

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

GREGORY D. KILPATRICK PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

M.D. DAVID WEISS — RESPONDENT(S) 172831
17(CV5112)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS - 2ND CIRCT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - S.D.N.Y.
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

GREGORY D. KILPATRICK
(Your Name)

3444 WHITE PLAINS ROAD APT # 2C
(Address)

BRONX, NEW YORK CITY 10461-5716
(City, State, Zip Code)

(718)-494 5347 - VOICE MAIL
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1- WHY DIDN'T 172831(17CV5112) GIVE PRO SE- PLAINTIFF A PRESCRIPTION FOR SQUAMOUS EPILITHIAL AND URINE MYCUS THAT WAS DELIBERATELY- ACCIDENTALLY? INJECTED INTO PLAINTIFF FROM 173128(17CV5013) AND 174036(17CV5111)?
- 2- WHY DID 172831(17CV5112) LIE AND FALSIFY PRO-SE PLAINTIFFS MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS NOVEMBER 16 2015 AND OCTOBER 30 2015 TELLING PLAINTIFF "NO EVIDENCE OF BLOOD AND URINE INFECTION"?
- 3- WHY DID 172831(17CV5112) TELL PATIENT THAT N.P. ^(BLACK) TAMILA AND SPANISH N.P. FEMALE DON'T KNOW HOW TO READ AND INTERPRET BLOOD DIAGNOSES? BOTH OF THESE NURSE PRACTITIONERS TOLD PLAINTIFF AFTER CHECKING HIS VITAL SIGNS THAT YOUR BLEEDING- INTERNALLY WHEN THEY GAVE PLAINTIFF BOTH OF THE BLOOD FLOW-SHEET DIAGNOSES RESULTS.
- 4- WHY DID 172831(17CV5112) CONSPIRE WITH THE REFERRAL 173547(17CV5109) CONCEALING PLAINTIFFS BLOOD AND URINE RESULTS?
- 5- WHY DID 172831(17CV5112) GIVE PLAINTIFF THE PRESCRIPTION BENZONATATE THAT DIDN'T CURE PLAINTIFFS THROAT ITCHING PIMPLES BUMPS AND NOSE BLEEDING. PLAINTIFFS HEALTH INSURANCE DIDN'T COVER THIS TYPE MEDICINE COSTING PLAINTIFF TO PAY \$ 50.99?
- 6- WHY IS 172831(17CV5112) AIDING AND PROTECTING 173128(17CV5013) AND 174036(17CV5111) FROM CIVIL-CRIMINAL PROSECUTION?
- 7- WHY DOESN'T SUPREME COURT SUBPOENA MEDICAL RECORDS FILE MRN 1343016 (ALL MEDICAL DIAGNOSES ATTACHED).

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

M.D. DAVID WEISS

STEVENSON FAMILY HEALTH CARE

731 WHITE PLAINS ROAD

BRONX, NEW YORK 10473

OFFICE (718) 589-8775 — FAX (718) 328-5082

CHIEF MEDICAL SUPERVISING M.D.'S

M.D.-DR ERIC GAYLE — MD. DR. ALBA PAVUMAROL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS-2ND CIRCT N.Y.C. UNREPORTED-JAN.02, 2018-EXTENSION NO. OF APPEAL-ATTORNEY 1-PG. DENIED	
APPENDIX B	UNREPORTED-MARCH 23, 2018-MANDATE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT-S.D.N.Y.-ORDER UNREPORTED-JAN.03, 2018	1-PG 1-4, 2-4, 3-4, 4-4
APPENDIX C	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT-S.D.N.Y.-ORDER OF- UNREPORTED-AUG. 21, 2017	1-72-73-7 DISMISSAL 4-7, 5-7, 6-7 7-7
APPENDIX D	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT-S.D.N.Y.-CIVIL UNREPORTED-AUG. 21, 2017	JUDGEMENT DISMISSAL - 1PG.
APPENDIX E	NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH - O.P.M.C. OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL CONDUCT NO. O.P.M.C. - REPORTED - APRIL 27, 2017 - M. DUNN - 104 2805 INVESTIGATOR	- 2 PGS.
APPENDIX F	UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT - MOTION FOR OFFICE OF THE CLERK - ONE - EXTENDED TIME FIRST STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON 17CV 5112 (17831) - 2 PGS. 20543 PREVIOUSLY MAILED TO SEPTEMBER 27, 2017, MAY 29, 2018 U.S. DIST. COURT-S.D.N.Y. JULY 29, 2018 CLERK RUBY KRATICK - NO REPLY U.S. CO. A. 2ND CIRCT CLERK CATHERINE D. WOLFE - NO REPLY	- 3 PGS.
APPENDIX G	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT-S.D.N.Y. UNREPORTED JULY 21, 2017 - I.F.P. GRANT	- 1PG.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

