


18-7213

In the Supreme Court of the United States

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Akash Dixit (Petitioner) Vs. Christopher Brasher, Judge (Respondent)



Case number

Akash Dixit, PhD.

Prisoner number 59514

Irwin County Detention Center

132 Cotton Drive, Oscilla, Georgia, 31774

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Though I strongly believe Mandamus was the right remedy given my circumstances and the conduct of the presiding judge in the lower court. However, I am resting my case and give the Georgia Appellate courts the benefit of doubt. After all, they are the very high-level courts and they would know, if mandamus was the right remedy or not. However, I had different questions, which were not even answered by the Georgia Appellate Courts, despite me asking it to them repeatedly. Deliberately not answering my reasonable questions, the appellate courts of Georgia established that they had vested interests. My questions were:

If the unethical conduct by a presiding judge is brought to the notice of the appellate courts, should they appellate courts disregard the unethical conduct or addressing the question about unethical conduct, just because the appeal is improperly brought before them?

If a question, 'if a judgment of the lower court is void on its face' is brought before the appellate courts in an appeal that is procedurally improper, should the appellate courts disregard vacating the Order on its face?

As such my question to this courts are:

- a) Did the Georgia Appellate courts err deliberately in not addressing a question regarding the grave unethical conduct of a subordinate judge citing procedural deficiencies of my appeal?
- b) Did the Georgia Appellate courts err deliberately in not addressing a question if a lower court Order is void on its face citing procedural deficiencies of my appeal?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Opinions below

Jurisdiction

Constitutional and Statutory provisions involved

Statement of the Case

Reasons for granting of the Writ

Conclusion

OPINIONS BELOW

The opinion of the Supreme Court of Georgia to review the merits appears as case number S18C0631 is reported at the website of that court in docket search. The

opinion of the Court of Appeals of Georgia appears as case number A18A0280 of that court and is reported on the website of that court in docket search.

I was recently suddenly incarcerated without any reason by I.C.E./D.H.S. and do not have access to the opinions at the present moment. I am trying to get access to them and will supply them within 60 days. I am mailing the petition to keep it timely.

I got additional time from the clerk. I have attached the order of the lower court with this version of the Certiorari as exhibits 1 through 5.
JURISDICTION

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was June 21, 2018. A copy of the decision will be provided as Appendix A within 60 days of filing of this petition.

A timely petition for rehearing (motion to reconsider) was thereafter denied on July 12, 2018. A copy of the Order denying rehearing will be provided within 60 days of filing of this petition.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. 1257 (a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Georgia Code of judicial conduct

Very Imp. Please see attached affidavit

Fourth, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment of the US constitution.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

(Please see attached affidavit for complete information about the case)

(I have spent considerable efforts in educating myself in the laws of this country. Please see my certiorari 18-5187 to this court, along with case #18-12183 in the federal court of appeals eleventh circuit and the underlying court cases in Georgia appellate courts as a testimony to my efforts. However, presently I am in

⊗	Georgia	Sup. Court Order	6/9/2017	Ex-1
	Georgia	COA	10/18/2017	Ex-2
	Georgia	COA MFR "	12/01/2017	Ex-3
	Georgia	SC	6/18/2018	Ex-4
	"	SC MFR "	7/12/2018	Ex-5

incarceration by Immigration and Customs Enforcement (I.C.E.) without any reason. The lack of legitimate reason behind my incarceration indicates it is because of my fight for little children of America. While in incarceration, there are close to zero legal resources available in jail. Therefore, this certiorari application is handicapped. I request consideration that is accorded to all pro-se-prisoner-certiorari applications. **This certiorari application is supported by citations of authority and data given in the certiorari application 18-5187, appellants brief on case 18-12183 in the court of appeals eleventh circuit and a motion to vacate all inhuman orders separating little American children from their parents in case # 18-CV-01717 in federal district court, northern district of Georgia.).**

The two federal questions listed above that I ask to be addressed by this court were raised repeatedly to both the court of appeals of Georgia and the Georgia Supreme court in the appellant's brief, the motion to reconsider in both the courts. The questions were reinforced in my motion to disqualify the entire bench of the supreme court of Georgia. I do not have access to those filings in the prison, but they are public filings, which are accessible to anybody, wherein the questions are listed prominently in the section titled questions presented. I will also produce the specific portions of the record in the form of a supplementary brief, when I get out of I.C.E. prison.

