

embezzlement, six could say it's trick. As long as all 12 of you get there, that's fine. You don't have to agree on which theory it is.

"P482 THE DEFENDEAT IS CHARGED IN COUNT 1 WITH GRAND THEFT BY EMBEZZLE-MENT P.C.503 P483 THE DEFENDEAT IS CHARGED IN COUNT 1 WITH BRAND THEFT BY EMBEZZLEMENT P.C WITH GRAND THEFT BY EMBEZZLEMENT P.C.487" *Then why is the theory (viable one said Goethals) by Public defender, Shuts for me, fully for embezzlement!?* (at representate herself section) Then, how many choices are to jurors to pick for guilty at count 1, from one choice of embezzlement p.c.503, to, p.c. 503 and p.c.487 by trick and by embezzlement?

\* P.372-373 Juror nine(9): She seems to be spending a lot of time trying to prove that it's - that she didn't commit an embezzlement ,but is she charged with grand theft does she know that?

✳ The court: She is fully aware of what the charges are and she is allowed to fashion her own defense. And you folks haven't heard the instructions- - - Juror9 : I understand. I understand

The witness(me) : The thing is, yes , I was charged with grand theft and there is an embezzlement, embezzlement as if I was holding the money for the Komex and I just took it--- it's not correct translation also. \*

\* P410-413, after p372 Still Goethals intended me to not know grand theft at, P271 P267-268, P 287-289. Goethals intended that I testified all through the court to deny with pronouncing of embezzlement, as Decision mentions she could not be charged with embezzlement ---not guilty of embezzlement. Also all contents of the prosecutor evidence and Decision, are about embezzlement contents as like The Preliminary, as Decision and as the case, in Korea. I did not know the count1, grand theft, till the letter from APPELLATE DEFENDERS, INC. ON MAY 11.2016 after 6monthes later jury court. Appendix-1.2

p. 451, The court: 1,grand theft,\*under two theories: Trick and embezzlement and also count 2,

Ms .Kim(me) : I don't get it, what embezzlement and what?

The court: Trick. That's a \*different theory of grand theft and it is included in your package. But the - - -

P580 Soon Young Kim guilty of the crime of grand theft , \$950, a felony, in violation of section 487(a) of the penal code for the state of California. I need to know how I was the situation of guilty 487 by trick or by

Only once, the judge told me about the grand theft  
 REFERRED TO AS GRAND THEFT -- THAT'S COUNT 1 -- AND MONEY <sup>my less</sup>  
 for this case, but he knew I missed because he knew English.  
 LAUNDERING; THAT'S COUNT 2. THOSE ARE THE CHARGES. SO IF  
 YOU'D LIKE TO TALK ABOUT THOSE AND EXPLAIN YOUR DEFENSE ANY  
 I wrote that the judge did not tell me, at all. It's only  
 FURTHER ABOUT THOSE, NOW IS THE TIME. incorrect at my main petition.  
 As the letter from Appellate Defenders Inc. (next  
 GO AHEAD.

attachment), the judge, Goethals might be sure

And it's almost THE WITNESS: THEN I HAVE A QUESTION TO THE JUDGE.

by the end that I would miss, one time of this inension, English  
 of testimony. THE COURT: WELL, THIS IS NOT A TIME TO ASK ME

"Grand theft" by my English problem which Goethals used  
 QUESTIONS. IT'S A TIME FOR YOU TO TESTIFY

must be The below of this transcripts, I missed. Goethals  
 Kim -- THE WITNESS: THAT -- I KNOW THAT ON THE BURGLARY

had seen me to be fixed embezzlement of this case  
 CHARGE WAS DROPPED OFF

by previous P291 and 289-289 after # 269-270 where he hided intensional

THE COURT: MISS KIM, WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT  
 With witness & d.a. together, as a plot. The charge to lock me, at preliminary court,  
 CHARGES THAT DON'T EXIST ANY LONGER. THE JURY IS NOT  
 and Korean Court by same contents of charge, were embezzlement but changed the charge at  
 INTERESTED IN THAT AND IT'S NOT RELEVANT. THIS IS THE TIME  
 discovery and jury additionally "by trick or (and) by embezzlement that I didn't know till the letter as about  
 TO PRESENT YOUR DEFENSE TO THE EXISTING CHARGES.

