

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OCTOBER 2018 TERM

DAWUD RAHIM #166774

PETITIONER-APPELLANT

v

SOUTH CAROLINA DEPT. OF
PROBATION PAROLE AND
PARDON SERVICES

RESPONDENT-APPELLEE

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH
CIRCUIT.

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF FILING PETITION

Dawud Rahim #166774
F3 B27 Allendale Corr. Inst.
1057 Revolutionary Trail
P O Box 1151
Fairfax, SC 29827
Prose Petitioner-Appellant

South Carolina Dept. of Probation,
Parole, and Pardon Services
2221 Devine St
P O Box 50666
Columbia, SC 29250
Respondent-Appellee

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF FILING PETITION

Pursuant to Rule 13.5, Petitioner-Appellant Dawud Rahim, #166774 respectfully applies for a sixty (60) day extension of time to file a Petition for writ of Certiorari.

JURISDICTION

The basis for jurisdiction in this Court is based on 28 USC § 1254. The judgement sought to be reviewed is the December 21, 2017, decision of the United States Court of Appeals Fourth Circuit in Dawud Rahim v. South Carolina Dept. of Probation Parole and Pardon Services, Case No. 17-6825, (0:16-cv-02440-JMC)

A copy of the December 21, 2017 decision is attached. A copy of the Order denying Petition for rehearing en banc issued February 2017, 2018 is also attached. The ninetieth (90th) day from the Order denying rehearing is May 28, 2018, as in accordance with Rule 13.3.

PETITIONERS STATEMENT OF GOOD CAUSE

I, Dawud Rahim, #166774, am the Petitioner-Appellant a prose litigant in this matter. The issues and merits of this case raised in / by the Petition to be submitted by the Petitioner-Appellant constitute important questions of Constitutional Law and, though limited, protected rights which are retained of and by an incarcerated individual which have not been, but should be addressed and settled by this Court.

They primarily deal with the repudiation of an established Protected liberty interest which the State of South Carolina created and established by and with the usage of mandatory language in its statutes of law governing Parole.

Also, violations by the Respondent and the actors under its authority, denying the Petitioner-Appellant the Protected Procedural due Process and equal protection of law, (Federal as well as state), guaranteed him by U.S. Constitutional Amendment FOURTEEN. These protections were invoked by the State Statute's terminology and and usage of mandatory language within those Statutes governing Parole, as well as their active participation of intrinsic fraud.

Another reason for requesting an extension to file, is I have not had sufficient time to familiarize myself

with the format and procedures / Rules necessary, as well as given the time needed to complete the research, analyze and assemble a complete, compliant petition of / for certiorari for filing with the Court and serving the Respondent.

In addition, I am limited time-wise as to the access of the Institution's Law Library, which entails extremely limited access to the on-line service of WESTLAW services. This access involves one (1) hour per day, one (1) day per week and availability based on first come first served on the WESTLAW computer.

Given the time required to successfully research and assemble a petition for certiorari, I respectfully request an extension of time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,

Dawud Rahim 166774
Dawud Rahim #166774
Prose Petitioner-Appellant

5-8, 2018