

No. \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
\_\_\_\_\_

LORENZO MICQUELL LATIMER — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

VS.

JEFF MACOMBER, Warden — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)



PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

LORENZO M. R. LATIMER WRIGHT, #AH1578  
(Your Name)

4001 King Avenue, CSP-Corcoran  
(Address)

Corcoran, California, 93212  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(NAN)  
(Phone Number)



## QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

QUESTION 1: ....When UNACCEPTABLE INCUFFICIENT evidence is portrayed upon the Defendant within a criminal case, by way of prior criminal record; does "harmless error" CONSTITUTE the Due Process of Law found within the United States and State Law(s) to be moved from insitu towards "plain error" review of FACT?...

QUESTION 2: ....When government attorney for defense/public defender DOES NOT make ANY verbal argument in effort or CARE to The Court to REMOVE such FALSE evidence KNOWN to them through client and FACT of triers; DOES THAT or DOES NOT THAT omissive proffer ineffective assistance of counsel and incompetence to and of a "layman" in defense?...

Question 3: ....Is it in any way Constitutional if a Criminal Conviction is upheld and published for public record when the facts of previous conviction record and trial proceedings transcript CLEARLY show purjured testimony before the Cross-Exam of D.A., and False account of criminal record for REVIEW for Justice fact finders?...

Question 4: ....Can "harmless error" review be considered into the account of a Petitioner's error in application of need for redress/relief?...

Question 5: ....When DUE diligence is shown by transcript record; as well as the FACTUAL case record of a CLEAR violation of the EVIDENCE CODE; that was found and obtained through TRANSCRIPT record ORDERED to be produced by the Honorable Magistrate Judge for proven FACT; is'nt that a CONSTITUTIONAL calling for an Evidentiary Hearing?...

## LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[x] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RESPONDENT: Attorney for RESPONDENT- Corey Jonathan Robins, I, Esquire  
Direct: (213) 576-1343  
AGCA-Office of the California Attorney General  
300 South Spring Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90013

RESPONDENT: Circuit Judge[s]- Canby; and Silverman  
of The United States Court Of Appeals  
For The Ninth Circuit  
At: CLERK, U.S. Court Of Appeals For The Ninth Circuit,  
P.O. Box 193939, San Francisco, California 94119-3939

RESPONDENT: Circuit Judge[s]- McKeown; and N.R. Smith  
of The United States Court Of Appeals  
For The Ninth Circuit  
At: CLERK, U.S. Court Of Appeals For The Ninth Circuit,  
P.O. Box 193939, San Francisco, California 94119-3939

RESPONDENT: United States District Judge- Honorable Dale S. Fischer,  
United States Magistrate Judge- Honorable Kenly Kiyao Kato  
of The United States District Court Central District of California  
At: United States District Court,  
Office Of The Clerk, U.S. Courthouse, Room G8,  
Los Angeles, California 90012

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>U.S. Court of Appeals</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>U.S. District Court</i>
APPENDIX C	<i>U.S. Court of Appeals</i>
APPENDIX D	<i>State Court of Appeals Second Appellate District / Superior Court of Case KA085849</i>
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Court of Appeal Second Appellate Dist court appears at Appendix D to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was March 16, 2018.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: April 20, 2018, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

The provision(s) 28 U.S.C. may apply to this matter; and shall be served on the Attorney General of the State of California. 28 U.S.C. §2403[b], that is.

LML\*

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was January 23, 2013 ~~February 2, 2013~~ LML  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: April 17, 2013, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

