

**EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST FOR
A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

No. 17-2304
(3:16cv-00171-mhl)

The Supreme Court of The United States

Plaintiff – Appellant

v

Defendant- Appellee

**APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PETITION FOR WRIT OF
CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT**

To The Honorable John Roberts, Chief Justice

Petitioner Rev. Jacqueline L. Calhoun-Smith Taylor, requests a sixty day extension of time to file her Petition for Writ of Certiorari. The Final Judgment issued is a Mandate dated April 21, 2018 from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit which supersedes Court's Order dated April 27, 2018 to Dismiss and Remand Plaintiff-Appellant's case against CVS/Caremark back to General District Court. Mandate, pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, was issued and takes effect May 21, 2018. Based on brief and limited conversations had with William W. Tunner, legal representative for the Defendant-Appellee, and the Clerk of the Supreme Court, I concluded based on my limited legal knowledge, that an appropriate submission of my Certiorari would be due ninety days from the effective date mandated by the Court of Appeals inclusive of the General Districts response. It was suggested by the Supreme Court Clerk that I consult with the Courts Analyst regarding any questions and or concerns had regarding the lower court's ruling, what a remand entailed and what legal responsibilities the ruling placed on the General District Court, and my moving forward without a response from the District Court. A call was placed to this Court's Analyst who was not available. I left my contact information on his voicemail. Yet, to date, I have not received any response. I must admit that my phone is often compromised and I am made to miss important incoming calls, so a call by the Court's Analyst may have been placed.

RECEIVED

JUN 18 2018

**OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.**

EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST FOR
A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
The Honorable John Roberts, Chief Justice
Page Two

This application is being filed ten (10) or more days prior to the published due date. I am attaching copies of Mandate, Notice of Judgment and Unpublished Per Curium Opinion. The Judgment takes effect upon issuance of the court's mandate in accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 41. To date, Appellant never received a response from either the General District Court and or the Defendant Appellee in response to the lower court's ruling of Dismiss and Remand. As a result of such, Plaintiff-Appellant believes she did not have sufficient information and or grounds to file a Petition for Rehearing or Motion and chose to pursue the filing of a Writ of Certiorari. Honestly, I am quite confused and overwhelmed about the ruling and how I should proceed and pray that the course I have chosen is an acceptable and valid one.

Appellant respectfully asserts that the constitutional amendments and/or statutes involved in Appellant's case/complaint are valid, applicable, and noteworthy and gives credence to Appellant's strong belief that she has and continues to suffer from the discrimination and degradation brought about by the Defendant's willful violation of Plaintiff's constitutional and civil rights- Plaintiff's equal access to Defendant's place of accommodation. Additionally, the interpretation and application of the constitutional amendments and/or statutes offered by the Plaintiff eliminates any personal preferences and biases presented by Defendant and leaves little to no room for technicalities and or administrative rule to override them. Amendments and statutes offered also provide answers to lower court rulings of denial leaves Plaintiff living outside the constitution, on the peripheral adhering to fabricated codes and laws at the whim of one manager's biases. And, there still remain important questions to be answered and determined by this honorable court.

Unfortunately, I find it necessary for an extension of time for the same reasons mentioned in request for extension of time in Plaintiff's case against Martins, Ahold, USA granted by this court. Plaintiff continues to be chronically homeless, living in her car and stalked twenty four hours a day under watch and guidance of The Chesterfield County Police Department (Lt. Kehoe, Special Investigations and Officer Austin) in coordination with The Virginia State Police. Plaintiff, although receiving early retirement benefits, still live an impoverished lifestyle and lack many of the necessary resources needed to respond in an appropriate and timely fashion. Further, Plaintiff continues to suffer from insomnia, short term memory loss, anxiety and hyper vigilance associated with Post Traumatic Stress Disorder as a result of being denied equal enjoyment of and access to numerous places of

EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST FOR
A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
The Honorable John Roberts, Chief Justice
Page Three

accommodation within the city where she resides (primarily Martins/Ahold USA, CVS/Caremark and Huguenot Park by an officer of the Chesterfield County Police Department yet to be resolved). Plaintiff's car (transportation/housing) is compromised daily, used as a source for bribes, manipulation, and control by person (s) proxy stalking me. Plaintiff's car...her home...continues to be a recurring target for theft and damage.

Again, in closing Your Honor, taking all of the above and more into consideration, I pray that your will once again respectfully grant my request for an extension of time to file a Petition for Writ of Certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,



Rev. Jacqueline L. Calhoun-Smith Taylor
President and Civil Rights Activist
The KirklandSmithTaylor Foundation
for The Poor and Homeless
1201 Sycamore Square Drive # 1283
Midlothian, Virginia 23113
Cell Phone 804-852-2978

CC:

United States General District Court for the Eastern District
Michael Gordon Matheson, McMullan PC, Richmond, Virginia