

172898  
No. 18-1978

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DARRYL Anthony Robinson AKA HAMZAH RAFI FARID  
— PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

Tony Baynes — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

\_\_\_\_\_  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

United STATES Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit  
(Your Name)

100 EAST FIFTH STREET  
(Address)

Cincinnati Ohio 45202  
(City, State, Zip Code)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

WAS policy violated by the  
Michigan Department of Corrections

## LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## **INDEX TO APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

Policy Directive 04.07.112

PAGE 6 of 9

76 of 9

PARAGRAPH 2

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

~~Disc. of Sec 4.1915 G~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

See Policy Directive

04.07.112 page 6 of 9

→ PARAGRAPH 2

AT The Sending Facility on A Prisoner's personal property receipt  
All property shall be itemized by staff  
(Csj. 241-A.



# STATEMENT OF THE CASE

ON 7-4-2005 A Regular unit officer BAYNES TOLD me I  
WOULD BE TRANSFERING AND THAT He would need ALL  
MY PROPERTY IN 12-D-6 JMF 4002 Cooper Street Jackson, mi  
49201. I GAVE Him ALL MY PROPERTY BY The  
Time I realize He DIDNT Give me AN itemized  
PROPERTY Receipt IT WAS TO LATE. THIS WAS HIS JOB  
TO Give me This PROPERTY Receipt per policy.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

IT is of First impression

I HAVE FOUND NO OTHER CASE in The Country  
That is like this case

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

BECAUSE IT WAS A VIOLATION OF MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO  
BE FREE FROM CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT WITH MY PROPERTY  
Respectfully submitted, I'm unable to legal work.

Darryl Anthony Redman

Date: 10-4-2018