

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

_____ Matthew Jones _____ -PETITIONER

Vs.

Yale University, State of Connecticut Superior Court, Guilford Police
Department, Laura Lodge, and Laura DeLeo -RESPONDENTS

ON A PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

2nd Circuit Court Of U.S. Appeals, New York

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

_____ Matthew Jones _____

_____ 11366 Sussex Highway _____

_____ Greenwood, Delaware 19950 _____

_____ (302) 349-5251 _____

_____ AzraelLinusSmith@gmail.com _____

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Do government employees enjoy immunity from committed crimes and civil prosecution?
2. Is having sex without divulging an infected partner's HIV status considered attempted murder?
3. Is Yale University considered a government employee that can possibly receive immunity?

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption on the cover page.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4
EVENTS.....	10
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	12
CONCLUSION.....	13

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Judgments & Opinions of the U.S. Appeals Court
APPENDIX B	Orders of the U.S. District Court

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE
NUMBER	
1. Clinton v. Jones, 520 U.S. 681 (1997).....	
2. Monell v. New York City Dept. of Social Servs., 436 US 658.....	
3. Monroe v. Pape, 365 U.S. 167 (1961).....	
4. O'Connor v. Donaldson, 422 U.S. 563 (1975).....	
5. Addington v. Texas, 441 U.S. 418 (1979).....	
6. Rochin v. California, 342 U.S. 165.....	
7. USA v. Blagojevich et al., case number 1:08-cr-00888.....	
8. Taylor v. Louisiana, 419 US 522 - Supreme Court 1975.....	

STATUTES AND RULES

1. U.S. Constitution › Article III>Section 2.....	3
2. Amendment VI.....	3
3. Amendment VIII.....	3
4. Amendment XIII.....	3
5. Amendment XIV.....	3

6. The Federal Torts Claims Act.....	
7. 28 U.S.C. 1442 Federal Officers or Agencies Sued.....	6
8. 18 U.S. Code § 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law...	7
9. 42 U.S.C. 1985.....	8

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the
judgments below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinions of the United States Court of Appeals, Case Number
17-1932, appears at Appendix A to the petition and is
☒ not reported

The opinions of the United States District Court, Case Number 17-0599,
appears at Appendix B to the petition and is
☐ reported at

[https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/21143975/Jones v State of Connecticut Superior Court](https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/21143975/Jones_v_State_of_Connecticut_Superior_Court)

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Appeals Court decided my case was

May 18th, 2018.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of

Appeals on the following date: June 19th, 2018 , and a copy of the order

denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254 (1) and 28 U.S.

Code § 2101 .

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. U.S. Constitution > Article III>Section 2:“The judicial power shall extend to all cases, in law and equity, arising under this Constitution, the laws of the United States,-to controversies to which the United States shall be a party;--between citizens of different states;”
2. Amendment VI: In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defence.
3. Amendment VIII: **Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.**
4. Amendment XIII: **.”Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction.”**
5. Amendment XIV: **“All persons born or naturalized in the United States and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.”**

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

All I ask is that you cite the law granting sovereign immunity to government employees. This nation's laws are not decided by Common Laws or Cases. They are decided by written laws. We are a Rule of Law nation and no one is above the law. Other nations have followed us in adopting these methods. This is what I was taught, but in life I see a different practice by the Judicial Branch of Government. If government employees are meant to be immune from civil and criminal prosecution, then surely there is a law stating so.

There is a type of traitor and terrorist taught about in school in grades 4,6,8,10,and 11. This terrorist is called a *loyalist*. A loyalist believes in immunity and takes his/her blessing from an unidentified King or God. Loyalists were first noticed during the Revolutionary War. They rebel against the equality intended for American citizens by their country and practice that they are above the law in this Rule of Law nation.

The United States has waived sovereign immunity to a limited extent, mainly through the Federal Tort Claims Act, which waives the immunity if a tortious act of a federal employee causes damage.

28 U.S.C. 1442 (a) (1) states that the proper venue to sue a federal officer is the nearest U.S. District Court.

Abolishing Sovereign Immunity was the sole point behind the founding of this nation. It led us to rebel from Great Britain and fight the Revolutionary War. 'No taxation without representation.' 'Give me liberty or give me death,' was written by Thomas Paine. The Declaration of Independence reads, 'We hold these truths..all men..are endowed with unalienable rights..Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness..to secure these rights governments are instituted among men.' *The Rule of Law* is what Washington, Jefferson, Franklin, Hamilton, and all of the founding fathers dedicated their lives to. Other nations have since followed us in adopting the *Rule of Law*.

We are enduring a *Treason* committed by the Judicial Branch that has continued since the exoneration of the murderer, police officer J.D. Tippit, Dallas Police Department, who shot our leader (Pres. Kennedy) on recorded television. This Treason committed by U.S. Courts has included but is not limited to: the refusal to find guilt in itself or its police for any offense including fatally violent pedophilia and ostentatious country-wide pollution.

No person or people govern us more than the words of the *Constitution*, and secondly, *The Federal Rules of Civil and Criminal Procedure* and the U.S. *Title Code*. *The Rule of Law* clearly states that 'no one is above the law.' This means that no one in any position is immune from suit or criminal proceedings. The term sovereign is reserved for monarchs. We live in a democracy. The word

is defined as 'over the reign of a king or god.' We are a country without kings and men are not gods. Which is the Court claiming that it and the Defendants are in its Treason? Yes, which?

When we pledge our allegiance to the United States, we say 'Justice for all.'

