

No. 18-6607

ORIGINAL


IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D.C.

Scott PETERS
Plaintiff

Vs.

John Baldwin et al.
Defendant

Case No. 18-6607



Proof/Certificate of Service
NOTICE OF FILING

TO: Clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court
Supreme Court of the United States
Washington, D.C. 20543

TO: Attorney General William Barr
500 South Second Street
Springfield, Illinois
62704

TO: _____

TO: _____

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on 21 January 2019, I have placed the documents listed below in the institutional mail at MSU Menard Correctional Center, properly addressed to the parties listed above for mailing through the United States Postal Service.
Petition For Habeas Corpus, Certificate, Affidavit

DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

Pursuant to 28 USC 1746, 18 USC 1621, or 735 ILCS 5/1-109, I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a named party in the above action, that I have read the above documents, and that the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

DATE: 21 January 2019

/s/ Scott Peters
NAME: Scott PETERS
IDOC# M52851 MSU X-A26
Menard Correctional Center
P.O. Box 1000
Menard, IL 62259

RECEIVED

JAN 30 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

NO 18-6607

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C.

SCOTT PETERS

VS.

JOHN BALDWIN et al.

CASE NO. 18-6607

MOTION FOR A REHEARING OF AN
ORDER DENYING WRIT OF CERTIORARI

PETITION FOR THE REHEARING OF AN ORDER DENYING A
PETITION FOR
A WRIT OF CERTIORARI UNDER U.S. SUPREME COURT Rule 44

NOW COME SCOTT PETERS AN PRO SE ATTORNEY AND IN SUPPORT OF HIS
PETITION FOR THE REHEARING OF AN ORDER DENYING A PETITION FOR A
WRIT OF CERTIORARI UNDER U.S. SUPREME COURT Rule 44 REHEARING
STATES AS FOLLOWS;

- ① PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PRO SE ATTORNEY SUBMITTED A WRIT FOR
CERTIORARI IN THE CASE SCOTT PETERS VS. JOHN BALDWIN ET AL. NO.
18- AN UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL NEGLIGENCE, DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE
MONEY ISSUES AND EQUAL PROTECTION ISSUES THROUGH DEPRIVATION BY SOCIO ECONOMIC
MEANS, BY BIAS AND PREJUDICE. ON DECEMBER 3RD 2018 THE UNITED STATES
SUPREME COURT DENIED CERTIORARI;
- ② PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PRO SE ATTORNEY BRINGS THIS REHEARING OF AN
ORDER DENYING A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI PURSUANT TO UNITED STATES
SUPREME COURT Rule 44 28 USCA, S. CT. Rule 44.
- ③ PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PRO SE ATTORNEY REQUEST THIS HONORABLE COURT
PLEASE TAKE AN FULL FAITH HEARING OF THE ISSUES INVOLVED IN THIS WRIT OF
CERTIORARI.
- ④ PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PRO SE ATTORNEY COMES FORTH IN GOOD FAITH
AND NOT FOR PURPOSES OF DELAY AND STATES PETITIONERS CASE
INCLUDES VIOLATIONS OF PETITIONERS RIGHTS THAT HAVE NOT

④ (continued.) BEEN CHALLENGED PREVIOUSLY AND CONTINUE TO GO WITHOUT CHALLENGE OR PRECEDENT.

⑤ PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PRO SE ATTORNEY STATES THE AGENCIES AND OFFICES INVOLVED HAVE NEGLECTED PETITIONERS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS BY NEGLIGENCE, DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE, AND POLICIES, CUSTOMS AND PROCEDURES OF THE 1ST, 4TH, 8TH, 14TH AMENDMENTS.

⑥ SPECIFICALLY, NEGLIGENT DISREGARD FOR VETERANS HEALTH, BIAS AND PREJUDICE THROUGH SOCIO ECONOMIC DISCRIMINATION, ABILITY TO PETITION GOVERNMENT FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES, THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE TO BE SECURE IN THEIR PERSONS, HOUSES, PAPERS AND EFFECTS, NOR INFLICT CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT ON THEM. NOR SHALL ANY STATE MAKE OR ENFORCE ANY LAW WHICH SHALL ABROGATE THOSE PRIVILEGES AS OUTLINED IN PETITIONERS COMPLAINT.