### I. Constitutional Provisions: The FIFTH; FOURTEENTH; and SIXTH Amendments of our United States Constitution...;

28 U.S.C. §455.[b][3], [d][1]...; 28 U.S.C. §636.[b][1][A]...;...and [b][1][C]  
28 U.S.C. §636.[d]...; 28 U.S.C. §1251.[a],[b][1][2][3]...;  
28 U.S.C. §1253. ...; 28 U.S.C. §1254.[1],[2]...; 28 U.S.C. §1257.[a]...;  
28 U.S.C. §1331. ...; 28 U.S.C. §1343.[a][1][2][3][4]...;  
28 U.S.C. §1361. ...; 28 U.S.C. §1443.[1][2]...; 28 U.S.C. §1446.[a][b][c][d]...  
28 U.S.C. §1652. ...; 28 U.S.C. §1654.[a]...; 28 U.S.C. §1738. ...;  
28 U.S.C. §18611826.[a]... ; 28 U.S.C. §2071.[a]-[e] ...;  
28 U.S.C. §2072.[a][b][c] ...; 28 U.S.C. §20733.[a][1],[2][b], [2][2], [d]...;  
28 U.S.C. §2074.[a][b]...; 28 U.S.C. §2077.[a][b]...; 28 U.S.C. §2101.[c][d]...  
28 U.S.C. §2102. ...; 28 U.S.C. §2104. ...; 28 U.S.C. §2106. ...;  
28 U.S.C. §2108. ...; 28 U.S.C. §2111. ...; 28 U.S.C. §2202. ...;  
28 U.S.C. §2403.[a][b] ...; 28 U.S.C. § ...

### II. Federal Rules of Civil Procedures: Rule 8.[a]-[1,2,3]; and [b]-[3,5,6] ...; Rule 9. [a][1][2], [b],[f] ...; Rule 35.[a][1],[b][2][4] ...; Rule 36.[a][1][2][3][4][5][6], [b] ...; Rule 60.[a], [b][1][2][3][6], [d][1][2] and [3] ...; Rule 61. ...; Rule 72.[b][1] and [3] ...; Rule 73.[c] ...; Rule 80. ...; Rule 83.[a][1][2] ...; California Code of Civil Procedures; §80.09[5], [1][2][3][4] and [8] ...; American Bar Association (ABA): CANON 7 ...; and CANON 5...; California Business & Professions Code §6068. ...; California Code of Civil Procedures: §1.04 [iv] ...; California Penal Code: PC 273.5[a]; PC 451[D]; PC 205; PC 203; PC 664/187[a]; VC 10851[a]; VC 20002[a], and PC 1170.12[a]-[D] ...]... The State of California's "Three Strikes" law...

### III. Treaties:

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED


CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Please forgive me

I need to mail for Deadline

Petitioner,

M. J. J.

#AH1578

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER



## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Within my family, there is a serious "crack cocaine" and heroin usage drug problem that goes on between the various households that are my immediate family members between myself; mother & father's home; and the aunt & uncles' home along with my "so called" cousins of their 5 son siblings; and the mothers of MAINLY 2 of my 3 children; now adults with their own kids; and my now 10 year old son, who was 14 months old on the day that I attacked his "birth giver"/ bio mother, with a razor blade while us arguing in a Motel parking lot on that January 27, 2009 morning. The anger came on to me after Darlene Diaz, the victim, told me to not try to look for my son and her, after she and I argued for months, in pertainings to her obvious procurement of our then toddler becoming aged son, Quatlar C. Wright, began to always reach for my penis when around me and his mother doing sex or "sex play" things with each other, as if it was being taught to him to accompany the such acts. I did not want her to be involving my son with the such "crack" addicts within my family, who USE the children in Child Porn postings, and selling them for drug trade in sex trafficking, as if it's the "thing to do" for receiving "fame". And while away in Georgia and returning back to California, she did that ANYWAY. All of my anguish came out upon her because she knew that I had found child porn images of my 2nd born daughter, at her age of 5 and up, in sex trafficking acts, that I knew were stemmed from my family's addictions. I told her of those facts; she tried to involve my son with those people for HER! drug habit return; and I lost it.....Case KA085849

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I hope to hear a response from you, Our Honorable Justice of The United States.


I must send this out in DEADLINE. It is clear....

Please except this Petition in ex-parte, as I am indigent in purchasing copies; and I am NEVER given PRIORITY legal use of the law library, nor called to attend whenever I do request for need of use.

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "L. M. A.", written over a horizontal line.

Date: 7/19/2018