

March 12, 2019

I Dionne Saunders (filing in good faith and not delay and this information is not new evidence that is being provided in this case its only new arguments being raised to having a new hearing I am asking for a rehearing) in objection to the Commissioner's final decision considering that Nancy A. Berryhill waive her rights not to respond to my suit, that I am not disable within the meaning of the Social Security Act during the time of my alleged onset date of disability July 2012. The substantial evidence does not support the commissioner decision being brought against me in my medical records. Commissioner denying my review, social security benefits for the wrong reason and that I have proving my burden to show why I can't do substantial gainful activities or any work due to the limitations which ALJ consider me limited in the functional, mental, physical, and daily living this should have been affirm by the ALJ, DDS Physician, judge kilbane, my medical professional report who made the decision from there consultative examination. My claim that's being presented in this case is being consider overrule and not being consider by law which are the requirements for disability that has been addressed before it gets a review or looked at its being denied. I am not having the (pro se) opportunity or fair chance to present my arguments due to not having my review with the courts. Nancy A.Berryhill is abusing her power to her own personal gain. How am I entitled to prove my burden of disability if I can't prove that erroneously was made in my case without the review? Commissioner didn't raise any arguments or objections in a timely fashion. I took every opportunity to testify and prove my burden and produce evidence that is true. Evidence supports my case there were no consultative examination done on commissioner end only by the support of my medical professionals. The supportive information about

my work history, impairments, and limitations report comes from my treating physicians, medical professionals report and my own statements. There where no conflicts, or inconsistency in my medical records, statements or medical professionals report regarding my impairments and functional limitations it was sufficient for the ALJ to consider and DDS physicians to consider the limitations. The commissioner is liable for the mistakes taking it out of context which is not in front of the courts its only she say I provided the evidence from my medical records to support the falsley allegations for commissioner gain. There is excusable neglect in this case (Quoted by Social Security Administrator Nancy A. Berry)! The ALJ also considered whether Saunder's diabetic neuropathy, obesity, diabetes mellitus, and mental disorders as a listed impairment, specifically considering listings. ALJ found that Saunders impairments could be expected to cause some of her symptoms he found her statements concerning the intensity, persistence and limiting effects partially credible and are consistent with the record as whole. Still Quoting commissioner! (Findings of fact and Conclusions of law) ALJ provided a detailed summary of the medical history, and noted Saunder's "poor work history" and has a limited education. There is no work history (no sga)being reported on the alleged onset date in July 2012 or during 2012 or during that year. the claimant has not engaged in substantial gainful activity since August 28, 2010 the alleged onset date. (Quoted)! Social Security The medical evidence shows the claimant has the impairments and they were more severe consisting of abdominal/genitourinary disorder, migraine headaches, diabetes mellitus, depression, and anxiety disorder. These impairments are not slight and have more than de minimus effect on the claimant's ability to perform basic work activities. Accordingly, these impairments are "severe ". B criteria the claimants impairments restrict daily living, moderately limit her abilities for social functional,

and concentration, persistence or pace. Claimants mental health impairments impose more than a minimal effect on the claimants functional capabilities, and are established as "severe" impairments. This is conclusion with the reports of medical experts for Disability Determination services. This is considered and relevant only in relation to the claimants medical condition. The limitation was giving by Administration Law Judge decision that would effect my residual capacity. They assessed that I could never climb ladders, ropes, or scaffolds and could only occasionally climb ramps and stairs, stoop, kneel, crouch, or crawl. They found that I am limited to occasional overhead reaching bilaterally. They found that I should avoid concentrated exposure to vibration and to workplace hazards, occasional exposure to respiratory irritants such as fumes, odors, dust, gasses, or poor ventilation, could have only occasional exposure to workplace hazards such as unprotected heights or dangerous machinery; was limited to simple, routine, and routine, and, and repetitive tasks, in a low stress job involving only occasional independent decision making; only occasional changes in the workplace settings; and involving no fast-paced production work and no interactions with the public, and the work should involve working with things more than people. In order to carry this burden how do I suppose to work more than I can work or have a job with limitation prohibited me from working due to my medical condition? The commissioner is contradicting, abiding, and rejecting by law what the limitation that was giving by the ALJ she is not going by the requirements of the regulations she is abusing her power. My impairments (mental, physical, functional capabilities) have lasted for twelve months and more until present and they are established severe impairments. I am presenting and identifying specific facts that the commissioner fails to address in this civil suit and wrongfully rejected as

to while I kept trying to prove my burden and why I feel I am entitled to Social Security disability. I have the limitation, work history which is not SGA, limited education, and severe impairments which is not being consider but rejected by commissioner due to the fact that one doctor Yvonne Patton which was a case manager and Dr. Tyler who reported some uncritical reputation statements to the social security office which is not in the records but Nancy A. Berryhill made the accusation but want show the evidence or defend it is should be thrown out and default judgement should be order against her for fail not to respond in a timely matter. Not certificate legal documents that is order to do so if you in a civil suit. Documents was not certified or by mail, failure to defend and to provide evidence to the allegations. I object to the waiver that the commissioner enter into the court not to respond to my claim I ask this honorable judge to enter a plead for an answer from the commissioner Nancy A. Berryhill with evidence to prove this case wrong if not I would like a remand due to the commissioner waive her right not to answer and she taking upon herself to let this suit go. Is shows good faith in me with the evidence to show that these medical records does support my claim dealing with the credibility consideration and the limitations of the DDS Physician, ALJ, My statements, My Medical Professionals opinions. I am requesting to have a Default Judgement surrender to the commissioner Nancy A. Berryhill and this suit remand and awarded social security benefits.

Dionne Saunders

1519 17th Street

Dionne Saunders

Lynchburg Va 24501

5