

No. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

David Librace — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

Deborah Helton Wright RESPONDENT(S)  
etc.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

David Librace  
(Your Name)

203 S 7th  
(Address)

West Helena AR 72390  
(City, State, Zip Code)

786 342 4032  
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

The lower court erred when not overturning a Summary Judgment in which Respondents Attorney Kyle Stoner knew the Summary Judgment was issued in bad faith.

1. Should the Eighth District Court of Appeals remand the case to the US District Court Eastern Arkansas to rehear and take actions against Attorney Kyle Stoner for filling a Summary Judgment in bad faith with proven testimony in which Attorney Kyle Stoner represented Deborah Helton Helton Wright before the Honorable Kathleen Bell in the Circuit Court of Phillips County Arkansas on 9/11/15 case # 54-DR-2015-183-4 which direct testimony contradicts Summary Judgment signed under oath.

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

- ① Deborah Helton Wright aka Deborah Helton Willette, aka Deborah Faye Willette aka Deborah Faye Helton aka Deborah Faye Wright.
- ② Terry Willette
- ③ ACES Investigation

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

### STATUTES AND RULES

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure  
Rule 56(h)(4)

OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at August 14, 2017; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

## **JURISDICTION**

**For cases from federal courts:**

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was July 2 2014.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

**For cases from state courts:**

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

11

## STATEMENT OF CASE

Petitioner filed this cause of action after obtain factual information that Respondents Deborah Willette Wright, Terry Willette, and ACES Investigation have been stalking, harassing, slandering, and interfering with Petitioner and those close to Petitioner. Respondents own ACES Investigation and Florida Private Investigation Agency. They claim to be Federal Agents due to the work they state they investigate for federal judges.

In or around 2008 my financial records, comprehensive back ground check, and medical became commingled with David Friedeberg. Respondents have access to order credit bureau reports, pull comprehensive reports, and other data bases claiming I use many alias including David Friedeberg. ACES Investigation makes contact with landlords, employers, neighbors, friends, and family claiming they are investigating a criminal act I have committed also by advising the parties that I am a pedophile, liar, cheat, thief, and a sociopath. They use their business equipment, facsimile, letter head, business cards, computers, and surveillance equipment to complete their destruction of my life.

Respondents use social media such as MySpace, Facebook, Instagram, Weebly, and many other sites to connect with friends, neighbors, other community members, teachers and students of my son, politicians, judges, clerks of courts, police officers, and many other parties and send private messages with false documentation, cut and paste documentation, misleading and incomplete file information, and prepare Court documents for Patricia Ann Cole in the capacity of a lawyer with a law degree.

Respondent's are Petitioner aunt and uncle are my aunt and uncle and have many issues of disliking Petitioner personally. In August, 2015 I filed a protective order against Deborah Willette Wright and Patricia Ann Cole. Attorney Kyle Stoner was hired by Respondents to represent Ms. Wright and Ms. Cole. The day of hearing Kyle Stoner requested both Ms. Wright and Ms. Cole cases be heard together. Petitioner having dealt with both parties prior knew that if both were present in the court room to hear all testimony that they could keep their lies in check. I was required to drop charges against one of the parties and this allowed the truth to come out through their testimony. Both Deborah Willette Wright, Terry Willette, Terry Willette speaking on behalf of ACES Investigation, and Patricia Ann Cole all presented testimony with contradicted each other. Their words preserved in a court transcript of the hearing in which their Attorney Kyle Stoner was present through out the trial and hear their responses.

Petitioner filed a cause of action against Respondents. Attorney Kyle Stoner filed a Motion for Summary Judgment and stated that Respondents claims they only sent one email to Petitioner and did nothing else wrong. Petitioner objected to the Motion of Summary Judgement providing a few passages contradicting the statement only an email was sent. Petitioner provided further emails from prior years of Respondent Deborah Willette sent an email to a Defendant attorney containing information about Petitioner ACES Investigation gathered for the only purpose to harass Petitioner. Petitioner has moved multiple times due to the false statements, allegations, and harassment cause by Respondents. Petitioner has been shot at multiple times, home

set on fire, vehicle set on fire, and had neighbors falsely accuse Petitioner of harassment after Respondents contacted the neighbors and advised them I was a pedophile. Petitioner has never been, have never been accused nor charged with such a vulgar crime.

Respondents have gone as far of posting mug shots of my fifteen year old son at the time mug shots and arrest records on local social media and school website. For any party to attack a child in this matter reflects the kind of evil person Petitioner is dealing with and the extent of harm Respondents will commit to do at any cost.

As of this date Petitioner Comprehensive Report contains commingled information that only Respondents bragged and knew about when slandering Petitioner. False alias, birth-dates, social security numbers, addresses, criminal history, and other pertinent information. In fact, after Respondents prepared and filed a motion for Patricia Ann Cole the alias names appeared on Petitioners Credit Bureau Report and Comprehensive Report. In 2014 Petitioner Pro Se filed suit against Equifax, Trans Union, and Experian regarding the false information being reported to their agencies. Petitioner settled this case out of court after months of litigation. Case 14:14-cv-00269 in the United States District Court Eastern Division.

Petitioner has had been in and out of court both in civil and criminal courts due to the Respondents actions. As stated, I continue to get accused falsely in Helena West Helena Arkansas only to have the charges later dismissed by the State for no probable cause and or evidence. In civil cases since my factual data has been manipulated by Respondents the truth is finally being exposed and Petitioner credit ability is reestablished.

## **REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITIONS**

Petitioner prays to the Court to remand the case to the lower court to be heard. Attorney Kyle Stone for Respondents was aware of more than one incident as filed in his Motion for Summary Judgment. Respondent have not only caused damages to Petitioners but has caused damages by government agencies, credit reporting agencies, and our legal system extra work and money to resolve Respondents action. The Lower Court should have reviewed the document filed with the Court and heard the matter before the Court to obtain all testimony and evidence to show that the summary judgment was issued on bad faith and should have been reversed.

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 8-12-14