FORGORY CONVICTION IS NOT A CRIME OF VIOLENCE UNDER § 922(g). SEE, LEE, 310 F.3d -; COMPARE TO, U.S. v. TOLSON, 163 F.3d 909 (5th Cir. 1999). SEE, U.S. v. MORGAN, 346 U.S. 502 (1954) ("CONVICTION HAS BEEN USED TO INCREASE PETITIONER'S CURRENT SENTENCE OF THE STATE RECIDIVIST LAW. SEE, MACON v. COOK, 109 S.Ct. 1923 (1989), (PROSECUTOR ALLEGED SAME VOID STATE CONVICTION USED TO ILLEGALLY ENHANCE BOTH CURRENT AND FEDERAL AND STATE CONVICTIONS"). SEE, U.S. v. MURDER, 863 F.2d at 1074 (4th Cir. 1988), WELL OF COURSE MOREN, "129199.

NOBIS, GRANTING IN INTEREST OF JUSTICE. SEE EX PARTE HUFF, 583 S.W.2d 774 (Tex. Cr. 1979), HOLDING, "FORGERY CONSTITUTES AN INTRINSIC ESSENTIAL ELEMENT IS FUNDAMENTALLY DEFECTIVE". CR 5793, 1988-20, yrs. SENTENCES DID NOT EXPIRE UNTIL APRIL 30, 2012. SEE, WILLIAMS V. COMBES, 802 F. Supp. 171536 (W.D. Tex. 1992), THAT HABEAS CORPUS COURT, HOLDING JURISDICTIONAL DEFECTS ARE NOT WAIVABLE AND CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME DURING THE PROCEEDINGS. LO. Fed. R. Crim. Proc. Rule 12(b), Fed. R. Crim. Proc. Rule 52(b). Over Morris's pro se HAND-WRITTEN OBJECTIONS, PSIR, No. 8, p. 5, FILED DEC. 17, 1997; OBJECTIONS TO U.S.S.C. § 361.3(c), FILED JAN. 15, 1998, THE GOVERNMENT UNLAWFULLY USED Morris's 1988 CONVICTION TO UNLAWFULLY EVIDENCE HER 1997 FEDERAL CONVICTIONS AND SENTENCES. SEE, BALL V. U.S., 105 S. Ct. 11673 (1985), THAT SUPREME COURT HOLDING, "FEIGNING POSSESSION OF A FIREARM AND EXCEPT OF A FIREARM, THE SAME OFFENSE. COMPARE TO, SCALOS, 599 F.2d 78 (), § 922(a)(6), § 922(g); CONSEQUENTLY, VIOLATED DOUBLE JEOPARDY." LO. COMPARE, WR NO. 11-10-00332, EX PARTE MORRIS, NO. 11-11-00037 - CR'S, MEMORANDUM OPINION, p. 5, CONVICTION REVERSED AND JUDGMENT VACATED, JAN. 31, 2013; COMPARE TO, USDC, HO-08-CV-171's, DISTRICT CLERK'S CORRESPONDENCE, DATED THAT SAME DATE. ADDITIONALLY, BECAUSE MORRIS 1984, CRA-10,066 THEFT AND CRA-10,176 FORGERY CONVICTIONS BOTH HAVE THE SAME FINAL DATE: MARCH 5, 1984, NEITHER CONVICTION CAN BE SAID TO HAVE OCCURRED BEFORE THE OTHER, THEY COULD NOT BE USED TO EVIDENCE HER 1997 SENTENCES, AND THE (4) 41 MONTHS, 3 yrs. JUDGMENT REVERSE SENTENCES IMPOSED TO RUN CONCURRENTLY ARE LEGAL AS WELL. BALL, LO. SEE, EX PARTE POOL, 738 S.W.2d 285 (Tex. Cr. 1987); SEE, EVANS V. LUCY, 105 S. Ct. (1985), HOLDING, "A DEFENDANT IS ENTITLED TO EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE ON APPEAL AS A MATTER OF RIGHT." (REVIEW, NO. 11-88-199 - CR'S Brief On Appeal (11th Jan. - 1989). THE POUND CODE § 32.21 (a)(1)(A), WR NO. 70, 934, TCCA'S FILED JUNE 16, 1989. CONSEQUENTLY, SEE, FARBITA V. CALIFORNIA, 90 S. Ct. 2525 (1970), THE SUPREME COURT HOLDING, "A DEFENDANT HAS A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO SELF-REPRESENTATION." COMPARE, CR 36,894; STAN V. MORRIS, MARCH 16, 2010'S LOCAL PROCEEDINGS; MORRIS V. SATTORWRIGHT, RE VOL. 1, PP. 1-20, 318^H JUDICIAL DISTRICT CR, LAQUITA MORRIS "1681949

