

Appendix A

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

No. 18-50024
Summary Calendar

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

August 15, 2018

Lyle W. Cayce
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff–Appellee,

v.

JOHNY GARDNER,

Defendant–Appellant.

Appeals from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Texas
USDC No. 1:17-CR-281-1

Before DENNIS, CLEMENT, and OWEN, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:*

Johny Gardner appeals his guilty plea conviction of possession of a firearm by a convicted felon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). He relies on *United States v. Lopez*, 514 U.S. 549 (1995), to argue that § 922(g)(1) unconstitutionally extends federal control to the mere non-commercial possession of a firearm. Gardner contends that a felon’s possession of a firearm, like possession of a firearm near a school, the offense at issue in *Lopez*,

* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 18-50024

does not have a sufficient nexus to interstate commerce. He concedes, however, that his argument is foreclosed by circuit precedent, and he raises the issue to preserve it for Supreme Court review.

The Government has filed an unopposed motion for summary affirmance; in the alternative, it requests an extension of time to file its brief. The Government asserts that the parties agree that, under circuit precedent, Gardner’s challenge to the constitutionality of § 922(g) is foreclosed. Summary affirmance is proper where, among other instances, “the position of one of the parties is clearly right as a matter of law so that there can be no substantial question as to the outcome of the case” *Groendyke Transp., Inc. v. Davis*, 406 F.2d 1158, 1162 (5th Cir. 1969).

“This court has repeatedly emphasized that the constitutionality of § 922(g)(1) is not open to question.” *United States v. De Leon*, 170 F.3d 494, 499 (5th Cir. 1999) (citation omitted); *see United States v. Alcantar*, 733 F.3d 143, 146 (5th Cir. 2013). In *United States v. Rawls*, 85 F.3d 240, 242 (5th Cir. 1996), we rejected a challenge to the constitutionality of § 922(g)(1) on the basis that neither the holding nor the reasoning in *Lopez* constitutionally invalidates § 922(g)(1).

In view of the foregoing, the Government’s motion for summary affirmance is GRANTED. The Government’s alternative motion for an extension of time to file a brief is DENIED. The judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.

Appendix B

XAS
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
KCC

CRIMINAL No.

INDICTMENT

**[Violation: Count I: 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1)
– Felon in Possession of Firearm]**

A 17 CR 281 SS

COUNT ONE
[18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1)]

JOHNY GARDNER,

18-50024.8

NOTICE OF GOVERNMENT'S DEMAND FOR FOFEITURE
[18 U.S.C. § 924(d)(1)]

As a result of the criminal violations set forth in Count One, the United States of America gives notice to Defendant Johnny Gardner of its intent to seek the forfeiture of the below-listed property upon conviction and pursuant to FED. R. CRIM. P. 32.2 and 18 U.S.C. § 924, as made applicable to criminal forfeiture by 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c). Section 924 states, in pertinent part, the following:

Title 18 U.S.C. § 924.

(d)(1) Any firearm or ammunition involved in or used in any knowing violation of . . . section 922 . . . shall be subject to seizure and forfeiture. . . .

This Notice of Demand for Forfeiture includes, but is not limited, to the following:

- Taurus, Model 24/7, 9mm caliber pistol, serial number TIS83151; and
- Any and all firearms, ammunition, and/or accessories involved in or used in the commission of the criminal offense.

A TRUE BILL:

SIGNATURE REDACTED PURSUANT
TO E-GOVERNMENT ACT OF 2002

FOREPERSON

RICHARD L. DURBIN, JR.
United States Attorney

By:



MATT HARDING
Assistant U. S. Attorney