## OCTOBER TERM, 2018 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

MICHAEL JOSEPH MULDER, Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM GITTERE, Warden, Respondent.

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

#### MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

#### **CAPITAL CASE**

Rene L. Valladares Federal Public Defender of Nevada Heather Fraley\* Assistant Federal Public Defender Heather\_Fraley@Fd.Org Katherine Tanaka Assistant Federal Public Defender Katherine\_Tanaka@Fd.Org 411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6577 (702) 388-5819 (Fax)

Counsel for Petitioner

<sup>\*</sup> Counsel of Record

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 39.1, Petitioner Michael Joseph Mulder requests leave to file the attached Petition for Writ of Certiorari without prepayment of costs and to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Mr. Mulder has been granted leave to do so in state court and federal court. In state court, counsel were appointed

to represent Mr. Mulder at trial, direct appeal, and initial state post-conviction

proceedings.

Public Defender for the District of Nevada ("FPD") to represent Mr. Mulder during federal post-conviction proceedings. *See* Exhibit 1. In 2015, Mr. Mulder returned to

On October 23, 2009, the United States District Court appointed the Federal

state court to exhaust claims in his federal petition. FPD counsel informed the state

court on the record that the FPD was counsel of record for Mr. Mulder and that they

were replacing prior state-appointed counsel. See Exhibit 2 at 6-7. The state court

accepted counsel's proffer, and the FPD has continued to represent Mr. Mulder

throughout his state post-conviction proceedings.

DATED this 18th day of January, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Heather Fraley

Assistant Federal Public Defender

1

## EXHIBIT 1

# EXHIBIT 1

	Case 3:09-cv-00610-JAD-WGC	Document 4	Filed 10/23/09	Page 1 of 2		
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
8						
9	MICHAEL JOSEPH MULDER,	)				
10	Petitioner,		3:09-CV-00610-P	MP-RAM		
11	vs.		ORDER			
12	E.K. McDANIEL, et al.,		ORDER			
13	Respondents.					
14		/				
15						
16	This action is a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. §2254 by					
17	Michael J. Mulder, a Nevada prisoner sentenced of death. Mulder's habeas corpus petition was filed					
18	on October 15, 2009. Docket #1. He has paid the \$5 filing fee for this action.					
19	Mulder also filed a mo	tion for appoin	tment of counsel,	which he followed with a		
20	supplement demonstrating that he lac	ks the resources	s necessary to emp	loy counsel to prosecute this		
21	capital habeas corpus proceeding. Docket ## 2/3. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3599(a), the Federal					
22	Public Defender for the District of Nevada ("FPD") shall be appointed to represent Mulder. The					
23	FPD shall represent him in all future federal proceedings in this court relating to this matter,					
24	including subsequent actions, and appeals therefrom, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3599(e), until allowed					
25	to withdraw.					
26	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's Motion for Appointment of					

Counsel (docket #2/3) is **GRANTED**. The Federal Public Defender for the District of Nevada is appointed to represent petitioner.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall serve the respondents, via electronic mail, with a copy of the petition for writ of habeas corpus (docket #1) and a copy of this order. Respondents' counsel shall file a Notice of Appearance of Counsel within thirty (30) days from the date of entry of this order, but shall not answer the habeas corpus petition until the court orders otherwise.

DATED: October 23, 2009

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## EXHIBIT 2

#### EXHIBIT 2

1 **RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT** 2 3 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 8 9 CASE NO.: C138790 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 10 DEPT. XII Plaintiff, 11 VS. 12 MICHAEL JOSEPH MULDER, 13 Defendant. 14 15 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 16 TUESDAY, JANUARY 27, 2015 17 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 18 PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS 19 APPEARANCES: 20 21 For the State: STEVEN S. OWENS, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney 22 23 For the Defendant: HEATHER FRALEY, ESQ. DAVID ANTHONY, ESQ. Asst. Federal Public Defenders 24 25 RECORDED BY: KRISTINE CORNELIUS, COURT RECORDER/TRANSRIBER

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, JANUARY 27, 2015 at 8:43 A.M.			
2				
3	MR. ANTHONY: Page 12, Your Honor,			
4	THE COURT: Sure.			
5	MR. ANTHONY: State v. Mulder.			
6	THE COURT: C138790 he's not present. He's in the Nevada			
7	Department of Corrections.			
8	MR. RYAN: He's in the department of corrections, Your Honor, and we'll			
9	waive his presence for the purpose of this hearing.			
10	THE COURT: Okay.			
11	And I have received your petition. So, Mr. Owens, how much time			
12	do you want to respond? It's quite lengthy.			
13	MR. OWENS: Good morning, Steve Owens for the State. Yeah, it's 150			
14	pages. I've already been looking at it and reviewing the federal proceedings			
15	that have been going on for the past five years. I'm gonna need about 60 more			
16	days to have a response.			
17	THE COURT: What issue was specifically I mean I remember this one			
18	for some reason very well did you have it, Mr. Owens?			
19	MR. OWENS: Yes.			
20	THE COURT: Okay it was in the it was so long ago it was in the			
21	other courthouse.			
22	MR. OWENS: It was with Chris Oram and there were issues about			
23	competency			
24	THE COURT: Yes.			
25	MR. OWENS: and he had a stroke in			

THE COURT: Methamphetamines --

MR. OWENS: -- prison and --

THE COURT: -- stroke in prison.

