

embezzlement, six could say it's trick. As long as all 12 of you get there, that's fine. You don't have to agree on which theory it is.

"P482 THE DEFENDEAT IS CHARGED IN COUNT 1 WITH GRAND THEFT BY EMBEZZLEMENT P.C. 503 P483 THE DEFENDEAT IS CHARGED IN COUNT 1 WITH BRAND THEFT BY EMBEZZLEMENT P.C. WITH GRAND THEFT BY EMBEZZLEMENT P.C. 487" Then why is the theory (viable one said Goethals) by public defender, Shuli for me, fully for embezzlement!? (at representative herself section)  
Then, how many choices are to jurors to pick for guilty at count 1, from one choice of embezzlement p.c. 503, to, p.c. 503 and p.c. 487 by trick and by embezzlement?

\* P.372-373 Juror nine(9): She seems to be spending a lot of time trying to prove that it's - that she didn't commit an embezzlement, but is she charged with grand theft does she know that?

\* The court: She is **fully aware of what the charges are and she is allowed to fashion her own defense.** And you folks haven't heard the instructions- -  
Juror9 : I understand. I understand

The witness(me) : The thing is, yes, I was charged with grand theft and there is an embezzlement, embezzlement as if I was holding the money for the Komex and I just took it--- **it's not correct translation also.**

\* P410-413, after p372 Still Goethals intended me to not know grand theft at, P271 P267-268, P 287-289. Goethals intended that I testified all through the court to deny with pronouncing of embezzlement, as Decision mentions **she could not be charged with embezzlement ---not guilty of embezzlement.** Also all contents of the prosecutor evidence and Decision, are about embezzlement contents as like The Preliminary, as Decision and as the case, in Korea. **I did not know the count1, grand theft, till the letter from APPELLATE DEFENDERS, INC. ON MAY 11.2016 after 6 months later jury court. Appendix B-12**

p. 451, The court: 1, grand theft, \*under two theories: Trick and embezzlement and also count 2,

Ms. Kim(me) : I don't get it, what embezzlement and what?

The court: Trick. That's a \*different theory of grand theft and it is included in your package. But the - - -

P580 Soon Young Kim guilty of the crime of grand theft, \$950, a felony, in violation of **section 487(a)** of the penal code for the state of California. I need to know how I was the situation of guilty 487 by trick or by

1 *X. Only once, the judge told me about the grand theft*  
 REFERRED TO AS GRAND THEFT -- THAT'S COUNT 1 -- AND MONEY <sup>my less</sup>  
 2 *for this case, but he knew I missed because he knew English.*  
 LAUNDERING; THAT'S COUNT 2. THOSE ARE THE CHARGES. SO IF  
 3 *You will see it when you look at the mark below.*  
 YOU'D LIKE TO TALK ABOUT THOSE AND EXPLAIN YOUR DEFENSE ANY  
 4 *I wrote that the judge did not tell me, at all. It's only*  
 FURTHER ABOUT THOSE, NOW IS THE TIME. *incorrect at my main petition.*

5 *As the letter from Appellate Defenders Inc. (next*  
 GO AHEAD. *attachment), the judge, Goethals might be sure*

6 *And it's almost* THE WITNESS: THEN I HAVE A QUESTION TO THE JUDGE.

7 *by the end of testimony.* THE COURT: *that I would miss, one time of this mission, English*  
 WELL, THIS IS NOT A TIME TO ASK ME.

8 *"Grand theft" by my English problem which Goethals used*  
 QUESTIONS. IT'S A TIME FOR YOU TO TESTIFY

9 *The below of this transcripts, I missed. Goethals*  
 must be Kim -- THE WITNESS: THAT -- I KNOW THAT ON THE BURGLARY

10 *had seen me to be fixed embezzlement of this case*  
 CHARGE WAS DROPPED OFF

*by previous p271 and 287-289 after # 267-270 where he hid intentional*

11 THE COURT: MISS KIM, WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT

12 *with witness & d.a. together as a plot. The charge to 'lock' me, at preliminary court,*  
 CHARGES THAT DON'T EXIST ANY LONGER. THE JURY IS NOT

13 *and Korean Court by same contents of charge, were embezzlement but changed the charge at*  
 INTERESTED IN THAT AND IT'S NOT RELEVANT. THIS IS THE TIME

14 *discovery and jury, additionally "by trick or (and) by embezzlement that I didn't know till the letter as above*  
 TO PRESENT YOUR DEFENSE TO THE EXISTING CHARGES.

