

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUL 13 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JOHN H. ROSKY,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

QUENTIN BYRNE; ATTORNEY
GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF
NEVADA,

Respondents-Appellees.

No. 18-15521

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-00156-MMD-VPC
District of Nevada,
Reno

ORDER

7/13 → 14 = 7/27/18 → 30 8/26/18

Before: HAWKINS and SILVERMAN, Circuit Judges.

The motion to exceed a page limit (Docket Entry No. 7) is granted.

The request for a certificate of appealability (Docket Entry No. 8) is denied

because appellant has not shown that “jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.

APPENDIX 'A'

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

JOHN H. ROSKY,

Petitioner,

v.

QUENTIN BYRNE, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 3:16-cv-00156-MMD-VPC

ORDER

This is a *pro se* petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, filed by a Nevada state prisoner. Currently pending before the Court are several motions, including the respondents' motion to dismiss the petition as untimely. In opposition, petitioner has argued, among other things, that his petition should be considered timely pursuant to *Schlup v. Delo*, 513 U.S. 298 (1995) because he is actually innocent.

Under 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B), the court may appoint counsel for a petitioner seeking relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 if the petitioner is financially eligible and the court determines that the interests of justice require appointment of counsel. In light of the issues presented in connection with petitioner's gateway claim of actual innocence, the Court believes that appointment of counsel in this matter is in the interests of justice. The Court takes judicial notice of an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* filed by petitioner with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (Docket No. 17-72652) and concludes based thereon that petitioner is financially eligible for appointment of counsel.

Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that counsel is appointed to represent petitioner in all federal proceedings related to this matter, including any appeals or certiorari proceedings, unless allowed to withdraw.

1 It is further ordered that the Federal Public Defender will be provisionally appointed
2 as counsel and will have thirty (30) days to undertake direct representation of petitioner
3 or to indicate to the Court the office's inability to represent petitioner in these proceedings.
4 If the Federal Public Defender is unable to represent petitioner, the Court then will appoint
5 alternate counsel.

6 It is further ordered that the Clerk send a copy of this order to the Federal Public
7 Defender and the CJA Coordinator for this division.

8 It is further ordered that the pending motion to dismiss (ECF No. 16) is denied
9 without prejudice. A deadline for filing an amended petition, or, if none, for respondents
10 to renew their motion to dismiss will be set after counsel has entered an appearance.

11 It is further ordered that petitioner's motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 32)
12 and "Motion Noticing the Court that Petitioner Vehemently Objects Respondents'
13 Intention to Violate his Due Process Rights" (ECF No. 35) are denied. Respondents will
14 have the opportunity to file an answer addressing all of the petitioner's claims after the
15 Court decides any motion to dismiss, and the merits of the petition will not be considered
16 by the Court until the answer and any reply thereto have been filed. Respondents' intent
17 to file an answer after any motion to dismiss does not violate the petitioner's due process
18 rights.

19 DATED THIS 10th day of January 2018.

20
21 

22 MIRANDA M. DU
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

JOHN H. ROSKY,

Petitioner,

v.

QUENTIN BYRNE, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 3:16-cv-00156-MMD-VPC

ORDER

On January 10, 2018, the Court *sua sponte* granted petitioner counsel in this matter and denied without prejudice the pending motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 40.) Petitioner has objected to the appointment of counsel by way of an emergency motion for reconsideration. (ECF No. 41.)

In light of petitioner's desire to proceed in this action *pro se*, it is therefore ordered that petitioner's motion for reconsideration (ECF N. 41) is granted, and that part of the Court's order of January 10, 2018, appointing petitioner counsel is hereby vacated.

It is further ordered that the provisional appointment of the Federal Public Defender to represent petitioner is withdrawn. It is further ordered that the Clerk send a copy of this order to the Federal Public Defender.


It is further ordered that that part of the Court's order of January 10, 2018, denying without prejudice the respondents' motion to dismiss (ECF No. 16) is vacated, and the motion will be shown as a pending motion.

1 In this action, petitioner asserts a gateway claim of actual innocence that relies, in
2 part, on an audio tape recording between himself and the victim. Petitioner has not
3 provided the Court with a copy of that audio recording but has provided a transcript dated
4 March 18, 2004, that purports to be of the recording. (See ECF No. 2-1 at 37-40.)

5 It is therefore further ordered that petitioner must, within thirty (30) days of the date
6 of this order, provide the Court with a copy of the audio recording he relies on for his claim
7 of actual innocence, along with any and all evidence, and/or citation to evidence already
8 in the record, that petitioner has establishing that the recording is an authentic and valid
9 recording of an alleged telephone conversation between petitioner and the victim and
10 establishing the date on which it was recorded. Petitioner must also provide any and all
11 evidence establishing that the transcript dated March 18, 2004, is an authentic and valid
12 transcription of the subject audio recording.

13 It is further ordered that within fifteen (15) days of the petitioner's response to this
14 order respondents must file a reply addressing the petitioner's actual innocence
15 argument.

16 DATED THIS 19th day of January 2018.

17 
18 _____
19 MIRANDA M. DU
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

JOHN H. ROSKY,

Petitioner,

v.

QUENTIN BYRNE, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 3:16-cv-00156-MMD-VPC

ORDER

Before the Court is petitioner's motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 45) of the Court's order of January 19, 2018, directing petitioner to provide evidence or citation to evidence authenticating the audio tape and transcript of the audio tape on which he relies to make his gateway claim of actual innocence and directing respondents to respond to petitioner's gateway claim. The Court would note that in order to make a gateway claim of actual innocence, petitioner must introduce new, *reliable* evidence. Absent proper authentication by petitioner, the Court cannot conclude that the audio tape on which he relies is reliable. Further, the Court in its discretion believes that a response to petitioner's gateway claim of actual innocence is necessary in order for the Court to properly evaluate petitioner's claim. Accordingly, petitioner's motion for reconsideration of the Court's order dated January 19, 2018 (ECF No. 45) is hereby denied.

DATED THIS 1st day of February 2018.



MIRANDA M. DU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

DEC 18 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: JOHN H. ROSKY.

No. 17-72652

JOHN H. ROSKY,

D.C. No.

3:16-cv-00156-MMD-VPC

Petitioner,

District of Nevada,

Reno

v.

ORDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA,
RENO,

Respondent,

ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE
OF NEVADA and QUENTIN BYRNE,

Real Parties in Interest.

Before: TASHIMA, PAEZ, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

The petition for a writ of mandamus is denied without prejudice to the filing of a new petition if the district court has not ruled on the motions pending in petitioner's habeas action by February 28, 2018.

The motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied as moot.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

OCT 3 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JOHN H. ROSKY,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

QUENTIN BYRNE; ATTORNEY
GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF
NEVADA,

Respondents-Appellees.

No. 18-15521

D.C. No. 3:16-cv-00156-MMD-VPC
District of Nevada,
Reno

ORDER

Before: GRABER and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

The request for extension of time (Docket Entry No. 13) is granted.

The motion for reconsideration (Docket Entry No. 14) is denied. *See* 9th

Cir. R. 27-10.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.