I and Tanya Singh Dixit (Tanya Singh), who is my ex-wife, are Indian citizens. We along with our son were living in India. Tanya Singh applied for a lot of US visas to come to USA, but all those visas were rejected. Therefore, on or about

September 29, 2015, while still we all were in India, the Tanya Singh with the aid of her family in US and an unethical attorney, Mr. Gregory Golden, filed a divorce petition against me in the state of Georgia in USA. The corrupt Respondent, the presiding judge in divorce between me and Tanya Singh entertained this divorce petition without any verification from the Tanya Singh or any proper verification of service to me for another year. Tanya Singh visited the US on or about March 5, 2017, to fight this divorce case, or a.k.a divorce tourism! The corrupt and unethical judge, who is Respondent of this case, shattered all civilized legal norms by conducting the trial, without even ruling on my motion to dismiss based on lack of jurisdiction, thereby, making the trial itself void. During the trial, the inhuman monster, Respondent, Judge Christopher Brasher, summoned my 5 year old son to the court and used multiple gun totting sheriffs to subdue my son against his wishes and handed him like a suitcase to the Tanya Singh, who had not been with our son, on her own volition, for past two years. The inhuman monster, Respondent, Judge Christopher Brasher, also prevented me from meeting my son for no reason. I curse that he and all his supporters rot in hell for this inhumanity against my little son for a long time. WHAT CRIME HAD MY SON DONE TO BE THUS TREATED BY THIS MONSTER RESPONDENT?

After two months in which these monsters, my ex-wife, her family members, attorney Gregory Golden and the Respondent, used to, figuratively speaking, regularly feed on the live blood of my little son, I was allowed to see my son for an hour every week.

Shocked beyond disbelief at such a conduct of a court in America, I filed a mandamus petition against the monster and inhuman Respondent Judge, who conducted the judicial proceedings after throwing all legal rules books and statutes out of the window. My mandamus petition was denied on the basis that I had alternative legal means for redressal. The Respondent, tried to prevent me from appealing by filing a fraudulent denial of in-forma-pauperis application in my name by a judge totally unrelated to the litigation. The presiding judge of this litigation vacated the denial and granted my in-forma-pauperis. I appealed the denial of my mandamus petition by the lower court. I told the appellate courts that given the exigency of the situation and continuous and irreparable harm to my son and me, mandamus was appropriate. Further, I stated, even if the appellate courts, turned a blind eye to the plight of my son and thought mandamus was not an appropriate remedy, they were bound by the Georgia's judicial code of conduct to take due cognizance of the inhuman and unethical conduct of the Respondent and rule on my claims thereof. Further, in my briefs to the appellate courts of Georgia, I claimed that they lacked subject matter jurisdiction over the underlying divorce action between me and Tanya Singh because according to its statute O.C.G.A. 19-5-2, the divorcing couple need to be bona fide residents of Georgia six months immediately prior to the filing of the petition. I and the Tanya Singh did not fulfil the criterion because:

1. Immigration is a federal matter and by rejecting the Tanya Singh's visas to visit America, the federal government has denied her bona-fide status not just in Georgia, but also in the whole of the USA;
2. There is no record of proper statutory service to me;
3. The divorce trial was conducted without ruling on motion to dismiss, thereby making the trial itself void;
4. The lower court judge exhibited clear cut bias, malevolence, vicious and inhuman conduct;

I contended that procedural sufficiency of an appeal is NOT required to vacate such a void order and/or proceedings. In support of my contention, I cited the four pillars of judiciary in the US, the constitution, the statutory provisions of Georgia, the case law from Supreme Court of the Georgia and that from the Supreme Court of the United States. (Please see the certiorari for the case 18-5197 for these citations. I am presently incarcerated, therefore, I do not have access to these citations). This Court in its rulings was particularly severe about conduct of litigation without jurisdiction or without ruling thereof. It had called such litigation as '**treason to the constitution**' and '**lawless violence**' among other things. However, the judiciary of Georgia, which by disregarding these mandates conducted itself as a mafia system, disregarded these contentions, repeatedly. The appellate courts, obviously, in view of the strength of my citations and the precedential nature of their rulings could not say that my contention is wrong, otherwise, anybody from anywhere in the world, would be able to file divorce in Georgia in US and they

would have been openly siding with the Monster Respondent, who abused my son in the well of the court room, so they decided to keep mum. They ignored my questions.

By ignoring to address my question about unethical conduct of the Respondent and that of the judgment rendered by the Respondent being void on its face, the Appellate courts are guilty in omission. I am not saying call the Respondent unethical, I was okay if the Georgia Appellate courts gave the Respondent a clean chit, but they should have done that in writing. By not saying anything, it was clear that the courts were trying to sweep the matter underneath the carpet without risking being held as accomplice.

