

No. _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PRO-SE GREGORY D. KILPATRICK PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

LESLIE M. ARP CHIEF INVESTIGATOR 18304(17CV9864) RESPONDENT(S)
CATHERINE LEAHY SCOTT INSPECTOR GENERAL 18306(17CV9865)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

V.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCT
V.S. DISTRICT COURT - SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
NEW YORK

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

GREGORY D. KILPATRICK
(Your Name)

3444 WHITE PLAINS ROAD APT #2C
(Address)

BRONX, NEW YORK CITY 10467-5716
(City, State, Zip Code)

(718) 9945347 VOICE MAIL
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1- WHY DOESN'T 18304 AND 18306 APPREHEND 173128 AND 174036 FOR INTERROGATORY QUESTIONING (SEPT 8, 24 2015 AND NOVEMBER 05, 2015)?
- 2- WHY DOESN'T 18304 AND 18306 MAKE 173128 AND 174036 TAKE LIE DETECTOR POLYGRAPH EXAMINATIONS?
- 3- WHY DID 18304 AND 18306 VIOLATE PRO SE-PLAINTIFFS FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT?
- 4- WHY DIDN'T 18304 AND 18306 UPON RECEIVING THE TOTAL MEDICAL DIAGNOSES OF THE BLOOD VIRAL INFECTIONS (HSV1, HSV2, SQUAMOUS EPILITHIAL AND URINE MUCUS BRING THIS TO THE ATTENTION OF THE U.S. FEDERAL MARSHALS SO THAT THEY COULD ISSUE SUMMONSES FOR 173128 (ITCV5013) AND 174036 (ITCV5013)?
- 5- WHY ARE 18304 AND 18306 ALLOWING FACISM AND FAVORITISM AS NON-EXHIBITS IN CIVIL OR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION FOR 173128 AND 174036
- 6- WHY DOESN'T 18304 AND 18306 CONTACT 18245 (ITCV9863) 182142 182242-18CV5160 AS TO WHY PRO-SE PLAINTIFF IS DENIED PRO-BONO ATTORNEY SERVICES?
- 7- WHY IS 18304 AND 18306 "BLAMING" 18308 (ITCV9866) FOR INTERFERING WITH THEIR ARRESTS OF 173128 AND 174036?
- 8- WHY IS 18304 AND 18306 SAYING THAT 81 WILSON N.Y.C. N.Y. 10026 AND 2168 THIRD AVENUE N.Y.C. N.Y. 10035, AND 3915 BROADWAY, NEW YORK 10032 N.Y. IS NOT THEIR JURISDICTION TO ARREST 173128 AND 174036?
- 9- WHY ARE 18304 AND 18306 PLOTTING SECRETLY WITH N.Y.P.D. DETECTIVES AT THE 103 PRECINCT 25TH PRECINCT 23RD PRECINCT AND 34TH PRECINCT ABOUT PRO-SE PLAINTIFF? →

QUESTIONS PRESENTED (CONTINUED)

- 10- WHY ARE 18304 AND 18306 WHOM I CLASSIFY AS ^{IN} PERSONS FOR AN EVIL PURPOSE CONCURRING IN AGREEMENT AND OPINION OF CORRUPT FOUL PLAY INFLICTED ON PRO-SE PLAINTIFF THAT IS PENDING?
- 11- WHY ARE 18304 AND 18306 SUPPORTING RETIRED CAUCASIAN MALE N.Y.P.D. COPS FOR HARASSMENT AGGRAVATED HARASSMENT, ASSAULT, MENACING AND NARCOTIC PLANTING ON PRO-SE PLAINTIFF?
- 12- WHY DIDN'T 18304 AND 18306 INFORM PRO-SE PLAINTIFF THAT ARTICLE 78 FORMS, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FORMS ARE STATE FORMS AND NOT FEDERAL FORMS?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