15 *Dist. attorney did objection, strick, and rebuttal, honestly, I did not know that*  
 THE WITNESS: (IN ENGLISH): OKAY, I KNOW THAT

16 *Dist. attorney did and these of 3 till transcripts, Goethals saw me to follow Goethals*  
 (IN KOREAN): I KNOW. I KNOW THAT. BUT THIS IS *and less English*

17 *deception to me not to do any objection, by Goethals' horrible dictator-ship to me at*  
 WHAT I THINK: *if I committed the crime of embezzlement and*

18 *whole court. P. defender, Shui, was their accomplice and insisted me guilty all the way*  
 THAT THE MONEY WAS IN MY ACCOUNT, AND IF THE MONEY IS IN MY

19 *Shui brought one short theory which was to me,*  
 ACCOUNT BECAUSE I EMBEZZLED IT, I CAN DO WHATEVER I WANT

20 *guilty but Goethals told me, viable, then Goethals*  
 WITH THAT MONEY. BUT WHY -- THEN WHY WOULD THEY BE

21 *sent me alone without new lawyer,*  
 CHARGING ME WITH A BURGLARY? *I denied at*

22 *as their plot to use me, suchless only embezzment by pronouncing as*  
 THE COURT: MISS KIM, DID YOU HEAR WHAT I SAID A ABOVE.

23 *English and none knowledge of jury court.*  
 MINUTE AGO? YOU DON'T NEED TO TALK ABOUT BURGLARY. THAT'S

24 NOT A CHARGE THIS JURY HAS TO DEAL WITH SO DON'T TALK ABOUT

25 IT. ● p 350, The court: Then, Mr. McFetridge at this point

26 THE WITNESS: NO, I'M -- NO, I'M ONLY BRINGING

*Mr. McFetridge: I don't anticipate any, your honor*

1 THE COURT: HOLD ON, MISS KIM. HOLD ON, MISS KIM.

2 MISS KIM, I HAVE EXPLAINED TO YOU, AS YOU WILL  
3 RECALL, THAT IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO TESTIFY WHEN IT'S YOUR  
4 TURN, YOU CAN CERTAINLY DO THAT. NOW IS NOT THE TIME FOR  
5 THAT. NOW IS THE TIME IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO ASK APPROPRIATE  
6 RELEVANT QUESTIONS OF THIS WITNESS, YOU CAN DO THAT. SO  
7 PLEASE DO SO.

8 IF YOU DO NOT HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, THAT'S FINE.  
9 YOU DO NOT HAVE TO ASK QUESTIONS. BUT IF YOU'D LIKE TO,  
10 NOW IS THE TIME. GO AHEAD.

11 CROSS-EXAMINATION +

12 Q. BY MS. KIM: MR. CHOI, WHAT DID YOU REPORT ME ON?

13 THE COURT: HOLD ON. LET HIM ANSWER, PLEASE.

14 THE WITNESS: I DON'T KNOW WHAT KIND OF THING.

15 BUT BECAUSE WE DID NOT RECEIVE MONEY BACK, THAT'S WHY I  
16 FILED THE REPORT TO THE POLICE.

17 Q. BY MS. KIM: YOU MADE A REPORT SAYING THAT I  
18 DIDN'T GIVE THE MONEY BACK; THAT, IN FACT, I TOOK THE  
19 MONEY. HOWEVER, SINCE YOU'RE THE PERSON WHO MADE A REPORT,  
20 DO YOU KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THE NAME OF THAT CRIME WAS THAT  
21 YOU MADE A REPORT ON?

22 A. SINCE I'M NOT A LAWYER, I DON'T KNOW.

23 Q. DO YOU THINK THAT YOUR ANSWER THAT YOU DO NOT KNOW  
24 IS LEGIT ANSWER? *McFetridge had to open their jurists' crimes,*

25 THE COURT: *but, McFetridge, Komex' attorney*  
*★ and Komex dealt with this case*  
26 ★ THE COURT: HOLD ON, HOLD ON, MISS KIM. IT'S KIND  
OF A TEDIOUS PROCESS HERE. YOU ARE ASKING YOUR QUESTION IN

*Judge, prosecutor had a plot what hide to me,*  
*with Choi, foreigner, to show america jurists, criminal,*  
*They throw away jurist pride for crimes*

1 KOREAN AND THIS WITNESS SPEAKS KOREAN. SO YOU AND HE CAN  
2 SPEAK TO EACH OTHER IN KOREAN, BUT NONE OF US IS GOING TO  
3 KNOW WHAT YOU'RE SAYING.