U.S.C.O.A. 2ND CIRCT,

CASES

PAGE NUMBER(S)

172831 - ORDER MARCH 15, 2018 CLERK DENIED MOTION CATHERIN D. WOLFE 1PG
 172831 - ORDER MARCH 15, 2018 DENIED MOTION CRCT. JUDGES DEBRA A LIVINGSTON
 172831 - MANDATE MARCH 23, 2018 DENNY CHIN
 172831 - ORDER JANUARY 02, 2018 - D.A.L., D.C., C.F.D. - 1PG
 DENIED COUNSEL
 EXTENSION NOTICE OF
 APPEAL
 17CV-5112 - ORDER V.S. DIST COURT - S.D.N.Y. - PGs 1-4, 24, 34, 44
 JAN. 03, 2018 - MOTION DENIED - C.J. COHEN - PGs 1-7, 27, 37, 47, 57, 67, 77
 17CV 5112 - ORDER OF DISMISSAL - AUGUST 21, 2017 - C.J.C.M. - PGs 1-7, 27, 37, 47, 57, 67, 77
 17CV 5112 - ORDER - CIVIL JUDGEMENT - DISMISSAL - C.J.C.M. - 1 PG
 17CV 5112 - ORDER - I.F.P. GRANT - 04-21-17 - C.J.C.M. - 1 PG

STATUTES AND RULES

THE DOCKETED CASE 172831 (17CV5112) IS NEGLIGENCE AND SHOULD BE PAYABLE TO PRO-SE PLAINTIFF FOR THE DENIALS OF NEEDED MEDICINES LIQUID VIAL, DISPOSABLE HYPODERMIC NEEDLE SPRINGS, NONTAINTED INCORRECT PILLS.

PLAINTIFF SUBMITTED TWO PRO BONO APPLICATION REQUESTS FEBRUARY 19, 2018 AND JUNE 24, 2017

OTHER

PRESRIPTIONS: RASHES ETC. "SKIN DAMAGES FROM 173128 (17CV5013) - 174036 (17CV 5111) RX 517942 M.D. SHANNON SITCHENKO (917) 754 300, RX 63533 M.D. SARA RUSSELL (718) 6835556. VALACYCLOVIR (HCL) 500MG (GENERIC FOR VALTREX) RX-103062 - QUANTITY 30 - ONLY CONTROLS HSV1 - HSV2 - M.D. JESSIE FIELDS (212) 523 8672 - FAX (212) 819 6843 - AUGUST 14, 2018 - 3:30 P.M. M.D. WAYNE LEE N.P.T. - 1871639066 - REFERRAL TO HEMATOLOGIST - BLOOD - URINE - PRESRIPTIONS FOR SQUAMOUS EPILITHIAL URINE MUCUS CONTAMINATIONS FROM 173128 AND 174036 LIDOCAINE FROM PRIME LIBERTY PHARMACY - MARK (858) 216 4998 - REFERRAL FROM M.D. - P.C. P. WAYNE LEE AND MARK WITH MAIL PLAINTIFF PRESRIPTIONS.

