

18-7562

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

MAR 14 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

PETITION FOR REHEARING

PETITIONER, PETITION IS IN GRATITUDE FOR NOT MAKING IT IMPOSSIBLE TO THE COURT, SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OFFICE OF THE CLERK WASHINGTON, DC 20543. THEREFORE IN GOOD FAITH. WHEREFORE THE GROUNDS PRESENTED SHOWS FLORIDA LAW AND CASE, PENAL SYSTEM ALSO CONDONES OTHERWISE. CERTIFYING THAT THIS PETITION IS FOR RE HEARING. IT BRIEFLY STATES DISTINCTLY THE CONTROLLING EFFECT, WITH A CONTROLLING CASE TOO. CASE IN POINT AND GROUNDS THAT THE CASE AND POINT, AGAIN IS LIMITED TO INTERVENING DUE TO THE BODY OF COURT SYSTEM THAT THEN RULED!! WITH A INTERPET ATION OF LAW. GROUND ONE: WITH EQUALLY INTERPETING DISTINCTLY THERE IS A DIS TINCTION BETWEEN THE ORGANIC LIVING ENTITIEMENT, GROUNDS THAT MUST BE ACC OMPANIED. THE CORRECTION AND RESUBMIT TION. THE RESOLUTION OF THE FLORIDA SUP REME COURT THEN RULED UP UNTIL THE POINT IN CASE, SUCH A PROCESS OF JUDICIAL JUDICARE DID NOT ATTRACT GENERAL JUDICIAL ENDORSEMENT. OTHERWISE "WE" THE FLORIDA SUPREAM COURT HAD NO INTEN TION OF RELEGATING EXISTING PRECEDENTS TO A JURISPRUDENTIAL GRAVEYARD. STATE V WEEKS 166 SO SO 892 895 (FLA) IS AT 89

RECEIVED
APR 22 2019OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

GROUND TWO: WITH GROUND TWO AND SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE, AND LAW THERE'S CLARITY OF NEGLIGENCE ALREADY PRESEN TED BY WAY OF TRAVERSE THEREOF THE STATE CONTINUES TO BE MISLEADING.

PROVIDED TO
HAMILTON CI
APR 08 2019
RECEIVED BY
FOR MAILING

THEREFOR NOW WHERE THERE'S LIMITED CIRCUMSTANCES TO INTERVENING WITH CASE IN POINT, COMPLIANCE OF RULES THE PETITION SHOULD BE SUFFICIENT.

FOOTNOTE: ALREADY PRESENTED IN RULE 35 TO SEE OUTSIDE DOCTOR. PETITIONER TOOK IT UPON HIMSELF TO CONTINUE TO MOVE THE CASE FOWARD OBTAIN RELEASE AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR MEDICAL RECORDS IN SHOWING OF WHERE THE STATE AND MEDICAL HEALTH SERVICE ADMINISTRATION INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE IN RULE 33-402. IS CAPABLE AND MIS LEADING THE COURTS. WITHOUT A DOUBT THER'S THE RECORD SHOWING WHERE I TOOK THE NESSASARY STEPS TO OBTAIN MEDICAL ATTENTION FOR A BROKEN FINGER, WAS TOLD IT WAS NOT AND COULD NOT FIX AND SO IT HEALED WRONG. NOW IN THIS REGARD'S THE RECONSTRUCTION AND HE ALING COMPENSATORY DAMAGES ARE MORE SEVER AND CONTINUES TO BE A SUBSTANTIAL PROBLEM. COULD THE COURTS ACC EPT THIS NOT ONLY IN GOOD FAITH BUT ALSO GRANT THE PETITION WITHOUT DELAY...

April — 2019

DONALD J. ISAAH DC#
K-62542

DONALD J. ISAAH
DC# K-62542

GROUND THREE, LAST ON THE SUBJECT
MATTER NEGLIGENCE. SINCE THERE IS
A NEWLY PRESENTED GROUND THAT ALSO
MAY BE A HELP WHEN DECIDING WHETHER
TO APPLY RULE 35 AND GRANTING
APPROVAL, THERE ARE THINGS A PLAINTIFF
NEED TO SHOW IN A NEGLIGENCE
CLAIM. HOWEVER WITH RULE
35 AND (STARE DECISIS) TO STAND
BY THAT WHICH IS DECIDED ON TERM
BY THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION
AND WHAT WEIGHT IS DUE TO
STARE DECISIS. WHEN EVALUATING
THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN ENTITLEMENT
TO LIVING! A CONSTITUTIONAL
RIGHT... (PURGING) ALSO, LAW TO
FREE FROM A CHARGE AND IMPUTE THE
GOODNESS FOR PREMIUM AND IN THIS
CASE, THE BEST OF THE UNITED STATES
CONSTITUTION.