[illegible]

INCARCERATION" to GOODSKI v. U.S., 304 F.3d (7th Cir. 2002), THAT COURT OF APPEALS
HOLDING, "IGNORANCE OF THE LAW IS NO EXCUSE." PETER TO, NO. 18-50507, MOREY v. TBP, P's.
IN GLONER v. U.S., 121 S.Ct. 696 (2001), THE SUPREME COURT HELD,
"A COURT MAY NOT DENY RELIEF TO PERSONS ATTACKING THEIR SENTENCE ON INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE
GROUNDS WHO MIGHT SHOW DEFICIENT PERFORMANCE." SEE, U.S. v. COOK, 104 S.Ct. () ON COUNSEL'S
FAILURE TO OBJECT TO AN ERROR OF LAW AFFECTING THE CALCULATION OF A SENTENCE... FIRSTLY,
MOREY'S, CR 5793, 1988 JUDGMENT IS VOID, THEREFORE, THAT CONVICTION COULD NOT BE USED AS A
PREDICATE OFFENSE FOR FELONY IN POSSESSION OF A FIREARM UNDER § 922(g)'S 'CRIME OF VIOLENCE'.
SEE, EX PARTE GARCIA, 578 S.W.2d 141 (Tx. Ct. - 1979). NOR, WOULD SHE HAVE AN OFFENSE BASE LEVEL
OF 14: NO. 97-CR-10'S PSR, No. 8, p.5. COMPARE TO, U.S. v. VAULTER, 270 Fed Supp. 2d 1318 (D. Utah 2003),
THAT DISTRICT COURT HOLDING THE PASSING OF A GUN, A NONVIOLENT CRIME UNDER § 2K2.1.
PETER TO, U.S.S.C. § 2K2.1(b). SEE, BALL v. U.S., To. NEITHER COULD HER 1984 CONVICTIONS BE USED
TO ENHANCE HER SENTENCE. COMPARE, U.S. v. HASS, 150 F.3d (1998); TO, U.S. v. ROWAN - OLBORAK,
(5th Cir.). WITHOUT NO USABLE, PROPERLY SEQUENCED PRIOR CONVICTIONS AND
SPECIFICALLY, A PREDICATE OFFENSE, MOREY WOULD HAVE NO FEDERAL FIREARMS VIOLATIONS. SEE
Eg. U.S. v. COOK, 77 F.3d 1. SEE, U.S. v. COOK, 349 F.3d (5th Cir. 2003), "COUNSEL WERE INEFFECTIVE
FOR FAILURE TO OBJECT... To. SEE, U.S. v. GUERRA, 187 Fed. App. 444 (5th Cir. 2006), THE COURT OF APPEALS
HOLDING, "PETITIONER SEEKING WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS CHALLENGING LOCALITY OF PRIOR CONVICTION(S) AND
SENTENCE(S) RELIED ON FOR HER CURRENT OFFENSE OF IMPRISONMENT...". REVIEW, WR NO. 70,934; EX PARTE
CAROL JENNIFER MOREY, 800 S.W.2d 225 (Tx. Ct. App. 1990); COMPARE TO, JOHNSON v. HS, 108 S.Ct. 1981 (1988),
THE SUPREME COURT HOLDING, "A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS THE PROPER VEHICLE TO COLLATERALLY ATTACK
A VOID CONVICTION". To. COMPARE TO, EX PARTE BARTNESS, 739 S.W.2d 51 (Tx. Ct. - 1987), THAT TCCA'S
HOLDING, "ONLY CONVICTIONS THAT FAIL TO SET-OUT AN OFFENSE WILL BE CONSIDERED FUNDAMEN-
TALLY DEFECTIVE AND SUSCEPTIBLE TO HABEAS CORPUS RELIEF". SEE, WILLIAMS AT 402 F. Supp.
1536-44. To. CONSEQUENTLY, MOREY'S FEDERAL PSR WAS MODIFIED, OCTOBER 20, 2014. COMPARE TO,
H² COOK, 469 F.3d (6th Cir.). U.S.S.C. § 922(g), 922(a)(1). IN U.S. v. JENSON, 603 F.2d
AT 1143 (6th Cir. 1979), THAT COURT OF APPEALS HELD, "A DEFENDANT IS ENTITLED TO RELIEF FROM AN ILLE-

