

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Celestine G. Thompson — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

Kirstjen Nielson ^{vs.}
Secretary of — RESPONDENT(S)
Homeland Security

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Court of Appeals, For The 11th Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Celestine G. Thompson
(Your Name)

437 N.W. 19th Court
(Address)

Pompano Beach, FL 33060
(City, State, Zip Code)

561-229-8512
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Were DHS-ICE officials in Plan with Criminal and other individuals to streamline Appellant's Career to an end?
2. Were DHS-ICE officials Part of Massive Scheme involving Witchcraft Practices to condition the work atmosphere / environment to: Hate and other harsh conditions making work unpleasant and unsafe, ultimately, removing Appellant from her Federal Career?
3. Were DHS-ICE officials involved in Massive scheme of Bribery to bring Harm to Appellant, her children by way of threats, coercion, ultimately removing Appellant from her Federal career?

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

4. Were managing DHS-ICE Officials involved in Proposed Unfair Performance Improvement Plan SET TO FAIL and Remove Appellant from her Federal Career?
5. DID managing DHS-ICE official Laugh and smirked Facial Expression while viewing Black Face photo poor picture taken by Airport official, NOT the Regular Headquarter photo operation, of Appellant, NOT allowing Appellant to retake photo when DHS-ICE ^{HQ} official ordered the picture retaken immediately.
[Dark Face photo Attached, with A clearer normal DHS photo by HEADQUARTERS Attached For Comparison]

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW..... 1

JURISDICTION..... 2

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED 3

STATEMENT OF THE CASE 4

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT 11

CONCLUSION..... 12

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A *Opinion of the U.S. Court of Appeals^{11th Cir.}*

APPENDIX B *Opinion of the U.S. District Court - S.D. FL*

APPENDIX C *U.S. Ct. of Appeals 11th Cir. Rehearing Petition Denial*

APPENDIX D *DHS-ICE Photo [Evidence]*

APPENDIX E *DHS-ICE Photo [Evidence]*

APPENDIX F *DHS-ICE Founding Member Certificate*

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

1. Celestine G. Thompson v. Annette Newsome, ET AL, 4, 7, 8
U.S. Supreme CT, Case # 17-6738
2. Celestine G. Thompson v. Charles Teekagy, ET AL, 4, 7, 8
U.S. Supreme CT, Case # 17-7434
3. Celestine G. Thompson v. Jeh Johnson
Secretary, DHS, U.S. D. CT. SDFL Case # 15-61868-
- CV - Dimitroukas 4
4. Celestine G. Thompson v. Jeh Johnson, Sec.
DHS, U.S. D SDFL # 15-61793 - CV - Dimitroukas 6
5. Josephine V. Gray v. USA, U.S. D. CT Maryland
Civil Action # DKC-09-0532 6
6. USA v. Mangione, FLA S.D.
Case No. DKC 01-0566 7

STATUTES AND RULES

- 5 USC Section 2302
- 5 USC Section 552a of the Privacy Act
- 18 USC Section 201(b)(A) of 1974
- 18 USC Section 115
- 18 USC Section 249
- 18 USC Section 4101
- Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964,
Section 2000e-2 [Section 705] (a)(1)(2) and
Section 2000e-16 [Section 717] (c)
- Section Public L 1091-12 as amended of
The Whistleblower Act of 1989

OTHER

Congressional Inquiry # 83280
Senator Bill Nelson - Florida

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was JAN 31, 2018.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: MAY 3, 2018, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- United States Code
- Civil Rights Act of 1964
- The Whistleblower Act of 1989
- Congressional Inquiry
Florida Senate

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This case matter merits the Assignment of Attorney(s), to see how many people, some trusted United States government officials would lie than to be truthful of their unlawful behaviors to save their shameful backgrounds. Violations of the United States law, involving Local Broward Sheriff office whom was assigned to work DHS-ICE, Fort Lauderdale, an officer, sergeant whom has refused to assist my cases: Celestine G. Thompson v. Annette Newsome^{ETAL}, U.S. Supreme Court Case #17-6738; Celestine G. Thompson v. Charles Tebague, et al, U.S. Supreme Court Case #17-7434. The cases involve U.S. DHS-ICE employees. The vicious unfair removal of Appellant from the Fort Lauderdale Federal Courthouse building, January 23, 2015 Case #: Celestine G. Thompson v. Jeh Johnson, Secretary, DHS, U.S. D.C. So. Dist. FL Case # 15-61868-CV-Dimitrouleas.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