⑦ THE COURT MAY TAKE NOTICE OF OTHER COMPLAINTS OR CASES IN A JUDICIAL CAPACITY. THIS COURT MAY DESIRE TO TAKE NOTICE OF CASES S.C.T 18-6134, S.C.T. 17-9459, S.C.T 18-6607, ILS.CT. AND TAKE NOTICE OF WHAT PETITIONER PERCEIVES AS SUBSEQUENT NEGLIGENCE.

⑧ PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PRO SE ATTORNEY FURTHER STATES IF THE HONORABLE COURT OF THE UNITED STATES WILL NOT ALLOW AN IN DEPTH ASSESSMENT OF THIS CASE IT SETS AND CONTINUES TO ALLOW VETERANS TO BE TREATED NEGLIGENTLY AND THE STATES TO AS WELL. AND TO DO SO PROMULGATES ITS ACCEPTANCE.

⑨ PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PRO SE ATTORNEY FURTHER POINTS OUT THAT IT SHOULD BE REPUGNANT TO THE CONSTITUTION WHEN LEGAL RIGHTS ARE BASED ON A PERSONS FINANCIAL SITUATION AND WHERE THE POOR ARE DENIED JUSTICE BECAUSE THEY DONT HAVE MONEY ENOUGH TO PAY COSTS IN ADVANCE. 14TH AMENDMENT. HOWEVER THE RICH AND ATTORNEYS ALWAYS HAVE THERE CASES ARGUED ALMOST IMMEDIATELY. WHILE THE POOR SUFFER.

⑩ PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PRO SE ATTORNEY FURTHER POINTS OUT THE DUTY OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT IS TO MAKE ITS OWN INDEPENDANT EXAMINATION OF THE RECORD TO DETERMINE FACTS WHEN FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL DEPRIVATIONS ARE ALLEGED.
Napue vs. people of the state of Illinois 360 U.S. 264 (1959)

⑪ PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PROSE ATTORNEY MOREOVER STATES;
IN CASES WHERE THERE IS A QUESTION OR CLAIM OF DENIAL OF
RIGHTS UNDER FEDERAL CONSTITUTION, THE UNITED STATES SUPREME
COURT IS NOT BOUND BY FACTUAL CONCLUSIONS OF LOWER COURTS,
BUT WITH REEXAMINE THE EVIDENTIARY BASIS ON WHICH THOSE
CONCLUSIONS ARE FOUNDED. NAJAE VS. PEOPLE OF ILLINOIS 360 U.S. 264
(1959).

⑫ PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PROSE ATTORNEY CONTINUES TO ASSERT HIS
RIGHTS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AS THE LAW OF THE
LAND AND FURTHER HIS RIGHT TO A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES
PUT FORWARD. THESE CLAIMS ARE NOT PRESENTED MALICIOUSLY, FOR
DELAY OR IN BAD FAITH, BUT ARE PRESENTED IN GOOD FAITH AND IN
THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE FOR ALL.

⑬ PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PROSE ATTORNEY ONLY ASKS THIS COURT
REMAND THE CASE TO A DIFFERENT COURT OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT WITH
COUNSEL IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE, I BELIEVE THE FACTS SHOW THAT
THE RULING IN THIS CASE IS IN ERROR OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION
AND IS APPROPRIATE UNDER FEDERAL QUESTION JURISDICTION 28 USC 1331.
HECKLER VS. RINGER 104 S. CT. 2013, 2021, 2022 (889). HOWEVER, THIS
IS FOR THE JUSTICES OF THE UNITED STATES TO DECIDE AND RULE UPON.

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

WHEREFORE THE PETITIONER SCOTT PETERS AN PROSE ATTORNEY HOPES AND
PRAYS THIS HONORABLE COURT WILL TAKE AN INDEPTH LOOK INTO THE CLAIM
AT BAR OF THE PETITIONER AND PROVIDE JUSTICE AND ANY OTHER RELIEF THIS
HONORABLE COURT MAY DEEM JUST AND NECESSARY.

DATE: 21 JANUARY 2019

15 Scott Peters
SCOTT PETERS PROSE ATTORNEY
NO. MS2851
MSU X-426
P.O. Box 1000
MENDOTA, ILLINOIS 62259