CALLY IMPOSED SENTENCE, 28 USC § 1651(a). SEE, U.S. v. ESCOBAR, 357 F.3d 257 (5th Cir. 2004). SEE, U.S. v. Morgan, Jr., MORRIS FEDERAL CONVICTIONS AND SENTENCES DO NOT FULLY EXPIRE, UNTIL JULY 11, 2007. SEE, MORRIS v. PERSCOTT, 92 Fed. Appx. 79 (2004); COMPARE TO, MORRIS v. U.S.A., 258 Fed. Appx. 696 (5th Cir. 2007); REFER TO, '2241, H-02-CV-4878 (2005). SEE, WITTE v. U.S., 115 S.Ct. 1722 08-09 (1995). U.S.S.C. § 5C1.3(b). MORRIS IS ACTUALLY INNOCENT OF BEING IN VIOLATION OF § 922(g); § 922(a)(6). SEE, U.S. v. HAYBURN, 23 F.3d 888 (4th Cir. 1994). IN U.S. v. SAMUEL, 589 F.3d 749 (5th Cir. 2009), COA'S HELD, "ERROR CAUSING DRAMATIC INCREASE IN SENTENCE GOES TO THE INTEGRITY OF THE COURT". TITLE 18 USC § 3553. FED. R. CRIM. PROC. RULE 52(b). U.S.C.A. CONST. AMENDS. 5, 6, 8, AND 14. COMPARE, BEACHY v. BEACHY, 117 S.Ct. (1997); TO, DEHNIH v. SPARKS, 101 S.Ct. 183 (1980). THE SAME VOID CONVICTIONS USED TO ILLEGALLY ENHANCE MORRIS'S 1997 SENTENCES, WERE LATER USED TO ILLEGALLY ENHANCE, CR 36,894's; STATE OF TEXAS v. CAROL JOHNSON MORRIS, TDCJ-16488243. ITS JUDGMENT ("ONE AND THEN" p. 2), IS VOID. REMOISTMENT FILED, MARCH 31, 2010; JUDGMENT, AUGUST 3, 2010; WR NO. 20,484-10; CR 36,894-A's MEMORANDUM OF LAW (PP. 1-4), SPECIFICALLY, USDC § 2254's MO-11-CV-021; MORRIS v. TRINER's, MEMORANDUM OF LAW, PP. 1-8; ITS EXHIBITS, ETC., FILED FEB. 21, 2011. TITLE 28 USC § 2241, 2254(a). COMPARE, MCAD v. BP AMERICA, CV 44,864; 282 S.W.3d 215 (Tex. App. EASTLAND-2009); SNOW, 819 S.W.2d 466 (Tex. 1991); TO, NO. 11-10-00249-CR's; CR 36,894, MEMORANDUM OF LAW, AFFIRMED FEB. 9, 2012, USCA CONFERENCE CHAIRS, ART 1 § 8, CL. 3, TITLE 28 USC § 1358, EMULANT DONALD. SEE, HOLDS OF COURAGE, 207 F.3d . HINGLE, TO. CONSEQUENTLY, MORRIS WAS SUBJECTED TO A COMPLETE MISCARriage OF JUSTICE AND IS ENTITLED TO CORAM NOBIS RELIEF. GOODWIN, 102 S.Ct. 2485 (1982). SEE, SPENCER v. KENNA, 118 S.Ct. 978 (1998), SUPREME COURT HELD, "TO SATISFY THE CASE-OR-CONTRAVERSY REQUIREMENT, PLAINTIFF MUST HAVE SUFFERED OR BE THREATENED WITH THROUGHOUT THE LITIGATION, THE ACTUAL INJURY TRACIBLE TO THE DEFENDANTS WHICH IS LIKELY TO BE REDRESSED BY A FAVORABLE JUDICIAL DECISION. CONSIDER, CR 36,894-D; WR NO. 20,484-18, OFFICE JAN. 31, 2018; § 2254, W-19-CV-158; MORRIS v. TEXAS BO. OF P.P.'s; LOOSE DRUG, INC. TDCJ; ITS MEMORANDUM OF LAW (PP. 1-12), FILED JUNE 1, 2018; JUNE 11, 2019, STOLEN. NO. 18-50507's (5th Cir.), PERSONALLY PERFORMING. SEE, MORRIS v. FEEDBACK, 935 F. Supp.2d (S.D. Tex. 2009); SEE, DAKER v. DUBOAR, 633 F. Supp.2d 317 (E.D. Tex. 2008). PERSONAL ALLEGED MORRIS #1691929

