

18-7580 ORIGINAL  
No.

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
JUL 26 2018  
Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Maurice Neal — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

In Re Petition of Wayne County Treasurer — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Michigan Supreme Court  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Maurice Neal  
(Your Name)

13630 Santa Rosa Dr. upper  
(Address)

Detroit, MI 48238  
(City, State, Zip Code)

313-828-8335  
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1) Is the United States Constitution the Supreme Law governing this Land?
- 2) Is every judge bond by oath or affirmation to support the United States Constitution and the state where they reside as a judge, legislative, executive, and Police officers?
- 3) Do Michigan Laws have to bear Article 4, section 23 on all there Laws?
- 4) Do we as United States citizens have to live against the Constitution where we reside as a resident?
- 5) Is Article 11, section 1 the truth when it comes to Michigan Constitution of 1963?
- 6) Is there every a time during a court processing the Constitution is implacable?
- 7) The Constitution of the United States say what it say and there is nothing else to be said is that the truth?

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Brian Gibson

Brian Ambrous ID 4151

Addison Lawrence

Judge Garrett 36 district court

McCree Jaimy ID 2865

Lee Lester

Anthony Hill 45 98

Judge Langston, Deborah P-34839

Judge Robert J. Colombo, Jr.

Judge Karen Fort Hood

Officer McCullaugh ID 4882

Officer Pawel Skomski ID 626

Can't make out the name of this officer # 1151 is the ID number of the officer

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## **INDEX TO APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
-------	-------------

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Federal Civil Rule 5.1, (2)(b)

Bill of Rights Fifth Amendment

Constitution of Michigan 1963 Art. I, Sec. 15

Article 11, section 1, and Article 4, Sec. 23, 24, 26  
and 29.

Michigan Compiled Laws Annotated 752.11

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Civil rights statute, creating cause of action against any person who, acting under color of state law, abridges rights created by constitution and laws of the United States, does not create federal court jurisdiction; it creates cause of action against those who violate federal law while acting under state government authority, and federal court jurisdiction exists under federal question jurisdiction statute. This is a fact, I am at a total disbelief when it comes to the number of people that is in government position and do not want to support what the constitution say. They are a treat to this Country security! If a crime is alleged and there is no law to form the basis of that crime, then there is no jurisdiction to try and sentence one even though they are subject to the legislative body and the court. So the case at hand, is there has to be a law, a valid law, for subject matter jurisdiction to exist. I know for a proving fact which I have shown to many time Michigan's constitution does not support all that I have being charged with as a Law within its respected jurisdiction!

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The fact that the "Michigan Compiled Laws Annotated" has been in use for over forty years and cannot be held as a justification to continue to usurp power and set aside the constitutional provisions which are contrary to such acts of usurpation, as Judge Cooley stated:

"Acquiescence for no length of time can legalize a clear usurpation of power, where the people have plainly expressed their will in the Constitution. (Cooley, Constitutional Limitations, p. 71).

To assume jurisdiction in this case would result in TREASON. Chief Justice JOHN MARSHALL once stated:

"We [judges] have no more right to decline the exercise of jurisdiction which is given, then to usurp that which is not given. The one or the other would be treason to the constitution. Cohens, vs. Virginia, 6 Wheat." (19 U.S.) 264,404 (1821).

The judges of this court took an oath or affirmation to uphold or support the Constitution of the United States and Michigan Constitution, and his or her blatant disregard of that obligation and allegiance can only result in an act of treason. Whence I MOURICE NEAL do concur as well with chief judge John Marshall.

For those under Mich. Const. of 1963 Article 11, section 1, to go beyond that and allow "MCLA statutes" to exist as "law" is nothing but tyranny and despotism exist where the will and pleasure of those in government is followed rather than established law. It has been repeatedly said and affirmed as a most basis principle of our government that, this is a government of laws and not of man or woman.

The petition for writ of certiorari should be granted, Solely basis on that all the facts, I have presented which if viewed closely you will find not only a conflict between constitution and statute. However, usurpation of power that leads to a tyrant ran government of people whom have committed TREASON against the United State of American. To me the United States constitution and Michigan's constitution is clear in wording as well meaning !!!

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Maurice Neal

Date: 1-11-2019