Dist. attorney did objection, Strick, and rebuttal, honestly, I did not know that

THE WITNESS: (IN ENGLISH): OKAY, I KNOW THAT

Dist. attorney did and these of 3 till transcripts Goethals saw me to follow Goethals

(IN KOREAN): I KNOW. I KNOW THAT. BUT THIS IS <sup>and less English</sup>

deception to me not to do any objection, by Goethals' horrible dictator-ship to me at  
 WHAT I THINK: (IF I COMMITTED THE CRIME OF EMBEZZLEMENT AND

whole court. P. defender Shui, was their accomplice and insisted me guilty all the way

18 THAT THE MONEY WAS IN MY ACCOUNT, AND IF THE MONEY IS IN MY

Shui brought one short theory which was to me,

ACCOUNT BECAUSE I EMBEZZLED IT, I CAN DO WHATEVER I WANT

guilty but Goethals told me, viable, then Goethals.

WITH THAT MONEY. BUT WHY -- THEN WHY WOULD THEY BE

sent me alone without new lawyer, CHARGING ME WITH A BURGLARY? (I denied <sup>at</sup> entire jury Court

as their plot to use me, such less only embezzlement by pronouncing as

THE COURT: MISS KIM, DID YOU HEAR WHAT I SAID A above.

English and none knowledge of jury court.

MINUTE AGO? YOU DON'T NEED TO TALK ABOUT BURGLARY. THAT'S

NOT A CHARGE THIS JURY HAS TO DEAL WITH SO DON'T TALK ABOUT

IT.

● P 350, The court: Then, Mr. McFetridge, at this point  
 THE WITNESS: NO, I'M <sup>do you anticipate rebuttal?</sup> NO, I'M ONLY BRINGING

Mr. McFetridge: I don't anticipate any your hour.

1                   THE COURT: HOLD ON, MISS KIM. HOLD ON, MISS KIM.  
2                   MISS KIM, I HAVE EXPLAINED TO YOU, AS YOU WILL  
3 RECALL, THAT IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO TESTIFY WHEN IT'S YOUR  
4 TURN, YOU CAN CERTAINLY DO THAT. NOW IS NOT THE TIME FOR  
5 THAT. NOW IS THE TIME IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO ASK APPROPRIATE  
6 RELEVANT QUESTIONS OF THIS WITNESS, YOU CAN DO THAT. SO  
7 PLEASE DO SO.

8                   IF YOU DO NOT HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, THAT'S FINE.  
9                   YOU DO NOT HAVE TO ASK QUESTIONS. BUT IF YOU'D LIKE TO,  
10                  NOW IS THE TIME. GO AHEAD.

11                  CROSS-EXAMINATION +

12                  Q. BY MS. KIM: MR. CHOI, WHAT DID YOU REPORT ME ON?  
13                  THE COURT: HOLD ON. LET HIM ANSWER, PLEASE.  
14                  THE WITNESS: I DON'T KNOW WHAT KIND OF THING.  
15                  BUT BECAUSE WE DID NOT RECEIVE MONEY BACK, THAT'S WHY I  
16                  FILED THE REPORT TO THE POLICE.

17                  Q. BY MS. KIM: YOU MADE A REPORT SAYING THAT I  
18                  DIDN'T GIVE THE MONEY BACK; THAT, IN FACT, I TOOK THE  
19                  MONEY. HOWEVER, SINCE YOU'RE THE PERSON WHO MADE A REPORT,  
20                  DO YOU KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THE NAME OF THAT CRIME WAS THAT  
21                  YOU MADE A REPORT ON?

22                  A. SINCE I'M NOT A LAWYER, I DON'T KNOW.

23                  Q. DO YOU THINK THAT YOUR ANSWER THAT YOU DO NOT KNOW  
24                  IS LEGIT ANSWER? *McFetridge had to open their, jurists' crimes,*  
25                  *but, McFetridge, Komex' attorney*  
26                  THE COURT: HOLD ON, HOLD ON, MISS KIM. IT'S KIND  
                        *and Komex dealed with this case*  
                        *Judge, prosecutor had a plot what hide to me,* (P)  
                        *with Choi, foreigner, to show America jurists, crime not.*  
                        *They throw away jurist pride for crimes*

1 KOREAN AND THIS WITNESS SPEAKS KOREAN. SO YOU AND HE CAN  
 2 SPEAK TO EACH OTHER IN KOREAN, BUT NONE OF US IS GOING TO  
 3 KNOW WHAT YOU'RE SAYING.