This conduct of litigation, without having subject matter jurisdiction, and by not even addressing my questions that if appeals are required to be procedurally sufficient to take cognizance of unethical conduct by a judge or to be able to vacate a void order implicates Georgia appellate courts grievously. This conduct by the depraved and debased Georgia's judiciary is particularly severe because it resulted in excessive and irreparable damage to my little son. He is being kept hostage by these inhuman judges in contravention of the International Treaty of Hague convention that is against international child abduction or retention and which has been duly codified in the US law as 22 U.S.C. 9001 to 9011, which has been repeatedly brought to their notice.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1 (Very Imp) !!)

I bring this certiorari based on my claim that it fulfils the following clause of Rule 10 from rules of this court that were kindly provided to me by the Clerk of this court:

the state court of last resort has departed has so far departed from the accepted course of judicial proceedings and has sanctioned such a departure by a lower courts, as to call for an exercise of this Court's supervisory power.

I have deliberately omitted the word "usual," because the usual course of judicial proceedings in the US is no longer the accepted course of judicial proceedings, at least in the area of family law. Having a penis or being a little child has become a crime in the US. So much so, that women from other countries, similar to Tanya Singh are exporting their divorce petitions in this country. And, are not the judges of this country living up to the trust reposed in the judiciary to be unfaithful towards the laws and constitution by those immoral women vindicated. Of course, constitutionally, the US boasts of 'equal protection' and 'due process' and that a person born in the US is given all the rights, but that is all in paper. Across the nation, family courts are shredding those constitutional guarantees towards men and little children every day and right below the nose of federal and state appellate courts including this court. Please see the portion in bold in the first paragraph of the statement of facts in this petition for references.

Probity in public life is of utmost importance. The importance increases manifold if the probity relates to judicial office. In India, a judge is considered a representative of God, here in the US, at least in the family courts, they are

1 Please refer to the attached affidavit to see how the matter referred herein is important to the U.S. public and would ~~at~~ in general.

considered the representative of the Devil! There are no rules that govern the extent of inhumanity that judges of family court can inflict on little children. One parent is ordered limited, supervised to no visitation and no reason needs to be entered for that. Judges have become super parents who dictated how parents should parent their children. The laws of nature that have governed the indomitable parenting love that is expressed either gently or harshly, but invariably resulting in the betterment of the children, are superceded by monsters in black robes. 'Oh you sneeze too much, you will just get one hour supervised visitation per hour' Or 'your wife is going through PMS, you will not see your child for the next year!' These and similar examples are not hyperboles but realistic rulings emanating out of greed of family court judges fed by family court lawyers across the US in the name of 'best interest of child criterion.'

In this case, the monster judge, summoned my child to the court room and forced separated him from me without ANY REASON. Am I a child abuser? Not that I need to prove anything (according to the judgment of this court the onus that a parent is unfit lies on the accuser not the defendant parent), there are testimonies after testimonies that recount of me being an exceptional father. Even Tanya Singh and the Respondent Judge of this case said positive things about my parenting, still, my son was brutalized. If my wife cannot get along with me or vice-versa, how does that have a bearing over my love for my son or his love and need for me? Is there any reason that is need to figuratively rape a little child by a judge? And the appellate courts condone it?

It is not that this court is not aware of the menace. But, this court is a lazy court; perhaps the laziest supreme court in any country. Only 1% of certiorari petitions see the light of the day. Most of those petitions are denied without any reason. Talk about being lazy! Even God on the judgment day is believed to be providing reason for his judgments. The notice of the menace has been brought in front of this court in several forms, several times, but it is by choice that the judges of this court ignore the plight of little children while they languidly pass their last days in the air conditioned offices of their august dwellings.

Judges, mark my words. Your situation is very similar to that of King Louis the XIV (or whatever the spelling or number – I am in incarceration, so I cannot access the internet for corrections) of France, right before he and his family had to face the French revolution. And while you, in your coyness ask the citizens to eat the judicial cake in absence of judicial bread, time is ticking and the metaphorical chorus for the guillotine by the masses becoming sharper. It would be my earnest counsel to take immediate due cognizance of the judicial corruption or brutality towards little children in family courts across the US, as is exhibited in this case by the Respondent and the appellate courts of Georgia before it is too late.

I am asking that you take cognizance of the extremely unethical and inhuman conduct by the Respondent of this case and the apathy of appellate courts of Georgia and use this case as an example to send a strong message to the courts across the country that brutality towards little children by family will not be tolerated in this country.

CONCLUSION

Wherefore I request that the petition of writ of certiorari be granted. I also request that any other relief that this courts deems just be granted.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 9, 2018. Akash Dixit Signature.

Respectfully,

Akash Dixit
Akash Dixit, PhD.

Irwin County Detention Center,
Prisoner #59514,
132 Cotton Drive, Ocilla, GA, 31774