

RKB

ORIGINAL

No. #18-6106

Supreme Court

DEC 21 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

JAMES L. MILLER, PETITIONER
(My Name)

VS.
SCOTT S. HARRIS, et al., RESPONDENTS.
ON PETITION FOR REHEARING ON
THE MERITS.

"NONE"
NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED
ON THE MERITS OF YOUR CASE.

PETITION FOR REHEARING
ON THE MERITS.

RECEIVED

JAN 18 2019

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

JAMES L. MILLER, PETITIONER.

P.O. Box 122
Inglewood, Ca. 90306
(323) 399-5680

RECEIVED

DEC 27 2019

OFFICE OF THE
SUPREME COURT

(1) OF (15) 0

REHEARING ON THE
MERITS
QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

United States Constitution (U.S. CONST.) Article I
Part II, Section (Sec.) (2) 1st Writ of Habeas Corpus
Filed on July 18, 2018 and docketed on Sept 26, 2018
with docket no. #18-6106 by U.S.C.A. §1331
Jurisdiction of Law and Facts (§28 U.S.C.A.
1251 and §1257 (a) and the merits
of the case (Writ of Habeas Corpus No. 18-6106
within the Rules of the Supreme Court of the
United States) Rule 19, presented in good faith

1 REHEARING ON THE
2 MERITS
3 LIST OF PARTIES
4

5 All parties do not appear in the caption of the
6 case (Petition for Rehearing on the Merits) on
7 the cover page. A list of all parties to the pro-
8 ceeding in the court (The Supreme Court of the
9 United States, case no. #18-6106), whose judgment
10 is the subject of this petition (Petition upon
11 Rehearing on the Merits) is as follows: SCOTT
12 S. HARRIS, et al., which are eight (8) other
13 members who review (with of personal case
14 no. #18-6106, "HARRIS ON 07-18-2018" and docket
15 on Sept. 26, 2018) the joint committee
16 which names was UNMEMPHON.

17 m m
18 m m
19 m m
20 m m
21 m m
22 m m
23 m m
24 m m
25 m m
26 m m
27 m m
28 m m

REHEARING ON THE MERITS. TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
(1) OPINION BELOW	7
(2) JURISDICTION	8-9
(3) CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	10-13
(4) STATEMENT OF THE CASE	5-6
(5) REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION.	14
(6) CONCLUSION	14

(4.00A(15.))

1 REHEARING ON THE
2 MERITS.

3 STATEMENT OF THE CASE.

4 On July 16, 2018, JAMES L. MILLER, petitioner
5 "submitted" a petition for a writ of certiorari to
6 the Supreme Court of the United States, Office of
7 the Clerk's, 1 First Street - N.E., Washington, D.C.
8 20542 and "documents (petition for a writ
9 of certiorari)" has been "filed" on July 18, 2018
10 and place on "docket" September 26, 2018 with
11 a Supreme Court case No. # 18-6106.

12 The due date for a "brief in opposition" was
13 on Friday Oct. 26, 2018 with "no response" by the
14 Solicitor General Office on the petition for a writ
15 of certiorari, case No. # 18-6106 with (waiver) by
16 such date (Oct. 26, 2018).

17 On Nov 30, 2018 the "review" of the petition for a writ
18 of certiorari "was" supposed to have taken place case
19 No. # 18-6106 by nine (9) members (committee) and on
20 Dec. 03, 2018 a "decision" rendered by SCOTUS Harris
21 and the nine (9) members (committee) to "deny" (=
22 James L. Miller, petitioner (petition for a writ of
23 of certiorari, case No. 18-6106) "without" merits
24 strict legal phrase - Milk v. Weim, 266 App. Div. 134
25 N.Y. 52d 769, 771, discrimination - a failure to
26 treat all persons equally Baker v. California Land Title
27 Co. 10 Cal. 249, 7 Supp. 255, 238, 239. "I present
28 ed in good faith and not for delay"

(5) of (15)

Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
(202) 479-3011

December 3, 2018

Mr. James L. Miller
P.O. Box 122
Inglewood, CA 90306


Re: James L. Miller
v. Amir Kashani, et al.
No. 18-6106

Dear Mr. Miller:

The Court today entered the following order in the above-entitled case:

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Sincerely,



Scott S. Harris, Clerk

(6) OA (15)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR REHEARING ON
THE MERITS.
OPINION BELOW

(1) From Case: (Medical Malpractice Sup. Ct. No. #
BC416542, Court of Appeals of the State of
California, Second Appellate District, Div. Two, in
Appeal No. # B224254, of the "Opinion" (Filed on
Mar. 24, 2011) From Sup. (California) Court
(2) The Opinion of the highest State Court to review
the merits appears at Appendix N/A, to the peti-
tion is unpublished, presented in good faith

(2) OF (13)

1 REHEARING ON THE
2 MERITS
3 JURISDICTION!
4

5 (1) For cases from Federal Courts:
6 The date on which the United States Court of
7 Appeals decided my case (# CV 12-5649-PAS-AN)
8 (a) United States (U.S. Ct.) 9th Cir. appeal no.
9 #17-56267. — [54] Date Filed Oct. 17, 2017.
10 #14-56507. — [45] Date Filed May 11, 2015;
11 #12-56895. — [19] Date Filed Oct. 17, 2012. (=
12 denote Fed. "in" decision "based" on no merit (=
13 strict legal rights) Mink; v. Keim, 266, App. Div. 184,
14 41 N.Y.S. 2d 499, 71.

