

No. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

\_\_\_\_\_

WADDELL BYNUM JR. — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

DEKALB COUNTY SANITATION — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

WADDELL BYNUM JR.

(Your Name)

P.O. Box 31211

(Address)

CHARLOTTE, N.C. 28231

(City, State, Zip Code)

7042817635

(Phone Number)

## QUESTIONS

DID NOT THE COMPANY FAIL IN NOT REPORTING THE INCIDENT TO THE NECESSARY PEOPLE OR PROPER AUTHORITIES TO CONTACT APPELLEE REGARDING THE ACCIDENT OR INJURY

DON'T YOU HAVE TO REPORT THE INJURY TO THE INSURANCE COMPANY AND THE HUMAN, HEALTH AND RESOURCES

DID NOT THE DRIVER OF THE TRUCK WRONG APPELLEE IN HIS REMARKS FOR SEXUAL FAVORS AND SUGGESTION OF APPELLEE GOING DOWN ON HIM

WAS IT NOT WRONG FOR THE COMPANY TO HAVE APPELLEE RIDING ON THE BACK OF THE TRUCK WITH THE DRIVER STATING BUSTED MUFFLERS BLOWING SMOKE IN HIS FACE

IN CASE APPELLEE RIGHT TO SUE LETTER FROM EEOC WAS NOT ENOUGH DOES NOT THE ADA LAW ALLOW YOU TO FILE WITH OR WITHOUT THIS LETTER

DID THE COURTS FAIL IN NOT ALLOWING ANOTHER REVIEW IN APPELLEE'S COMPLAINT WHEN FRCP STATE THAT IF AN APPEAL HAS BEEN TAKEN AND ALLOWED BY PRO-SE THEN ALL APPEALS SHOULD BE HEARD AND EXHAUSTED TO THE FINISH BY PRO-SE

ARE NOT EMPLOYERS SUPPOSED TO GIVE EMPLOYEES AN INSURANCE CARD WITH THE NAME OF THE INSURER

AFTER THE INCIDENT WITH APPELLEE VISITING THE COMPANY HE WAS RETOLD HE SHOULD BE RECEIVING NOTICE OF INJURY AND NEVER DID WSA THAT NOT WRONG

## LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	pg 1-5	1
JURISDICTION	pg 6	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED		
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	pg 7	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	pg 8	
CONCLUSION	MENTAL HEALTH TILLS STATUTE LIMITATION pg 9	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	POLYGRAPH STATEMENT
APPENDIX B	DESICTION OF US DISTRICT COURT AT DTR
APPENDIX C	DESICTION V SCURT OF APPEAL
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

674SE 2D

48 GA CT APP 2009

STATUTORY AND MRULES 2010 GA CODE TITLE 45 CHAPTER 19 ARTICLE 2

45 19 44 UNLAWFUL EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES

45-19-29 UNFAIR PRACTICES

45-19-44 PRACTICES PUNISHABLE BY CIVIL FINE

2010 GA NCODE 33-6-24 UNFAIR CLAIM SETTLEMENT PRACTICE

OCGA 33-6-34UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES

US CONSTITUTION

OTHER

SEXUAL HARRASSMENT

CATASTROPIC INJURY

GA CODE TITLE 9

CIVIL PRACTICE CHAPTER 11

CIVIL PRACTICE ACT ART 8

9-11-67

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment bel

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix 5  
the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_  
the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at  
Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court  
appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 1-30-18

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 1-30-18, and a copy order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

IN JUNE OF 1989 APPELLE WAS INJURED RIDING ON THE BACK OF A SANITATION TRUCK WHEN THE DRIVER PULLED UP OR STOPPED SUDDENLY AND THROUGH APPELLES BODY AGAINST THE BACK OF THE TRUCK HITTING HIS HEAD AND SHOULD BE FALLING TO THE GROUND AND INJURED FOR LIFE AND RUSHED TO THE HOSPITAL WHERE IT WAS FOUND THAT HE HAD A CATASTROPIC INJURY AND WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO WORK THIS JOB AGAIN AND THE COMPANY HAS NEGLECTED OR OVERSIGHTED THE FACT OF THE INJURY AND APPELLE BEING LEFT IN THE COLD WITHOUT HAVING AFTER CARE OR WORKERS COMP OR MEDICAL BILLS PAID WHERE APPELLE HAS ACCUMULATED THROUGH THE YEARS LOTS OF MEDICAL BILLS AND AFTER THE INJURY BEING RETIRED BY SOCIAL SERVICE IN 1996 WHEN AFTER NOT HEARING FROM THE COMPANY AND BEING LEAD ON STATING INVESTIGATION IN THE DRIVERS CHARACTER FOLLOWING THE INCIDENT WITH QUESTIONS OF HIS CHARACTER AND MOTIVES AS TO SOME OF THE TALKS SAID PRIOR TO THE ACCIDENT CONCERNING SEXUAL PREFERENCE AND ASSOCIATION AND WANTS AND STILL NOT RESPONDING WHEN CONTACTED ON THE CARE OF APPELLE LEFT IN THE COLD WITH BILLS OF ALL SORTS WHOM AFTER TIME WENT TO EEOC AND WAS GIVEN THE RIGHT TO SUE LETTER AND ASKED AND ATTY MR JOHN F SWEET TO INVESTIGATE TO NO SETTLEMENT OF ANY KIND AND LEAVING APPELLE STUCK WITH THIS SITUATION; LONG GOING FROM COURT TO COURT TRYING TO GET RECOGNIZED FOR THE MISTREATMENT OR NEGLECT OR NON CARE OF THE COMPANY



REASON FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

APPELEE FEELS THE COMPANY DID WRONG IN NOT KEEPING UP WITH APPELEE INJURED ON THE JOB AND HARRASSED AND TOLD OF THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE INJURY CATASTROPIC AND THE NEED FOR REST AND NO HARD WORK OF ANY KIND AND BEING NOTIFIED BY THE EMERGENCY DOCTOR AND THE COMPANY SHOULD AFTER INJURING SAID INDIVIDUAL SHOULD HAVE THE AFTER CARE AND THE MEDICAL BILLS AND THE FACT OF APPELEE BEING PLACED ON TOTAL DISABILITY BY SOCIAL SERVICE IN 1996 FOR WORK RELATED INJURY FOR LIFE THIS IS UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICE UNFAIR CLAIM PRACTICES VIOLENCE IN THE WORK PLACE ITS JOB DISCRIMINATION TAKING CARE OF ONE EMPLOYEE AND NOT TAKING CARE OF ANOTHER AND COULD RACIALLY MOTIVATED TAKING CARE OF A WHITE EMPLOYEE AND NOT TAKING CARE OF A BLACK ONE

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael Bynum

Date: July 14, 2018