4 SO WHEN YOU SPEAK IN KOREAN, YOU HAVE TO WAIT AS  
5 YOU HAVE DONE FOR YOUR QUESTION TO BE TRANSLATED INTO  
6 KOREAN. THEN YOU HAVE TO WAIT FOR THE WITNESS'S  
7 INTERPRETER TO TRANSLATE IT FROM ENGLISH INTO KOREAN. THEN  
8 WE GOT TO GO BACKWARDS THROUGH THE SAME PROCESS SO IT TAKES  
9 A WHILE. SO PLEASE WAIT UNTIL AFTER YOU HAVE ASKED YOUR  
10 QUESTION, UNTIL THE JURORS HAVE HEARD THE WITNESS'S ANSWER  
11 IN ENGLISH. YOU WITH ME?

12 MS. KIM: YEAH, I UNDERSTAND.

13 THE COURT: GO AHEAD.

14 Q. BY MS. KIM: SO YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT YOU DON'T  
15 EVEN KNOW THE TITLE OF A CRIME THAT YOU REPORTED ME  
16 AGAINST? *Honestly, I did not know the difference of g. theft, embezzlement, fraud and felony. I just continued to ask the charge name because I thought his answer, embezzle-ment, then I wanted to say "then will you lie all at here again" as white Korean cases starting. But he was my*

17 THE COURT: DID WE GET AN ANSWER TO THAT? I'M NOT  
18 SURE WE GOT AN ANSWER. I'M NOT SURE IT WAS A QUESTION *continued.*  
19 BUT -- *Actually I thought his answer, then why he interrupted embezzlement then I was ready to say "then why you it is my proving of ch. 11"*

20 Q. BY MS. KIM: YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT THE CRIME YOU  
21 REPORTED ME ON, WHETHER -- YOU'RE TELLING THAT YOU DON'T  
22 EVEN KNOW WHETHER THE CRIME YOU REPORTED ME ON WAS AN  
23 EMBEZZLEMENT OR A FRAUD? *the judge hide this case changed by this court entry from grand theft, from embezzlement to grand theft by + trick also.*

24 A. THEN --?

25 Q. I DON'T THINK IT MAKES ANY SENSE. THE AMOUNT *to interrupt my question*  
26 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE IS THE \$1.92 MILLION. NOW YOU

(4)

1 Q. BY MR. MC FETRIDGE: ON THE INVOICE, THE DEAL  
2 YOU'RE MAKING IS FOR 1.94 MILLION, RIGHT?

3 A. YES.

4 Q. BUT YOU'RE GOING TO KEEP BACK A ~~BALANCE~~ OF 20,000  
5 UNTIL AFTER EVERYTHING GETS WEIGHED? *from the purchase price*

6 A. YES. IF THE WEIGHT IS CORRECT, THEN WE CAN PAY  
7 THEM IMMEDIATELY IF WE CONFIRM THE WEIGHT. *it not commission*

8 Q. RIGHT. AND THIS WOULD RELATE SOMEWHAT TO  
9 EXHIBIT 3, THE SECTION WHERE WE TALK ABOUT IF THE QUANTITY  
10 IS SHORTAGE OR IF IT'S OVER. THAT'S THE ADJUSTMENT? *27*

11 A. THAT'S CORRECT. *at the invoice of their mention they missed responsibility by me*

12 Q. NOW THIS \$20,000 YOU SAID YOU PAY TO THAT PERSON,  
13 WHO IS THAT PERSON? *The deception so boldly but openly from p. 245 that you can check.*

14 A. TO BAADA. *about commission they did such or sometimes, worse with the judge, all through the court.*

15 Q. SO WHETHER WE CALL IT A COMMISSION OR A SHORTAGE,  
16 THAT'S MISS KIM, HER COMPANY?

17 A. YES.

18 Q. BUT SHE DOESN'T GET THE COMMISSION AFTER THE  
19 SHIPMENT IS DONE, CORRECT? *Does anyone give a commission before getting the goods before the deal ends, also? At the 1st deal, Jurists insisted on commission \$95,000 to be paid, first with my profit and advanced payments as contract of the deal.*

20 MS. KIM: OH, MY --

21 THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT.

22 Q. BY MR. MC FETRIDGE: AND DO YOU KNOW -- JUST OUT  
23 OF CURIOSITY, WHAT DOES "T/T IN ADVANCE" MEAN? *of P/O*

24 A. IT'S SOME KIND OF ADVANCED PAYMENT. *for*

25 Q. ALL RIGHT. ABOUT HOW "EX-WORKS," DO YOU KNOW WHAT THAT  
26 MEANS? *before to get the goods who will send the purchasing money to no body, buyer can not write the \$1,920,000 to the broker by their P/O!? or without any evidence of factory!?*

*Wore*

*by my invoice!?*