LESLIE M. ARP - CHIEF INVESTIGATOR
AND
CATHERYN LEAHY SCOTT - INSPECTOR GENERAL
NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL
EMPIRE STATE PLAZA
AGENCY BUILDING 2, 16TH FLOOR
CASE MANAGEMENT UNIT
ALBANY, NEW YORK, 12223
(518)-474 1010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS - 2ND CIRCUIT UNREPORTED - MAY 21, 2018 - MOTIONS DENIED AND APPEALS DISMISSED	Pgs. 1, 2, 3
APPENDIX B	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - S.D.N.Y. UNREPORTED - FEB. 23, 2018 - CIVIL JUDGMENT - BAR ORDER UNDER 28 USC § 1651-17CV9864-17CV9865 DISMISSED	Pgs. 1-4, 2-4 3-4, 444
APPENDIX C	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - S.D.N.Y. UNREPORTED - ORDER OF DISMISSAL AND TO SHOW CAUSE UNDER 28 USC § 1651 - JAN 03, 2018 -	Pgs. 1-7, 2-7, 3-7, 4-7, 5-7
APPENDIX D	STATE OF NEW YORK - OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL (SCANDAL) - AUGUST 03 2018 - 182142, 182242 - JURISDICTION DENIAL - (COVERUP) APPROVAL - U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE - B.H.T.? - 86 CHAMBERS ST. N.Y.C. (4ETC)	Pgs. 1, 2 (4ETC)
APPENDIX E	STATE OF NEW YORK - OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - FEBRUARY 14 2018 - 18304 - 18306 - DENIAL - APPROVAL JURISDICTION - Pgs U.S. ATTORNEY B.H.T.? 86 CHAMBERS ST N.Y.C. 10007	1-2 (4ETC)
APPENDIX F	SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES - OFFICE OF THE (DEC 12, 2017) CLERK, WASH D.C. 20543 - APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL LETTER TO PRO-SE PLAINTIFF DENIAL - RULE 39(G) AND 39(T) FOR THE PRO- SE PLAINTIFF INDIGENT, DESTITUTE, NEEDY, MOBILITY IMPAIRED - Pgs 1	
APPENDIX G	NEW YORK STATE OIG LETTER DEC. 12 2016 TO PRO-SE OF - Pg 1 INVESTIGATION, BUT NO ARRESTS OF 173128 (17CV5013) AND 174036 (17CV5111), INVESTIGATION DENIED DUE TO RACISM, FAVORITISM, HINDERING FROM 18306 (17CV9865) AND 18304 (17CV9864)	
APPENDIX H	FOUR PHARMACY MEDICINES PURCHASED OTC TO EASE - Pg 1 PAIN AND INFECTION (RASHES, BUMPS, BLISTERS, PIMPLES, BLEEDING IN THE MOUTH, UNTIL NEEDED MEDICINES ARE PRESCRIBED TO PRO-SE PLAINTIFF OCT 30, 2015 (173128) NOV. 09, 10, 11, 2015 (17CV4036)	→

APPENDIX I - ALL THIRTEEN MEDICAL DIAGNOSES MAILED AND
CORRESPONDENCE TO C.T.D.M. - V.S. DIST. CT -
S.D.N.Y. WHO REFUSED ARTICLE (SUPERV. MS. BROWN AND
MS. HECHT, G.P.O. CHURCH ST. STATION 10007), PRO-SE
SUPERVISOR, CECILIA RIDDER - V.S. DIST CT - ROOM 200 -
S.D.N.Y. AND CASE MANAGER JESSICA MCKENZIE - U.S.
C.O.A. 2ND CIRCT.

APPENDIX J - HEALTHCARE PROVIDER LETTER TO PRO SE-PLAINTIFF FOR
INVESTIGATION AND APOLOGY FOR WHAT 173128 (17CV5013)
AND 174036 (17CV5111) DELIBERATELY - ACCIDENTALLY DID
TO PLAINTIFF. MARCH 3, 2016 - CASE I.D. 2002123891 - DENTAL -
59467 - HEALTHPLEX (WELLARE) PGS. 1, 2

APPENDIX K - HEALTH CARE DENTAL PROVIDER LETTER TO PRO-
SE PLAINTIFF FOR RETALIATION AGAINST 173128
(17CV5013) AND 174036 (17CV5111) - CASE I.D. 200-
2456963 - DENTAL INVESTIGATIONS UNINTERRUPTED
SUCCESSION - MEDICAL LAW POSTPONEMENT - DENTAL - 59467 - PG. 1
MARCH 09, 2017 - MEDICAL MAL PRACTICE - ACCIDENTAL -
DELIBERATE?