4 SO WHEN YOU SPEAK IN KOREAN, YOU HAVE TO WAIT AS  
 5 YOU HAVE DONE FOR YOUR QUESTION TO BE TRANSLATED INTO  
 6 KOREAN. THEN YOU HAVE TO WAIT FOR THE WITNESS'S  
 7 INTERPRETER TO TRANSLATE IT FROM ENGLISH INTO KOREAN. THEN  
 8 WE GOT TO GO BACKWARDS THROUGH THE SAME PROCESS SO IT TAKES  
 9 A WHILE. SO PLEASE WAIT UNTIL AFTER YOU HAVE ASKED YOUR  
 10 QUESTION, UNTIL THE JURORS HAVE HEARD THE WITNESS'S ANSWER  
 11 IN ENGLISH. YOU WITH ME? *Honestly, I did not know the difference  
 of g. theft, embezzlement, fraud and  
 felony. I just continued  
 to ask the charge's name because  
 I thought his answer, embezzle*

12 MS. KIM: YEAH, I UNDERSTAND. *then I wanted to say "then  
 THE COURT: GO AHEAD. *will you lie all at here again" as  
 I thought his answer, embezzle**

13 Q. BY MS. KIM: SO YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT YOU DON'T *ment,*  
 14 EVEN KNOW THE TITLE OF A CRIME THAT YOU REPORTED ME *then I wanted to say "then  
 AGAINST? *will you lie all at here again" as  
 while he was starting, But he was  
 my**

15 THE COURT: DID WE GET AN ANSWER TO THAT? I'M NOT *strange answer so I  
 16 SURE WE GOT AN ANSWER. I'M NOT SURE IT WAS A QUESTION *continued.**

17 BUT -- *Actually I thought his answer, then why he interrupted  
 embezzlement then I was ready to say "then will you it is my prouly of ch. 12*

18 Q. BY MS. KIM: YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT THE CRIME YOU *is all again in here" as*  
 19 REPORTED ME ON, WHETHER -- YOU'RE TELLING THAT YOU DON'T *my starting.*

20 EVEN KNOW WHETHER THE CRIME YOU REPORTED ME ON WAS AN *the judge hide this case changed by*

21 EMBEZZLEMENT OR A FRAUD? *this court (cute) from grant theft, from  
 22 A. THEN --? *Embezzlement to grant theft by trick also.**

23 Q. I DON'T THINK IT MAKES ANY SENSE. THE AMOUNT *to intercept  
 24 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE IS THE \$1.92 MILLION. NOW YOU *my guest**

①

1 Q. BY MR. MC FETRIDGE: ON THE INVOICE, THE DEAL  
 2 YOU'RE MAKING IS FOR 1.94 MILLION, RIGHT?

3 A. YES.

4 Q. BUT YOU'RE GOING TO KEEP BACK A ~~BALANCE~~ OF 20,000  
 5 UNTIL AFTER EVERYTHING GETS WEIGHED? *from the purchase price*

6 A. YES. IF THE WEIGHT IS CORRECT, THEN WE CAN PAY  
 7 THEM IMMEDIATELY IF WE CONFIRM THE WEIGHT. *it not commission* 29

8 Q. RIGHT. AND THIS WOULD RELATE SOMEWHAT TO  
 9 EXHIBIT 3, THE SECTION WHERE WE TALK ABOUT IF THE QUANTITY  
 10 IS SHORTAGE OR IF IT'S OVER. THAT'S THE ADJUSTMENT. *at the invoice of their mention*

11 A. THAT'S CORRECT. *they missed responsibility by me*

12 Q. NOW THIS \$20,000 YOU SAID YOU PAY TO THAT PERSON,  
 13 WHO IS THAT PERSON? *the deception so boldly but openly* 245 *that you can check.*

14 A. TO BAADA. *they did such or sometimes, worse with*

15 Q. SO WHETHER WE CALL IT A COMMISSION OR A SHORTAGE,  
 16 THAT'S MISS KIM, HER COMPANY?

17 A. YES.

18 Q. BUT SHE DOESN'T GET THE COMMISSION AFTER THE  
 19 SHIPMENT IS DONE, CORRECT? *Does anyone give a commission before*

20 MS. KIM: OH, MY *also? At the 1st deal, jurists insisted*  
 21 THE WITNESS: THAT'S CORRECT. *on commission \$95,000 to be paid, first*

22 Q. BY MR. MC FETRIDGE: AND DO YOU KNOW -- JUST OUT  
 23 OF CURIOSITY, WHAT DOES "T/T IN ADVANCE" MEAN? *Contract of the deal*

24 A. IT'S SOME KIND OF ADVANCED PAYMENT. *of P/O*

25 Q. ALL RIGHT. ABOUT HOW "EX-WORKS," DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE  
 26 THAT MEANS? *before to get the goods who will send the purchasing money to*

*no body buyer can not wear the \$1,920.00 to the broker*  
 without any evidence of  
 factory!?

*Not true*

*\$1,920.00 to the broker  
 by their P/O! or  
 by my invoice! 12*