

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court Of The United States


Case #18-6383: Robert J. Kulick v. Steven Rein
(Re: State Bar Of Calif. Inquiry #:17-16823)

Petition For ReHearing

1. This Petition presented is in good faith & not for delay.
2. The grounds for this Petition are limited intervening circumstances for other grounds not previously presented.

Other Grounds Not Previously Presented

1. In Exhibit A, Does Robert J. Kulick have a constitutional right to have his individual rights restored to prevail in this case when the State Bar, Calif. has in its letter dated 12-8-17 mis-informed the true & correct facts that Steven Rein did violate the Business & Professional Code #6068(o) since he must report sanctions when these sanctions were not related to "discovery", which was contrary to what the Bar contends that Rein's sanctions were related to "discovery", & that he was required to report these sanctions since they were over \$1,000 when he was sanctioned for \$2,000 which was contrary to what the Bar contends Rein's sanctions were less than \$1,000?
2. In Exhibit A, Are Kulick's individual rights under the constitution been violated when Minute Order dated 7-27-17 clearly states that Rein was being sanctioned because he enjoined with Kulick, "failed to participate in the 'meet & confer' process which has nothing to do with the "discovery" process, which was contrary to the Bar's contention as it relates to above item #1, & the fact that Rein not Kulick had the control, as Kulick's attorney of record, which caused these sanctions, therefore Kulick's individual rights in the foregoing should be restored, since being violated on its face?
3. In Exhibit B, Has Kulick's individual rights under the constitution been violated in Re: 10-26-17 fax to Bar/Becerra/Hallinan fax, which specifically addresses that the Bar refused to respond to Kulick's 12-30-15 fax in Re: "question" in Re: 10/24 Rein reply, since this relates to Rein's Motion To Be Relieved as Kulick's Counsel that Rein stopped in Re: his "blackmail against me" & that Kulick had tape recorded Rein (intent to provide evidence of support against Rein's criminal activity as it relates to his "blackmail against me"), & this tape recording still available to this court if/when it grants a hearing on this case matter to restore Kulick's individual rights in the foregoing circumstances (this court already has evidence of Kulick's poorest of health conditions which at this time, he's unable to have a copy made of this "tape" for this matter now before you), & further evidence in support of the foregoing are copies of 2016 notes in Re: the tape recordings & Verizon billing invoice with date 1-4-16 phone with Rein that all relates to this "blackmail" situation, its the Bar's failure to properly investigate by directly contacting Rein based on the foregoing circumstances & that relates to the violation of my individual rights, after-all this court's duty is to protect one's individual rights, otherwise it serves no



3. (Cont'd): purpose in Re: the general public's faith, confidence & trust in our judicial system under the Rule of Law, & that's what this Petition is all about basically?

Certification

I, Robert J. Kulick, certify that everything in this Petition For ReHearing is true & correct to the best of my knowledge & belief.

Signed: In Pro Per, Robert J. Kulick  Dated: 1-24-19

Proof Of Service Via U.S. Mail

On 1-24-19, I served the within Petition For ReHearing including the attached Exhibits following this Proof of Service on the party defending in Re: Inquiry #17-16823, Respondent: Steven Rein, as follows:

State Bar of Calif., 845 S. Figueroa St., L.A. CA 90017
Please note: This Bar is mandated to provide Steven Rein a copy of this Petition

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true & correct.

Dated at Camarillo, CA on 1-24-19



Robert J. Kulick in Pro Per