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

U.S. C.D.A. 2ND CIRCT

CASES

PAGE NUMBER(S)

18306 - ORDER MAY 21, 2018 - CIRCUIT JUDGES:	
18304 - ORDER MAY 21, 2018 - ROSEMARY S. POOLER	1, 2, 3
18306-18304 - MANDATE - JUNE 11, 2018 - RICHARD C. WESLEY	1, 2, 3
18306-18304 - ORDER MAY 21, 2018 - DENNY CHIN	1, 2, 3
18306-18304 - MANDATE JUNE 11, 2018 - CATHERYN O'HAGAN	1, 2, 3
18306-18304 - MANDATE JUNE 11, 2018 - WOLFE, CLERK OF COURT	1, 2, 3
<u>V.S. DIST. CT - S.D. N.Y.</u>	
17CV9864 - 17CV9865 - BAR ORDER UNDER - C.J.C.M.	1-4, 2-4, 3-4, 4-4
28 U.S.C. § 1651 - FEB. 23, 2018	
CIVIL JUDGEMENT	
17CV9864 - 17CV9865 - ORDER OF DISMISSAL - C.J.C.M.	1-7, 2-7, 3-7, 4-7,
AND TO SHOW CAUSE UNDER 28	5-7
U.S.C. § 1651	
17CV9864 - 17CV9865 - DECLARATIONS - CASE 1:17CV09864 -	6-7, 7-7
CM DOCUMENT 2 FILED 01/03/18	
EXECUTED JAN. 29, 2018 PRO SE PLAINTIFF	

STATUTES AND RULES

THESE DOCKETED CASES 18306 (17CV9865) AND 18304 (17CV9864) AS STATED IN JAN. 29, 2018 NOTICE OF APPEALS (MY ISSUES ARE) "STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS" (PAGE ONE) AND COMPLAINTS AUGUST 15, 2017 PAGE FIVE "FACTS" AND PAGE TWO FEDERAL QUESTION A MAKE 18306 AND 18304 NEGLIGENT IN THEIR JOB-OCCUPATION THAT SHOULD RESULT IN BOTH OF THEIR IMMEDIATE TERMINATIONS FOR INSUBORDINATION FOR NOT ARRESTING 173128 (17CV5013) AND 174036 (17CV5111). NEGLIGENCE MONEY PAYABLE TO PRO-SE PLAINTIFF SHOULD AND MUST BE GRANTED ON THE GROUNDS AGAIN OF RACISM, FAVORITISM, INSUBORDINATION SUBJECT MATTER AND STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS. PRO-SE PLAINTIFF CAN BE SUED FROM A FEMALE STATING THAT I INFECTED HER WITH HSV1-HSV2 HERPES VIRUS DUE TO 174036 AND 173128.

OTHER

PRESCRIPTIONS: RASHES ETC. "SKIN DAMAGES FROM 173128 (17CV5013) - 174036 (17CV-5111) RX 517942 M.D. SHANNON SITCHENKO (917) 4154300, RX 63533 - M.D. SAPP RUSSELL (718) 6835556, VALACYCLOVIR (HOL) 500MG (GENERIC FOR VALTREX) RX 105062 - QUANTITY 30 - ONLY CONTROLS HSV1-HSV2 - M.D. JESSIE FIELDS (212) 5238672 - FAX (212) 8196843 - AUGUST 14, 2018 - 3:30 P.M. M.D. WAYNE LEE, N.P.I. 18711639666 - (REFERRAL TO HEMATOLOGIST - BLOOD URINE - PRESCRIPTIONS FOR SQUAMOUS EPITHELIAL URINE MUCUS CONTAMINATIONS FROM 173128 AND 174036. LIDOCAINE FROM PRIME LIBERTY PHARMACY - MARK (858) 2164998 - REFERRAL FROM M.D. P.C.R. WAYNE LEE AND MARK WILL MAIL PRESCRIPTIONS TO PLAINTIFF. RE: - SONIA BATOOL (877) 1643638 - RM GENETICS, ADDITIONAL MEDICINE TO BE MAILED TO PLAINTIFF FOR PERMANENT SKIN DAMAGES.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION
PLAINTIFF-PRO SE IS SEEKING JOINT REVIEW UNDER RULE 12.4