15 (2) For cases from the State (California) courts:
16 The date on which the highest State court (=
17 Court of Appeals, Second Appellate District, Div. =
18 Two) decided my case (Medical Malpractice, case no. #
19 Bc 416282 with Amended Complaint for Civil Action
20 For Negligence or Rights, CV 12-5649-PAS-AN) was
21 the State of California, Court of Appeals, Second =
22 Appellate District, Div. Two, on Mar. 24, 2011, =
23 appeal no. # B224254. A copy of that decision is ^{to}
24 located on the web page (3.)

25 (3) The jurisdiction of this Court (State of California,
26 Court of Appeals, Second Appellate Dist., Div. Two, =
27 case no. # B224254) is invoked under 28 U.S.C.
28 1257(a), which is appeal of "Medical Malpractice".

(3a) of (3c)

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.111(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as authorized by rule 8.111(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.111.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT
DIVISION TWO

JAMES L. MILLER,

Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

AMIR KASHANI et al.,

Defendants and Respondents.

B224254

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. BC416582)

COURT OF APPEAL - SECOND DIS
FILED
MAR 24 2011

JOSEPH A. LANE

Clerk

Deputy Clerk

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. Amy D. Hogue, Judge. Affirmed.

James L. Miller, in pro. per., for Plaintiff and Appellant.

Pollack, Vida & Fisher and Daniel P. Barer for Defendants and Respondents.

~~Exh. - [scribble]~~

Exh. - C. (3)
(10/13)

Exh. - D. p. 1
(9) of (15)

Exh. - E. p. 4

REHEARING ON THE MERITS:

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION INVOLVED:

(1) United States Constitution (U.S. CONST.), Amendment

© U.S. Copyright 5th Amendment

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the militia, when in actual service in the time of war or public danger; nor shall any person (citizen) be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life ("conspiracy"), liberty (the "invasion" privacy and liberty by the internet communication), or property for property (Medical Malpractice) (CV08-4945; CV08-6031; CV08-7896; CV09-2897; Complaint for Deprivation of Civil Rights with Medical Malpractice, and Harassment Assault CV11-9198; CV12-1160; CV12-3137; and CV12-3601; and "Filed CV12-5649-CAS-AM on JUN. 29, 2012" with "Summons"; Answer, Complaint for (40) Civil Action for Deprivation of Right "Filed on Jul. 31, 2012" and "Response to O.S.C. on Aug. 02, 2012"; Notice of Appeal, Filed on Oct. 15, 2012. Answer No. 12-56595 with Response

REHEARING ON THE

MERITS: CONST. 5th & 14th AMEND. CONY.

4th Cir. 14-944 O.S. and Appellant Informal -
Brief and Fed. 02, 2014. Complaint for Civil -
Action for Denial of Rights "lodged on Aug
05, 2014; Aug. 27, 2014, and Sept. 08, 2014. Rule
59th, Notice of Appeal "Filed on Sept. 30, 2014,
Appeal No. 14-56591, "Filed on Mar. 12, 2015, 9 -
copies of Appellant - Informal Brief and 9 -
copies of the Response to 9th Cir. - Dec. 11, 2014 -
Received but not filed on Mar. 21, 2015. Notice
of Motion and Motion for Action to Compel an
Officer of the United States to perform his (-
or her) Duty. "Received but not filed" on Sept.
20, 2015. a. Complaint for Civil Action Denial of
Rights. "Notice of Appeal" "Filed" on
Oct. 11, 2017. Appeal No. 17-56567, "Filed"
Appellant Informal Brief and 1. F.P. on Dec.
26, 2017. "Statement that Appeal should go
forward" "Filed" on Feb. 05, 2018. U.S. Supreme
Court cases No. 18-606; 18-642; 18-751, none
was on the "Merits" (MINK vs. Keim, 2d. App. 11,
N.Y.S. 2d. 769, 771, "without due process of law")
"Supra, page 10, lines (20-28); and p. 11, lines (1-21)
"Nor shall private property be taken (Supra,
p. 10, lines (1-28); and p. 11, lines (1-21) public
use, without just compensation."

(2.) U.S. CONST. 7th Amendment.

(11) OF (150)

MERITS: CONSY, SYOP, PROV: CONT.

(3) U.S. CONST. 9th Amend.

4. U.S. CONST. 14th (1)

All persons (Civilians) born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction ("California") thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State ("California") wherein they (James L. Miller, petitioner/pro-se.) reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge ("frivolous, vexatious litigant") the privileges ("Supra citations 9-28, 1012-1125") of the citizens ("Constitutional law" "Quorum" "152" "4th 14th Amend." "for immunities" "James L. Miller" "1 of -

(14) OF (15.)