"STATE PRISON OFFICIALS REPEATEDLY STOLE HER CIVIL AND CRIMINAL FILING, PLEADINGS, ETC., AND UNLAWFULLY CONFISCATED CR 36,894'S TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS, SPECIFICALLY, REFER TO, STOP 1; 2. GROUNDWORKS: "2018157558", EXHIBIT 5, ENCLOSED. SEE, COOK V. TDCJ, 37 F.3d 166 (5th Cir. 1994). TITLE 28 USC §§ 2241(c)(3), 2254(a). U.S.C.A. CONST. ARTICLES 1, 5, 6, 8, AND 14. REFER TO, TDCJ'S Bd. OF P.P.'s, FI-2 CORRECTION OF PAROLE, "NOT BEFORE JANUARY 2019," May 15, 2018. "A BOARD OF P.P.'s SHOULD NOT CONSIDER NEW CONVICTIONS FOR PRISONERS PAROLE ELIGIBILITY." 10. IN U.S. v. DeBany, 955 F.2d (5th Cir. 1994), THE COURT OF APPEALS HELD, "IF THE DEFENDANT COULD PROVE ON HER INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE CLAIM AN ATTORNEY'S FAILURE TO OBJECT, SHE WOULD BE ENTITLED TO CORAM NOBIS RELIEF UNDER ITS RIGOROUS STANDARDS." 10. SEE, HOWE, AT 1983 (1998). TITLE 28 USC § 1651(a). COMPARE TO, COA'S ORDER FILED SEPTEMBER 11, 2018; APPX. A.. TITLE 28, USC §§ 2255, 2241, ET SEQ. TITLE 28 USC § 1651(a), CORAM NOBIS, ALL WELLS ACT. USCA CONST. ART. III § 2.

III. CONCLUSION

PETITIONER CAROL JOHNSON MOORE, FPO. EQ. # 76547, TDCJ-10 # 488243, PRAYS FOR ENTITLEMENT TO CORAM NOBIS RELIEF, VACATING HER NO-97-CR-10's, 1997 CONVICTIONS AND SENTENCES (REFER TO: NO-97-CR-10's, 2ND ANTONIO JUDGE, FILED July 14, 2004), AND ALL OTHER FEDERAL HABEAS RELIEF TO WHICH SHE IS ENTITLED, BE GRANTED.

Carol Johnson Moore

CAROL JOHNSON MOORE (PRO SE)

FPO. EQ. # 76547-080, TDCJ-10 # 488243

HOUSTON VIEW UNIT - D2 - #4

2305 RAYSON ROAD

CATESVILLE, TEXAS 76528