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was MAY 21 2018 AND JUNE 11, 2018

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely JAN 03, 2018 petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: MAY 21, 2018 / JUNE 11, 2018 and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix K.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

THE DATE ON WHICH THE U.S. DIST COURT DECIDED MY CASE IS FEB. 23 2018 AND JAN 03, 2018

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

JUDGE C.T.C.M., - V.S. DIST. COURT, C.T.P.A.K., V.S. C.O.A. 2ND CIRCT (GEIGER), BOTH LEGAL CLINICS AT WHITE PLAINS N.Y. AND FOLEY SQUARE, PRO SE SUPERVISOR V.S. DIST. COURT CECILIA RUDDER, CLERK OF V.S. DIST COURT RUBY KRATICK, CLERK OF C.O.A. 2ND-CIRCT. CATHERYN O'HAGAN WOLFE, (BRVATQ, NORIEGA AND BROWN-ROOM 200 V.S. DIST. COURT PRO SE OFFICE) REFUSED TO MAIL PRO-SE PLAINTIFF THE FEDERAL ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FORMS ARTICLE 78, ETC. PRO SE PLAINTIFF WAS TOLD FROM MS. RAFAEL AND MS. WONG (212) 626 7383 - CITY BAR JUSTICE HOT LINE ON JULY 05, 2018 THAT ARTICLE 78 TO SHOW CAUSE ARE STATE CIVIL FORMS AND THAT THE PRO SE HAS 90 DAYS FROM N.Y.S. O.I.G. DATE OF CORRESPONDENCE FOR PLAINTIFF TO FILE THE ARTICLE 78. ON JULY 06 2018 I RECEIVED A LETTER WITH FIVE MOTIONS RETURNED FROM STAFF ATTORNEY REINA STATING "YOUR DOCUMENTS ARE CAPTIONED FOR ANOTHER ^{GOV} AGENCY"? ALSO ON JULY 06 2018, FEDERAL PRO SE LEGAL ASSISTANT DILLON LEE (212) 382 4729 TELEPHONED AND TOLD PRO-SE THAT ARTICLE 78 ARE STATE FORMS AND THAT THE JUDGES, LEGAL CLINICS, PRO-SE PERSONNEL AN ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL - OREN L. ZEVE SHOULD AN OUGHT TO HAVE TOLD PRO SE AS WELL AS THE CASE MANAGERS FROM V.S. C.O.A. 2ND CIRCUIT. THERE IS A COVER-UP SCANDAL CORRUPTION PRESENT BECAUSE THEY ALL ARE PROTECTING 173128 AND 174036 FROM CIVIL CRIMINAL PROSECUTION. "RACISM".