PRO SE PLAINTIFF IS SEEKING JOINT REVIEW
UNDER RULE 124 - 173547(17CV5109) -

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

PRO SE PLAINTIFF IS SEEKING JOINT REVIEW UNDER
RULE 12.4-(173547)-17CV5109-

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was MARCH 15, 2018, JANUARY 02, 2018, MARCH 23, 2018

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: JANUARY 02, 2018, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

☒ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on MAY 29, 2018 (date) in Application No. ____ A _____. (60 DAYS) JULY 29, 2018

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

THE DATE ON WHICH THE U.S. DIST. COURT DECIDED MY CASE
JAN 03, 2018, AUGUST 21, 2017, JULY 21, 2017

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

JUDGES DEBRA A. LIVINGSTON, DENNY CHIN, CHRISTOPHER F. DRONEY (CIRCT) AND JUDGES C.J. COLEEN McMAHON WITH C.J. ROBERT A. KATZMAN (GETHER) ALL DENIED PLAINTIFFS NOTICE OF APPEALS COUNSEL AND MOTIONS DUE TO FORMER EMPLOYERS, LANDLORDS. AND CIVIL CASE COMPLAINTS AGAINST OTHER MEDICAL DOCTORS (DENTISTS) "RACISM".

THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FOR NEGLIGENCE AGAINST 172831 (17CV5117) SHOULD BE APPLIED TO THIS DEFENDANT-RESPONDENT FOR "RACISM" WITH PRESCRIPTIONS FOR NEEDED MEDICINE AND CONSPIRACY (172831) - 17CV5109. DENTISTS DON'T GIVE PATIENTS PRESCRIPTIONS FOR CONTAMINATION AND BLOOD VIRAL DELIBERATE - ACCIDENTAL INJECTIONS THE MEDICAL DOCTORS ADMINISTER THESE PRESCRIPTIONS.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THIS NEGLIGENCE FROM 172831(17CV5112) IS DELIBERATE, REPETITIOUS SNEAKY, WELL PLANNED AND INTENDED TO REFRAIN PRO-SE PLAINTIFF FROM OBTAINING MONEY DAMAGES.

QUESTIONS 1-8 IN WRIT OF CERTIORARI FOR 18287(17CV9861) AND 18291(17CV9862) SHOULD HAVE INTERVENED TO PLAINTIFF AFTER DECISIONS FROM INVESTIGATORS M. DONOVAN AND L. CROGAN O.P.M.C. CLOSED COMPLAINT AGAINST 172831 AND WHEN 17CV9861-17CV9862 COMPLAINTS AT U.S. DISTRICT COURT WERE FILED FROM PRO-SE PLAINTIFF.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

PURSUANT TO CIRCUIT JUDGES DEBRA A. LIVINGSTON, CHRISTOPHER F. DRONEY DENNY CHIN AND U.S. DIST COURT C.T. COLEEN McMAHON WITH ALL RESPECTS TO THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF ATTORNEYS I GREGORY D. KILPATRICK URGE THAT THIS WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BE PROPERLY GRANTED AS TO THE ISSUE OF WHETHER THE DISTRICT COURT PROPERLY DISMISSED OTHERWISE A VALID INDICTMENT CAUSING BOTH DISTRICT AND COURT OF APPEALS FAILED TO DISCLOSE TO THE JURY TRIALS SUBSTANTIAL EXCULPATING EVIDENCE OF NEGLIGENCE WHERE THE ISSUE WAS NOT BUT WAS NEVER THE LESS PASSED ON. THIS RECOMMENDATION IS DESIGNED TO AID THE PRO-SE ATTORNEY COMMENDED IN OBTAINING HEALTH CARE AND ADVISE THE COURTS THAT A CERTAIN COURSE BE PURSUED AND PERMANENT HEALTH CARE OBTAINABLE, THE REPORTS-REPORTER EMBODY THE RESULTS OF AN INVESTIGATION SUCH AS JURISDICTION SUBJECT MATTER OF ANY SIGNIFICANCE. CASE MANAGEMENT ADMINISTRATION, THERE WAS NEVER ANY TRIAL HELD IN LITIGATION, ARBITRATION, PRETRIAL CONFERENCE, FACT FINDING, CONFERENCE OR HEARINGS. THERE WERE ORDERS EMBODYING THE TERMS FROM PLAINTIFF AGREED UPON BUT NEVER ENFORCED BY SUPREME COURT, WASHINGTON D.C, IF AND WHEN THESE LAWS CAN BE EXECUTED IT WOULD BE MOST BENEFICIAL TO PLAINTIFFS, PETITIONERS APPELLANT RESPONDENTS CLAIM AND HEALTH.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

July 28, 2018

Date: Pro Se Gregory D. Kilpatrick