Clinton v. Jones 520 U.S. 681 is an example of a government employee not being allowed immunity and a good judgment.

President Trump is being sued in multiple places by multiple people at this moment. His lawsuits are not being denied by the triumph of loyalism.

Article 3 Section 2 of the Constitution reads, 'the judicial power shall extend to all cases, in law and equity, arising under the Constitution, the laws of the United States..to controversies which the U.S. shall be a party..between citizens of different states..between citizens of the same state. It describes the jurisdiction of the federal courts.'

28 U.S.C. 1442 Federal Officers or Agencies Sued or Prosecuted reads, law enforcement is deemed to be acting under the color of its office only if the officer '(1) protected an individual in the presence of the officer from a crime of violence;

(2) provided immediate assistance to an individual who suffered, or who was threatened with, bodily harm; or

(3) prevented the escape of any individual who the officer reasonably believed

to have committed, or was about to commit, in the presence of the officer, a crime of violence that resulted in, or was likely to result in, death or serious bodily injury.’

18 U.S. Code § 242 - Deprivation of rights under color of law states, ‘Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, than are prescribed for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if bodily injury results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include the use, attempted use, or threatened use of a dangerous weapon, explosives, or fire, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.’

(June 25, 1948, ch. 645, 62 Stat. 696; Pub. L. 90–284, title I, § 103(b), Apr. 11,

1968, 82 Stat. 75; Pub. L. 100–690, title VII, § 7019, Nov. 18, 1988, 102 Stat. 4396; Pub. L. 103–322, title VI, § 60006(b), title XXXII, §§ 320103(b), 320201(b), title XXXIII, § 330016(1)(H), Sept. 13, 1994, 108 Stat. 1970, 2109, 2113, 2147; Pub. L. 104–294, title VI, §§ 604(b)(14)(B), 607(a), Oct. 11, 1996, 110 Stat. 3507, 3511.)

Other laws that support the equality of all U.S. citizens in all legal matters include *42 U.S.C. 1983*, *42 U.S.C. 1985*, and *28 U.S.C. 1332*.

How does Yale factor into an awarded immunity to the state and governmental employees? Yale is a private university and member of the Ivy League. Many are due to know that Yale does not have the ability to identify human gender and is incapable of diagnosing and treating a disease as obvious and rare as schizophrenia. Unless you judge that I have lost my ability to read & write, I rest my argument on the accepted belief that I do not have this disease(s).

When did rape, murder, pedophilia, theft, imprisonment, assault, poisoning, slander, libel, and operating under the color of law all become ‘legally frivolous?!’

Please return the Judicial Branch of Government to form and help make this country a proud one to live in. The Courts and its police are really the sole reason that our country is lacking. Stop cowering in fear to dumb violent

predators and living as slaves. The way of pedophilia and creature worship can only lead to slavery, exile, and extinction. Thank you for your time and prompt attention.

The colors of the flag are symbolic; red symbolizes hardiness and valor, white symbolizes purity and innocence, and blue represents vigilance, perseverance and justice.

EVENTS

When Jennifer McKinney Roberts and I met at the University of Delaware, we hadn't seen each other in so long and had grown up so much that I thought this was our first meeting. We began a brief sexual relationship. During this time, I found from some of her medications that she has the HIV disease. I confronted her about it. Later, she confessed that she didn't tell me about her disease because she is attempting to spread it to me and other males and females.

I went to the university and the police and was told to leave her alone. She was awarded a scholarship. I was removed from school for bothering her and convicted of Harassing her.

I followed her home to Connecticut and contacted her local police there about her plans to spread her disease.

I was Arrested. Later, I was convicted of Stalking her. My Bail was set at \$150,000 Cash Only.

During this ordeal, I was imprisoned three times for about 30 days each time. I was held involuntarily at Yale University Mental Health. I was denied my right to Hearing or Trial at both Yale and the New Haven Connecticut Superior Court. I was not allowed to appear at some of my

Hearings and Trials. When I was allowed to attend, I was not allowed to speak. On numerous occasions I demanded my 6th Amendment Right to a Speedy Trial. Hearings continued monthly in Connecticut for over one year. Trial was never granted to me and Guilty was pled on my behalf by my Public Defender. I was sentenced to 5 years Probation.

I sued civilly in the Connecticut U.S. District Court. Immunity was given to all Defendants, including Yale University. I appealed to the 2nd Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals. The 2nd Circuit Court affirmed the decision, saying all Defendants are immune from prosecution.

When Ms. Roberts was a fellow student at the University of Delaware, she infected 2 other students with HIV. She has never been arrested.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Sovereign Immunity does not protect or absolve government employees and police from guilt in criminal and civil matters. No such provision exists in the United States. Yale is a privately funded public university. It is not entitled to any government immunity.

HIV is a serious disease causing debilitating death. Attempting to spread HIV is attempted murder. The Defendants protect Ms. Roberts as she continues to spread her disease.

Schizophrenia is a disease that reshapes the brain. It severely degrades the brain and drops the Dopamine levels drastically. Evidence of the disease can be seen on MRIs and CT Scans. I was not provided these tests by the Defendants. On my own, I was tested. All reports show that I have no signs of schizophrenia or any mental disease.

Source:

(https://www.facebook.com/matthew.n.jones/media_set?set=a.10153351330584464.1073741917.855609463&type=3)

(<https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10154182180454464&set=a.10154182042509464.1073741975.855609463&type=3&theater>)

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew Jones
11366 Sussex Highway
Greenwood, DE 19950
(302) 349 - 5251
AzraelLinusSmith@gmail.com

Dated: _____