1 REHEARING ON THE
2 MERITS: CONST. STAT. PROV.: CONV.

3 citizens of the United States nor shall any
4 State deprive any person (citizen) of life
5 conspiracy of liberty ("with the invasion")
6 by internal communication" or property (Supra
7 p. 11, lines 1-28.) and p. 12, (1-15), with-
8 out due process of law nor deny to any person
9 citizen) within its jurisdiction (California)
10 the equal protection of laws.

11 STATUTORY:

- 12 (a) 28 U.S.C.A. Rule 44. (a).
13 (b) 28 U.S.C.A. § 1257. (a).
14 (c) 28 U.S.C.A. Rule 39.
15 (d) 28 U.S.C.A. § 2072 (a).
16 (e) 28 U.S.C.A. Rule 29.1.

1 REHEARING ON THE
2 MERITS

3 REASON FOR GRANTING THE REHEARING

4 The "Rehearing" petition by James L. Miller, peti-
5 tioner "should" be "granted" because Sec'y S.
6 Harris, and "eight (8)" members Clerks "which total
7 "Nine (9)" had "deny (Petition for a writ of Certiorari
8 Supreme Ct. No # 18-6106, on Dec. 03, 2018.)" without
9 "merits (Mink v. Keim, 266 App. Div. 41, N.Y.S. 2d
10 769, 771; "strict legal rights") which "violated
11 "the "constitutional protection ("Supra, p. 10, lines 1
12 28, through p. 12, lines 1-12.)" which "is also "a
13 criminalization (failure to treat all persons equally
14 Baker, v. California Land Title Co.; Del. Cal. 249
15 F. Supp. 225, 228, 229)" presented in good faith.

16 CONCLUSION:

17 "Supra, p. 14, lines 1-15.)", presented in good faith.
18 Date Dec 21, 2018

19 JAMES L. MILLER
20 petitioner
21 J. L. Miller

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

NO# 18-6106

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JAMES L. MILLER, PETITIONER,

VS.

SCOTT S. HARRIS, et al., RESPONDENT,

PROOF OF SERVICE.

I, James L. Miller, petitioner, do declare that on this date Dec. 21, 2018 as required by Supreme Court Rule 29, I have served the ENCLOSE FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS and PETITION FOR A HEARING ON THE MERITS on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel and on every other person required to be served, by posting an envelope or box containing the above documents in the United States, mailed.

The names and addresses as follow;

Supreme Court of the United States

ATTN: OFFICE OF CLERK'S, Redmond K. Barnes

1 First Street, N.E.

Washington, D.C. 20542

I, James L. Miller, petitioner declare, under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true, in good faith.
Dec 21, 2018
James L. Miller

(15) OF (15)
Rec Number

APPENDIX- A

page

ON Petition For Rehearing ON the Merits; ON

Petition For a writ of Certiorari; ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Appendix- A.

NO. #18-6106

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

JAMES L. MILLER, PETITIONER

VS.

SCOTT S. HARRIS, et al., RESPONDENTS
ON PETITION FOR REHEARING ON THE
MERITS - ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF
CERTIORARI

~~NONE~~

NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED
ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE

PETITION FOR REHEARING ON THE
MERITS - ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF
CERTIORARI

JAMES L. MILLER, PETITIONER

P.O. BOX #122

INGLEWOOD, CALIF.

(222) 399-5680 90306

Appendix A

(1) of (15) b6
Page Number

APPENDIX - B.

page

For Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis, and
Petition for a Rehearing on the Merits - on
Petition for a Writ of Certiorari, 11/1/15 to

PROOF OF SERVICE

Appendix - 15a b.

18-6106
IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JAMES L. MILLER, Petitioner

vs.
SCOTT S. HARRIS, et al. Respondents

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, JAMES L. MILLER, petitioner do declare that on this date Jan. 2019 as require by Supreme Court Rule 29. I have served the enclosed Petition for Rehearing on the Merits - ON Petition for a Writ of Certiorari on each parties to the above proceeding or that parties counsel and on every other person required to be served by posting an envelope or box containing the above documents in the United States Mailed;

The Name and address as follow;

Supreme Court of the United States

Office of the Clerk; Attn. Redmond Barnes,
1 First Street, N.E.

Washington, D.C. 20543

I, James L. Miller, petitioner declare under penalty that the foregoing is true & good & faith.

Date: Jan. 2019

JAMES L. MILLER
J. S. Miller
petitioner

Append-B

(15) of (15) b.
Page Number

APPENDIX-C

Table of contents

Appendix (Append) : A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

Appendix-C

REHEARING ON THE MERITS. TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
(1) OPINION BELOW	7
(2) JURISDICTION	8-9
(3) CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	10-13
(4) STATEMENT OF THE CASE	5-6
(5) REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION.	14
(6) CONCLUSION	14

INDEX TO APPENDIX

Appendix A; On Petition for Rehearing on the Merits -
On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari
Appendix B; Proof of Service and On Petition for Rehearing
of the Merits - On Petition for a Writ of
Certiorari

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Appendix C

(4) OF (15)