



No. 18-7153

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR REHEARING

JONATHAN DOUGLAS ENGWALL – PETITIONER
vs.
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES - RESPONDENT



No. 18-7153

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR REHEARING

JONATHAN DOUGLAS ENGWALL – PETITIONER
vs.
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES - RESPONDENT

JONATHAN DOUGLAS ENGWALL

mailing:

727 E. SAN YSIDRO BLVD #1542

SAN YSIDRO CA, 92173

residence:

CALLE BENITO JUAREZ

2DA 6034, LINDAVISTA

TIJUANA, B.C., MEXICO

e-mail:

ENGWALLJONATHANTHEREAL@GMAIL.COM

telephone:

503-935-4277 USA

664-860-50-69 MX

No. 18-7153
 IN THE
 SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
 PETITION FOR REHEARING
 ONATHAN DOUGLAS ENGWALL – PETITIONER
 vs.
 OREGON DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES - RESPONDENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER.....	01.
PLATE.....	02.
THIS TABLE.....	03.
OPINIONS.....	04.
AUTHORITIES CITED.....	05.
JURISDICTIONS.....	06.
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	07.
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	08.
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	09.
CONCLUSION.....	10.
PROOF OF SERVICE.....	11.
INDEX TO APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A.....	12.

No. 18-7153
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR REHEARING
ONATHAN DOUGLAS ENGWALL – PETITIONER
vs.
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES - RESPONDENT

Petitioner respectfully prays that a rehearing be issued to review the judgment below.
OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States Court appears at Appendix __A__
the petition and is to REHEAR

☒ reported at February 19, 2019. Scott S. Harris, Clerk of the Court

; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix
the petition and is
to

☐ reported at

; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at
Appendix
to the petition and is

☐ reported at

; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the
appears at Appendix
court
to the petition and is

☐ reported at

; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

No. 18-7153
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR REHEARING
ONATHAN DOUGLAS ENGWALL – PETITIONER
vs.
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES - RESPONDENT

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

The Constitution of the United States of America.

No. 18-7153
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR REHEARING
ONATHAN DOUGLAS ENGWALL - PETITIONER

vs.

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES - RESPONDENT

JURISDICTION

☒ A petition for a writ of certiorari was denied by The Supreme Court on 02-15-2019.
and a copy of the denial appears at
Appendix A

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case
was -----

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of
Appeals on the following date:
and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at
Appendix _____

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted
to and including in _____ (date) on _____ (date)
Application No. _____

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____
A copy of that decision appears at
Appendix _____

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was held and denied on the following date:
_____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing
appears at
Appendix _____

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted
to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in
Application No. _____

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

No. 18-7153
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR REHEARING
ONATHAN DOUGLAS ENGWALL – PETITIONER
vs.
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES - RESPONDENT

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Foremost: Oregon Department of Human Services failed to produce evidence supporting an accusation even as they imputed me with an unspeakable deed. No unspeakable deed occurred:

"No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, (except in cases arising in the land or naval forces)...nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself...nor shall be deprived of life, liberty...without due process of law..."

Amendment 5 - Rights of Persons. The Constitution of the United States.

I have the right to be a parent to my daughter. This is protected by both the *5th Amendment* and the *14 Amendment*. My bond with my daughter is protected and I have the right custody of her. I have also the right to teach her and to guide her in life. This is protected by the *1st Amendment*. I believe parenting her is an expression of duty to her and of myself.

No. 18-7153
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR REHEARING
ONATHAN DOUGLAS ENGWALL – PETITIONER
vs.
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES - RESPONDENT

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

A Rehearing, a clarification and expansion:

June of 2014:

I, in fact notified 911 of MOTHER'S suicide threat. At that time when our baby, Mercedes, was only ten days old. A few days later, during a telephone interview with Oregon Child Protective Services the Officer ADDED me to the Petition.

(The petition to determine dependency)

That is: GAINSAY.

It labeled me with an accusation of mental illness harmful to a child. His act was LIBELOUS. I had factually not been within a THOUSAND MILES of either mother or daughter!

April of 2015:

An Oregon Department of Human Services supervisor DENIED ACCESS to a psychologist's report.

(The testimony of Dr. Roberta Ballard will show this)

That is a: DIRTY TRICK.

It delayed my actions as well as provoked me. He LIED to me, he did have the report.

The expansion:

My actions in accordance with Dr. Ballard's recommendations:

I have completed a Mental Health Intake, Parent Training, Anger Management, Medication Review, and engaged with the regular services of: Physical Therapy, Psychiatric Monitoring, Psychological Counseling, Family Therapy, Anger Management, and a Primary Care Physician.

No. 18-7153
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR REHEARING
ONATHAN DOUGLAS ENGWALL – PETITIONER
vs.
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES – RESPONDENT

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

No evidence of any condition extreme to the degree of being harmful to a child was produced.

No. 18-7153
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR REHEARING
ONATHAN DOUGLAS ENGWALL – PETITIONER
vs.
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES - RESPONDENT

"Gainsay" and "Dirty Tricks" are unacceptable. The writ of certiorari will unearth a sham trial. I NEITHER have harmed NOR intend ANY HARM WHATSOEVER to my daughter. I seek to be her parent.

CONCLUSION

The petition for rehearing should be granted.
Respectfully submitted,

Date:

No. 18-7153
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR REHEARING
ONATHAN DOUGLAS ENGWALL – PETITIONER
vs.
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES – RESPONDENT

PROOF OF SERVICE


I, JONATHAN DOUGLAS ENGWALL, do swear or declare that on this date, March 8, 2019, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed PETITION FOR REHEARING on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

Oregon Department of Human Services
Director Fariborz Pakseresht
500 Summer St NE E-15
Salem, OR 97301

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on

March 8, 2019

(Signature)

**Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543-0001**

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
(202) 479-3011

February 19, 2019

Mr. Jonathan Douglas Engwall
727 E. San Ysidro Blvd. # 1542
San Ysidro, CA 92173

Re: J. E., aka J. E. C.
v. Oregon Department of Human Services
No. 18-7153

Dear Mr. Engwall:

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Scott S. Harris", written in a cursive style.

Scott S. Harris, Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PETITION FOR REHEARING

18-7153

Limited Grounds:

Deception by County Workers

1: CPS altered a dependency petition.

Therefore I was appointed a public defender, yet NOT CHARGED WITH A CRIME! My connection to this child-who was at that point unborn, her mother and her mother's family was as a suitor and boyfriend. I never put her, my daughter, in danger. When I heard serious warnings, she was at that time a few days old, I called 911.

2: DHS lied about the availability of a psychological evaluation.

At the mid May review in front of H. Herzog in 2015, BECAUSE DHS LIED TO ME, I had no report therefore no recommendations, therefore no resources, therefore no referrals, and therefore no intakes and no accomplishments. However, Dr. Roberta Ballard's report was in fact published in APRIL of 2015!

You can see that the same DHS worker who has "done enough" includes lying in the list of things he has done and points across the room to a man with a public defender.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PETITION FOR REHEARING

18-7153

Affirmation:

I absolutely assert that I am in fact doing this because I love my daughter and believe I have a duty to protect her and provide for her for as long as I live. I am in no way attempting to stall or gain time or slow any process. Furthermore, I cannot believe that any clarity was lacking in page 8.

Please refer to page 8.

And do believe that I affirm and attest that all these things are in fact completely true and not in any way false.

April 8th

Submitted in Good Faith

Jonathan Douglas Engwall