MR. OWENS: -- mental retardation and all kinds of things --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. OWENS: -- like that.

THE COURT: So, I'm just wondering what issues have not been exhausted that the federal court sent back here?

MR. COFFEE: Well, Your Honor, there were a couple.

One of them that's important is that there is another competency issue. Subsequent to the Court's last hearing here, the federal judge, Judge Pro, held a hearing on competency and found that Mr. Mulder was permanently incompetent. And so that was one of the issues that we need to be -- need to bring back here is that issue about competence.

The other issue that's very prominent that we have put forward in the petition is the issue regarding whether or not Mr. Mulder ought to be categorically excluded from the death penalty. I know that last time there were debates going back and forth about what are the strict terms of the word mental retardation --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. COFFEE: -- and there was an issue that was -- arose about whether it came before or after the developmental period. And subsequent to that, the Supreme Court has come forward with intervening authority.

There's a case called <u>Hall v. Florida</u> where they look at -- the functional approach to the way a person is able to perform in the community.

So one of the issues that we're gonna be talking about in the petition is whether or not Mr. Mulder may meet the terms for categorically being excluded from the death penalty even though during the prior proceeding he wasn't able to show the -- requirements for being mentally retarded. So that is -- at least one issue. I mean there are a lot of others, Your Honor, but that's a --

MS. FRALEY: Another new issue, Your Honor, has to do with the conditions of his confinement and whether the conditions of his confinement in the context of him having physical and mental disabilities make his punishment cruel and unusual.

THE COURT: Okay.

So, 60 days?

MR. OWENS: Yes.

MR. COFFEE: And we would like 45 days if that's all right with Your Honor --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. COFFEE: -- to oppose.

And we'd alert the Court to the fact that we would probably file a motion regarding competency in light of Judge Pro's findings and our ethical obligation to take protective measures for a client who's under disabilities, so I just wanted to let the Court know that we would file a competency-type motion when we file our opposition. So, we would anticipate that that would be an issue that we would at least talk about when we have our hearing on the petition, or how the Court may want to proceed in light of Judge Pro's findings on competency.

THE COURT: He's -- if he's permanently incompetent, can he litigate his

20 21 22

post-conviction?

Well, that's actually --MR. COFFEE:

THE COURT: Is that the issue?

-- the issue. That's the issue that we were gonna bring MR. COFFEE: up, Your Honor, whether it would be appropriate to litigate a guardian ad litem type situation or whether it would be appropriate to seek a special guardian. I know that that might require filings in the district where the client is located which is up in White Pines, so there's -- there's a couple of issues we need to work through but that's something that we wanted to make the Court aware of that we anticipate talking about on the date that we set for the hearing on the petition. So, I just wanted to alert the Court that we would be filing something on that issue as the Court mentioned something possibly about guardianship.

THE COURT: Okay, 60 days for the State.

THE CLERK: March --

MR. COFFEE: And -- sorry.

THE CLERK: [Indiscernible]. March 31.

Forty-five days -- I'm -- 45 days for the federal public THE COURT: defender.

May 15. THE CLERK:

[Colloquy between Court and clerk]

THE CLERK: June 11, 10:30 for a hearing.

MS. FRALEY: Your Honor, were you gonna give the State the opportunity to reply or to file an opposition to our competency motion after we file on May 15th?

The State might also want to file --MR. COFFEE:

1	counsel of record for Mr. Mulder. We hadn't filed a motion requesting				
2	appointment but we still wanted to make that clear for the record that we're				
3	current counsel.				
4	THE COURT:	Okay, Mr. Oram has now withdrawn.			
5	MR. COFFEE:	Mr yeah, he I don't know if he's filed an official			
6	motion to withdraw.	Obviously, he isn't any part of this new proceeding. This			
7	is a new petition.				
8	MS. FRALEY:	And we were appointed counsel in federal court a number			
9	of years ago				
10	THE COURT:	Okay.			
11	MS. FRALEY:	so we've been representing him for awhile now.			
12	THE COURT:	Okay, thank you.			
13	MS. FRALEY:	Thank you, Judge.			
14	MR. COFFEE:	Thank you, Your Honor.			
15		[Proceedings concluded at 8:49 a.m.]			
16					
17					
18					
19					
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the				
21	audio/video recording in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.				
22		Conthee Genelas			
23		CYNTHIA GEORGILAS			

Court Recorder/Transcriber
District Court Dept. XIII
702 671-4425

24

25