DONALD S. ISAIAH
DC# K-62542

Donald S. Isaiah
D.C.# K-62542

Hamilton Correctional
Institution Main unit
10650 46th Street
Tampa Florida 33652

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT A TRUE COPY OF THE
FORGOTTEN AND ANY ATTACHMENTS HAVE BEEN
PROVIDED BY U.S. MAIL OR COURTHOUSE
MAIL TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESSES ON
2019 TO THE OFFICE OF
THE CLERK SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES WASHINGTON DC 20543. LIMITED
TO INTERVENING CIRCUMSTANCES DUE
TO CORRECTION AND RESUBMISSION ACCO
MPANIED WITH THIS CERTIFICATE. SUB
STANTIAL TO GROUNDS PRESENTED WITH
A CONTROLLING EFFECT. RULES OF THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON DC 20543 446 AND RULE 35
TO SEE OUTSIDE DOCTOR. WITH SERIOUS
LIMITATION SUPREME COURT CASE LEWIS
V CASEY 518 U.S. 343 (1996), CAN NOT CLAIM
DENIAL UNLESS ACTUAL INJURY.

Donald J. Isaiah
DC# K-62542

DONALD J. ISAIAH
DC# K-62542

April 2019

Hamilton Correction
Institution 10650 46th
Street Jasper Florida
32082

March 13, 2019

RECEIVED

MAR 27 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT U.S.

MOTION TO RECONSIDER:

THIS APPEAL IS IN REGARDS TO CASE # 18-7562, ON FEB 25, 2019 THIS COURT'S ISSUED A LETTER OF DENIAL. UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT WITH THE RIGHT TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT, THE PETITIONER NOW UTILIZES THE RIGHT TO REDRESS. WITH THE "MOTION TO RECONSIDER" WITH FACTS, THE COURT DIRECTLY DENIED THE THREAT TO CHANGE THE CONDITIONS FOR RELEASE WITH THESE PROVISIONS THE COURT FAILS TO SEE THE VIOLATIONS. WITH RESPECT AND AGGRESSIVENESS FOR THE PART, BLACK LETTER LAW AND COMMON LAW THIS IS WHY THE PETITIONER DEEMS SUCH. WITH THE DECISION OF THE COURT, THE COURT DEEMS THE EVIDENCE FOR THE DENIED MOTION NONE LEGITIMATE, WITHOUT OPPION FOR EXHIBITS, IMPROPER REPRESENTATIVES AND ALTHOUGH AGAINST RULES. COULD HAVE, SHOULD AND WOULD WITH RESPECT ONCE S.D. DISREGARDING AND DENY'N MEANINGFULL ACCESS, AND TO PROPERLY HIRE THE PROPER REPRESENTATIVE CASE IN POINT COURT ANALYST, ANALYST WITH RESPECT SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE IMPROPER REPRESENTATIVE ALSO IN CASE IN POINT: "WITH SERIOUS LIMITATION SUPREME COURT CASE LEWIS-V-CASEY 518 U.S. 843 (1996) CANNOT CLAIM DENIAL UNLESS ACTUAL INJURY, FILED SECTION 1983 CASE # LAST AND PREVIOUS SECULAR COURTS IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF

PROVIDED TO
HAMILTON

MAR 14 2019

RECEIVED BY
FOR MAILING

APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
CASE# 18-13002 E. CRIMINAL CAN BE
CIVIL, SO AGAIN PETITIONER ASK THAT
THE COURT RECONSIDER'S DUE TO FACTS
"RESPECTFULLY AND HUMBL Y SUBMITTED".

CASE# 18-7562
DONALD J. ISAIAH

Donald Isaiah
March 13, 2019