In The

Supreme Court of the United States

JOSE ALEJANDRO ACUNA VALENZUELA,

Petitioner,

v.

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of Arizona

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

KERRI L. CHAMBERLIN

Counsel of Record for Petitioner

Office of the Legal Advocate

222 N. Central Avenue

Suite 154

Phoenix, AZ 85004

(602) 506-4111

chamberlink@mail.maricopa.gov

Petitioner, Jose Acuna Valenzuela, asks leave to file the attached petition for a writ of certiorari without prepayment of costs and to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Petitioner has previously been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the Maricopa County Superior Court and the Supreme Court of Arizona. Pursuant to Rule 39 of the Supreme Court Rules, the Superior Court's order finding Petitioner indigent and appointing counsel is attached hereto.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this 20th day of December, 2018.

KERRI L. CHAMBERLIN

Counsel of Record for Petitioner

Office of the Legal Advocate

222 N. Central Avenue

Suite 154

Phoenix, Arizona 85004

(602) 506-4111

chamberlink@mail.maricopa.gov

In the Superior RCC-DT State of Arizona - County of Maricopa

State of Arizona
vs.
JOSE ALEJANDRO ACUNA

Booking #: P789965

Case #: PF2011140108001

ORDER REGARDING COUNSEL

	-	owing legal counsel is appointed to re ct the below listed office or lawyer	•
Ţ	- /	Public Defender 620 W. Jackson Stre M. to 4:30 P.M. except holidays.	eet, #4015, Phoenix, AZ. 602-506-771
X	Legal Defender 222	N. Central, Suite 8100, Phoenix, AZ	2. 602-506-8800
' 🖫	Legal Advocate 3800 N. Central, Suite 1500, Phoenix, AZ. 602-506-4111		
<u></u>	602-506-7228	fense Services (OPDS) 620 W. Jack	son Street, Suite 3077, Phoenix, AZ.
. [
	City	, Arizona	Phone
	that he/she will hire a lawy	financially able to pay for a lawyer. yer.	Defendant has/has not advised the
2 D.C.	The Arterial Contract	EL TO DE DETERMINED DECORT	THE NEVT COURT IN ATE
	_	EL TO BE DETERMINED BEFORI	
4. Defen	_	EL TO BE DETERMINED BEFORI	
4. Defen Rules	ndant is NOT entitled to con of Criminal Procedure.	ourt appointed counsel due to nature o	
4. Defen Rules ARNING: I eduled.	ndant is NOT entitled to con of Criminal Procedure.	ourt appointed counsel due to nature o	of the charge. Rule 6.1(a) Arizona
4. Defen Rules ARNING: I	ndant is NOT entitled to consider of Criminal Procedure. If the defendant appears a	ourt appointed counsel due to nature o	of the charge. Rule 6.1(a) Arizona
4. Defen Rules ARNING: I eduled.	ndant is NOT entitled to consider of Criminal Procedure. If the defendant appears a	ourt appointed counsel due to nature o	of the charge. Rule 6.1(a) Arizona
4. Defen Rules ARNING: I eduled.	ndant is NOT entitled to consider of Criminal Procedure. If the defendant appears a	ourt appointed counsel due to nature o	of the charge. Rule 6.1(a) Arizona er, the hearing may still proceed as