

No A 18-
BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OCTOBER TERM 2018

Marie Assa'ad-Faltas, MD, MPH, Petitioner

vs.

South Carolina, Respondent

APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR A WRIT OF *CERTIORARI*
TO THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Respectfully Submitted by:

Marie Assa'ad-Faltas, MD, MPH
P.O. Box 9115, Columbia, SC 29290

Phone: (803) 783-4536 Cell: (330) 232 - 4164
e-mail: Marie_Faltas@hotmail.com AND MarieAssaadFaltas@GMail.com

Appendix A

THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Supreme Court**

In the Matter of Marie Therese Assa'ad-Faltas, M.D.,
MPH, Petitioner.

Appellate Case No. 2016-002541

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal from Richland County
Alison Renee Lee, Circuit Court Judge

Memorandum Opinion No. 2017-MO-016
Submitted September 26, 2017 – Filed October 4, 2017

AFFIRMED

Orin Gail Briggs, of Lexington, for Petitioner.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson, of Columbia,
for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: We issued a writ of certiorari to review the court of appeals' dismissal of Petitioner Marie Therese Assa'ad-Faltas's appeal. The trial court denied Petitioner's request for reimbursement of defense expenses under the South Carolina Defense of Indigents Act. Having carefully reviewed Petitioner's

numerous submissions, we affirm the circuit court's denial of Petitioner's motion pursuant to Rule 220(b)(1), SCACR.

Further, the motion of Mr. Briggs to be relieved as counsel is hereby granted.

AFFIRMED.

KITTREDGE, HEARN and FEW, JJ., concur. BEATTY, C.J., and JAMES, J., not participating.

APR 18
B

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

In the Matter of Marie Therese Assa'ad-Faltas, M.D., MPH,
Petitioner.

Appellate Case No. 2016-002541

ORDER

Following this Court's decision in *In re Faltas*, Op. No. 2017-MO-016 (S.C. Sup. Ct. filed Oct. 4, 2017), Petitioner Marie Therese Assa'ad-Faltas has submitted to this Court eight pro se documents, each with numerous pages of documentary and pictorial attachments. This Court has previously forbidden Petitioner from making any pro se submission in which she "addresses any legal issue, or requests any relief, other than the appointment of counsel or the relief of appointed counsel . . . regardless of how the motion is styled." *City of Columbia v. Assa'ad-Faltas*, 420 S.C. 28, 44 n.17, 800 S.E.2d 782, 790 n.17 (2017). Each of Petitioner's eight submissions is captioned as a "Motion to Appoint Counsel for Rehearing or to Allow Petitioner to Argue Rehearing pro se And in either case, to Extend the Time to File for Rehearing" or a supplement thereto. However, the substance of these submissions relates exclusively to matters other than the appointment of counsel. Accordingly, those documents are hereby stricken and dismissed. Further, the Clerk shall issue the remittitur.

A.C.J.

J.

J.

Beatty, C.J., and James, J., not participating.

Columbia, South Carolina

March 7, 2018

cc: Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
Marie Assa'ad-Faltas
The Honorable Jenny Kitchings

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**