PRO-SE PLAINTIFF IS SEEKING JOINT REVIEW UNDER RULE 12.4

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THIS NEGLIGENCE FROM 18304 AND 18306 IS DELIBERATE, REPETITIOUS, SNEAKY, WELL PLANNED, CONNIVING AND INTENDED TO REFRAIN PLAINTIFF FROM OBTAINING MONEY DAMAGES PAYABLE TO PRO-SE PLAINTIFF FOR NEGLIGENCE AGAINST RESPONDENTS LESLIE M. ARP AND CATHERYN LEAHY SCOTT. THE QUESTIONS PRESENTED MUST BE APPLIED BEFORE PLAINTIFF IS MORE DEEPLY INFECTED FROM 173128 AND 174036. 18306 AND 18304 HAVE THE AUTHORITY AND LICENSE TO ARREST. (PREVIOUS CIVIL FEDERAL CLAIM 06CV9907 AND 072040CV THAT WAS DENIED FROM CIRCUIT JUDGES "RICHARD C. WESLEY", CHESTER J. STRAUSS, BARRINGTON D. PARKER SHOULD HAVE BEEN GRANTED BECAUSE THE CAUCASIAN ORTHOPAEDIC MEDICAL DOCTORS DELIBERATELY-ACCIDENTALLY DAMAGED PERMANENTLY PLAINTIFFS SKIN-PENIS (WRIST-ELBOW) AND ALSO INFECTED PLAINTIFF WITH HEPATITIS C FROM THEIR INJECTIONS.) THE FEDERAL O.I.G. DID NOTHING ABOUT THIS AND DID NOT RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS CERTIFIED MAILINGS OF CORRESPONDENCE. PLAINTIFF WAS EVENTUALLY CURED OF HEPATITIS C AT HARLEM HOSPITAL JUNE 14 2014-2005 (BRONX V.A. HOSP)-M.D. DAVID ANN SIEGEL 'M.D. MICHAEL DAVID DAMBECK' UNKNOWN FEMALE CAUCASIAN RESIDENT M.D. WHO REFUSED TO GIVE ME HER NAME UPON THE INJECTION-STERIOD? RIBAVIRIN, INTERFERON AND TELEPREVIR CURED HEPATITIS C. THERE IS NO CURE FOR HSV1-HSV2 HERPES THAT 173128 AND 174036 DELIBERATELY-ACCIDENTALLY INFECTED-INJECTED PRO-SE PLAINTIFF WITH.

"DISBAR RICHARD C. WESLEY" BECAUSE HE DIDN'T ACCEPT CLINIC-DIAGNOSES IN 2005 AND DENIED HOSPITAL AND CLINIC DIAGNOSES AGAIN 2015, 2016 BECAUSE HE IS A RACIST AND DOESN'T LIKE BLACK PEOPLE.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

PURSUANT TO CIRCUIT JUDGES R.S.P., R.C.W., D.C. AND C.J.C.M. COURT CLERK CATHERYN OHAGAN WOLFE - V.S. C.O.A. 2ND CIRCT. ORDERS DISMISSING 18304 (17CV9864) AND 18306 (17CV-9865) BOTH U.S. DISTRICT COURT AND V.S. C.O.A. 2ND CIRCT. WITH ALL RESPECTS TO THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF ATTORNEYS, I GREGORY D. KILPATRICK URGE THAT THIS WRIT OF CERTIORARI PROPERLY GRANTED AS TO THE ISSUE OF WHETHER THE DISTRICT COURT PROPERLY DISMISSED OTHER WISE A VALID INDICTMENT CAUSING BOTH DISTRICT AND COURT OF APPEALS FAILED TO DISCLOSE TO THE JURY TRIALS SUBSTANTIAL EXCULPATING EVIDENCE OF NEGLIGENCE WHERE THE ISSUE WAS NOT BUT WAS NEVER THE LESS PASSED ON. THIS RECOMMENDATION IS DESIGNED TO AID THE PRO SE ATTORNEY COMMENDED IN OBTAINING HEALTH CARE AND ADVISE THE COURTS THAT A CERTAIN COURSE BE PURSUED AND PERMANENT HEALTH CARE OBTAINABLE. THE REPORT-REPORTER EMBODY THE RESULTS OF AN INVESTIGATION SUCH AS JURISDICTION SUBJECT MATTER OF ANY SIGNIFICANCE. CASE MANAGEMENT ADMINISTRATION THERE WAS NEVER ANY TRIAL HELD IN LITIGATION ARBITRATION PRE TRIAL CONFERENCE FACT FINDING CONFERENCE OR HEARINGS. THERE WERE ORDERS EMBODYING THE TERMS FROM PLAINTIFF AGREED UPON BUT NEVER ENFORCED BY SUPREME COURT WASHINGTON D.C. IF AND WHEN THESE LAWS CAN BE EXECUTED IT WOULD BE MOST BENEFICIAL TO PLAINTIFFS, PETITIONERS, APPELLANT RESPONDENTS CLAIM AND HEALTH.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Pro Se Plaintiff - Appellant Respondent Gregory D. Kilpatrick

Date: August 18 2018