DHS-ICE Special Agent in Charge, Miami, Florida, the Assistant Office in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida plotted to remove Appellant by way of removing major jobs from her; establishing Performance Improvement Plan Against Appellant in poor Vehicle Management Review by Headquarters officials whom was puzzled by many hands involved, Giving blame to Appellant, When matter was not Justified, A proposed Removal of Appellant from the U.S. Federal government- ALL Unjustified against Appellant.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

My religion was attacked by evil
Chainletter of DHS-ICE official,
whom had constantly harassed
me, the Management Program
Specialist, Case: Celestine G.-
Thompson v. Jeh Johnson, Secretary,
DHS, U.S.D. CT So. DIST FL Case # 15-61793-
Cv-Dimitrouleas. This case is based
on, to best of Probe Acknowledgement,
and case processing - Evil Witchcraft,
Case: Josephine V. Gray v. USA, U.S. D. CT.
Maryland, Civil Action # DKC-09-0532.
Appellant has witnessed on three
occasions 'Pots of Brew' carried into
Group Supervisor Steve Houk office
by Mission Support Specialist, N. Cruz.
A manager in the office throwing
up a 'Wooden Cross' at me to
scare me upon entering office
of manager on one occasion I
was called to come to manager office.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I became confused to the constant problems from DHS-ICE managing officials when I was performing great work. The same managers 'Threatened to Harm my Children'; I reported the matter immediately to Internal Affairs, Washington, D.C. Manager Mangione Charge of Pornography; USA v. Mangione, FLA S.D. Case # DKC-01-0566, I feel strongly as a mother is linked to case; Celestine G. Thompson v. Charles Teebagy, ET AL, Case # U.S. Supreme: 17-7434 + Celestine G. Thompson v. Annette Newsome ET AL, U.S. Supreme Ct. Case # 17-6738. The Haitian, 5Token ID case of Pompano Beach, FL-2005- DHS ICE officials is linked to the above cases as well, my Stolen Badge/Credentials + Driver License, Reported to Oaklark Park, FL P.D.; Someone Reported Appellant as deceased in 2007 - I.R.S. Informed Appellant in 2010.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The case is Extreme hate of some Government officials, one main source: A. Renfer, whom had immediately began to Disrespect Appellant in 1992. A. Renfer, the office secretary became seriously involved with Criminals in above mentioned U.S. District Court, now U.S. Supreme Cases: 17-6738 and 17-7434, respectively. DHS-ICE officials came to A. Renfer's rescue, the ultimate with the Criminals - Bribery - to Remove Appellant from her Federal employ immediately, and this is part of what has happened - Jeopardizing U.S. Govt ofc, & its employees at cost of: pure hatred. This matter seriously needs investigating to thoroughly clean the U.S. South Florida Federal Government. I stand 100% Integrity always, especially to the U.S. Government. I am Founding Member DHS-ICE - Certificate Attached.

8

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

To Clarify: The Haitian matter -
in 2005 in Pompano Beach, Florida,
DHS-ICE officials arrested
Haitian woman processing stolen
I.D.'s - The ill reporting against
me of a disgruntled evil
individual, whomever it may be,
possible 'Inter-Govt' - Gave incorrect
info. of my character. "I am NOT
a Thief nor will I 'Ever' Overthrow
the United States Government. I
DID NOT use my Badge or I.D.
in any manner other than what
was intended for.

my Federal Career has been Railroaded;
Disrespected by Americans, uncaring &
uncaring immigrants, when I tried
to help them ALL to goodness.
All matters I felt important &
needed help was sent to Senator
Bill Nelson in urgency. Congressional
Inquiry Number: 83280. 9

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

DHS-ICE official allowed office officials to Administer the Dangerous Liquids, AND all other means to Practice the Witchcraft Process along with the Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms officials - Administrative employees, Including major Hub office in charge of many Evil Criminal elements to these Processes:

Managers of: Wage, Hour and Division, and possibly others to engage in these dangerous acts with many dangerous individuals - NOT Caring of the Work, missions of Federal Courthouse, its tenants, but to engage in Destroying Targeted people's Lives: THE Appellant is one of the targeted for destruction.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The assignment of Attorney(s) to this case to determine the extent of Damages that have been Done to Appellant and her Children, for proper Relief can be Given in the Case for their Pain, suffering and injustice in this Case Matter. There has been a major Cover up in this Case that needs Unveiling to finally bring some justice to Appellant and Children + the U.S. Government. The Practicing of Witchcraft in an office of people has violated health of Appellant and others, including the Fort Lauderdale Federal Courthouse Building AS a whole. 11

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Celestine H. Thompson

Date: September 28, 2018