

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DENNIS - MARTIN — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

10th CIR. COURT OF APPEALS — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

10th CIR. - (DID NOT Rule ON MERITS).
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

DENNIS - MARTIN, # 145314
(Your Name)

J.H.C.C. D-2-103, P.O. Box 548
(Address)

LEXINGTON, OKLA. 73051
(City, State, Zip Code)

NONE
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- (1) - What AUM NUK IS AVAILABLE TO SEEK HABEAS WHEN A MENTALLY AND PHYSICALLY DISABLED STATE PRISONER IS HELD IN PRISON FOR 33 YEARS - BEFORE - HE FINDS OUT THAT HE WAS NEVER ARRESTED, TRIED, CONVICTED, OR STATE COURT HAS NO RECORD OF HIS EVER BEING ARRAIGNED OR SENTENCED AND PRISON SYSTEM CAN NOT PRODUCE A JUDGMENT AND SENTENCING ORDER?
- (2) - IS IT ABUSE OF DISCRETION TO DENY A CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY WITHOUT OUR PROCESS OF A HEARING,?
- (3) - WHEN THE STATE CAN NOT PRODUCE A RECORD OF A PERSON'S ARREST, BOND PROCEEDING, ARRAIGNMENT, PLEA-PROCEEDINGS, TRIAL-SENTENCING OR A JUDGMENT AND SENTENCING ORDER WHAT MEANS IS AVAILABLE TO HIM TO SEEK RELEASE WHEN STATE COURTS SUSPEND HABEAS, AND FEDERAL COURT REFUSE TO ALLOW A HEARING OR PROCESS HABEAS, THEN DENY A CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY?

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A 10th Cir Court Order of 8/14/18

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

LONCHAR -V- THOMAS, 116 S. Ct 1293, 1299, 1303
 AWARD -V- OKLA., 670 F 3d 111 (Wth. Cir.)
 MURRY -V- CARRARA, 106 S. Ct At 2651 N. 500
 U.S. -V- HAYMAN, 342 US 250
 ELLIS -V- MABAY, 601 F 2d 363
 HICKS -V- OKLA., 100 S. Ct 2227
 ASHRE -V- SWANSON, 90 S. Ct 1189
 LYNCE -V- MATHEW, 117 S. Ct 891
 STRONK -V- MARKLY, 86 S. Ct 67
 YICK -WO -V- HOPKINS, 118 US 356 (1886).
 ALLEN -V- MCCURRY, 101 S. Ct 411
 MARBURY -V- MADISON, 5 US 137 (1-CRANCH) 1803.
 U.S. -V- SLATTON, 865 F3d 767 (2d 2017).
 U.S. -V- FERGUSON 106 S. Ct 125

STATUTES AND RULES

28 USC § 2241 (a) (e) (i) (2)-
 28 USC § 2254 (A)
 28 USC § 1658

(PETITIONER IS MENTALLY AND PHYSICALLY
 DISABLED, DENIED ACCESS TO A LAW LIBRARY,
 OR MEANINGFUL ASSISTANCE FROM ANYONE
 HE CAN NOT READ OR WRITE. OKLA.
 PRISON LAW LIBRARIES ACCESSIBLE (3) HAS
 A WEEK, 1-RESEARCH MACHINES FOR
 1200 PRISONERS).
 THIS IS THE BEST HE CAN DO.

OTHER

U.S. CONSTITUTION Art (1) Sec (9).
 U.S. CONST Art (6) Cl (2)
 U.S. CONST Amend. (1)-(4)-(8) AND (14th).
 U.S. CONST Art (2) BILL OF RIGHTS (10) -

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at N/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

☐ reported at N/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**: N/A

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[] For cases from **federal courts:**

N/A

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 8/14/18.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[] For cases from **state courts:**

N/A

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

DUE TO NOT BEING ABLE TO READ OR WRITE, BEING MENTALLY AND PHYSICALLY DISABLED AND HANDICAPPED, PETITIONER SPENT 33 YEARS IN PRISON WITHOUT BEING ARRESTED, TRIED, CONVICTED, OR SENTENCED, AS -

NO DOCUMENTS CAN BE PRODUCED.

NO STATE - COURT WILL HEAR HIS CASE.

NO - FEDERAL COURT WILL HEAR HIS CASE.

10th. APPEALS COURT REFUSES TO HEAR HIS CASE.

NO COURT WILL WRITE HIM BEFORE IT FOR "AN-INQUIRY" INTO WHY STATE PRISON OFFICIALS HAVE HIM IN PRISON WITHOUT A JUDGMENT AND SENTENCING ORDER, NO STATE AGENCY CAN PRODUCE ANY RECORDS OF AN ARREST, TRIAL OR CONVICTION.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

A PERSON SHOULD BE RELEASED FROM PRISON WHEN
THERE IS NO RECORD OF HIS ARREST, TRIAL, CONVICTION
OR SENTENCE.

A PERSON SHOULD BE RELEASED FROM PRISON WHEN
NO STATE OR FEDERAL COURT WILL HEAR HIS HABEAS
CORPUS APPLICATION, OR EVEN WRITE HIM TO COURT FOR AN
INQUIRY/HEARING OR LET HIM APPEAL.

THERE MUST BE SOME ADEQUATE JUSTIFICATION
TO THIS PETITIONER TO A FINAL DENIAL OF A QUALIFICATION
OF IMPROBABILITY OR A HEARING ON THAT MATTER.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Dennis Martin

Date: 9/28/2018