

FEB 04 2019

Dade C.I.

No. 18-6688

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

STEPHEN D. LEONARD — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

FLORIDA — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

FLORIDA SUPREME COURT

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR REHEARING

STEPHEN D. LEONARD, PROSE (#448091)
(Your Name)

19000 S.W. 377 St.
(Address)

Miami, Fl. 33034
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Did the United States Supreme Court ignore Petitioner's Federal Constitutional Right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the Constitution and the law?
2. Did the United States Supreme Court violate Petitioner's rights to a fair and impartial hearing when denying Petitioner's Writ of Certiorari on January 14, 2019?
3. Is the United States Supreme Court acting contrary to the United States Constitution, Laws and Treaties because of bias, prejudice or political reasons?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[✓] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

1. Florida Attorney General Ashley Moody, esq. The Capitol PL-61 Tallahassee, FL. 32399
2. Asst. Attorney General Maglay Rodriguez, esq. 15.E. 3rd Ave. Ste # 900 Miami, FL. 33131

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3-4
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	5-6
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	7
CONCLUSION.....	8
Rule 44 Certifications	9

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Florida Supreme Court Order denying Rehearing 01/10/2018
	Florida Supreme Court Order transferring case to 3d DCA 12/08/2017
	Third DCA order denying Rehearing 10/16/2017
	Third DCA order dismissing Case 09/18/2017

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
<i>Dreper V. State of Washington</i> , 372 U.S. 487 (1963)	5
<i>Indiana V. Inn Keepers of New Castle, Inc.</i> , 271 Ind. 286, 392 N.E. 2d 459 (1979)	5
<i>In Re: Anders Briefs</i> , 581 So. 2d. 149 (Fla. 1991)	5

STATUTES AND RULES

Article IV § 1, United States Constitution	5-7
Article VI § 2, United States Constitution	6-7
Article XIV § 1, United States Constitution	6-7
Article I § 24(a), Florida Constitution (1968)	5-7

Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure 9.140(f)(1)	5
Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure 9.200(a)(1), (b)(1)	5

OTHER

Universal Declaration of Human Rights Articles 7, 8, 10, 28, 30	6-7
5 Am. Jur. 2d, Appellate Review § 448	7

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Florida Third District Court of Appeal court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

5. Article 8, Universal Declaration of Human Rights, (U.D.H.R., Ga. Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc. A/810 (1948), which states:

"Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the Constitution or by law."

6. Article 10, Universal Declaration of Human Rights, (U.D.H.R., Ga. Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc. A/810 (1948), which states:

"Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him."

7. Article 28, Universal Declaration of Human Rights, (U.D.H.R., Ga. Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc. A/810 (1948), which states:

"Everyone is entitled to a social and international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration can be fully realized."

8. Article 30, Universal Declaration of Human Rights, (U.D.H.R., Ga. Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc. A/810 (1948), which states:

"Nothing in this Declaration may be interpreted as implying for any State, group or person any right to engage in any activity or to perform any act aimed at the destruction of any of the rights and freedoms set forth herein."

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On October 30, 2018, Petitioner filed the Petition for Certiorari in this Court outlining the violations of the State of Florida in Case no's: SC17-2084, SC17-1951, 3D17-2661, 3D17-2031, 3D16-2298, and 3D16-2263, i.e., the Petitioner is the appellant in a State direct Criminal appeal Proceeding where the State of Florida is omitting records and transcripts and altering or falsifying documents to help them get away with omitting appellee records in order to avoid Criminal Contempt and Criminal Charges.

Petitioner is entitled to a Full and Complete Record on appeal. Dwyer v. State of Washington, 372 U.S. 487 (1963); See also In Re: Anders Briefs, 581 So. 2d 149 (Fla. 1991); Indiana v. Jan Keppers of New Castle, Inc., 271 Ind. 286, 392 N.E. 2d 459 (Ind. 1979); Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure 9.140 (f) (1); Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure 9.200 (a)(1), (b)(1); Florida Constitution (1968), Article I § 24 (a); United States Constitution, Article IV § 1.

The State of Florida is attempting to deprive Petitioner of records that Petitioner requested, and is entitled to under Constitution, law, and holdings of the State of Florida Supreme Court and also holdings of this Court.

Hence this Petition for Certiorari (10/30/2018) and now this Petition for Rehearing.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On January 14, 2019, this Court denied Petitioner a fair hearing in 18-6688 by denying the Certiorari Petition. Petitioner argues that Pursuant to the Federal Constitution; Federal Statutes; and Treaties Made; i.e.; Universal Declaration of Human Rights, this Court denied Petitioner the fundamental rights, privileges, immunities and guarantees afforded to all Citizens of the States and United States under Article IV; Article VI; Amendment XIV; and Articles 7, 8, 10, 28 and 30 of the U.D.H.R.

Additionally, Petitioner moves to show that this Court has a political agenda such as that in Gore v. Bush (2000), when reviewing and accepting or rejecting Cases that present Constitutional issues ripe for review. This Court has a duty to review and resolve disputes arising under the Constitution and Treaties but arbitrarily decides whom gets a fair hearing when accepting a Case and rejecting others regardless of the nature or degree of Constitutional injustice.

Had this Petitioner been rich, famous, or "a politician on your political party agenda" justice would be quick, but because Petitioner is an average Joe, poor american, black american civil-rights supporter, fighting the Corruption, the establishment, the political mafia within the American government... justice is lost!


REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. The Petitioner is entitled to a Full and Complete Record on appeal. 5 Am. Jur. 2d., Appellate Review § 448 ("An indigent criminal defendant who appeals his Conviction is entitled under the Federal Constitution's Fourteenth Amendment to the use of a transcript or other record...").
2. Petitioner is entitled to Equal Protection of the laws, fair hearings before an independent and impartial national tribunal. Article 7, 8, 10, 28, and 30 of the U.D.H.R. (1948); Article XIV § 1 (U.S.C.A.); Article VI § 2 (U.S.C.A.).
3. Petitioner is entitled to Public Records. Article I § 24(a) (Fla. Const.); Article IV § 1 (U.S.C.A.).

CONCLUSION

The petition for a Rehearing should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 02-01-2019 _____