

To The United States Supreme Court of America

Office of the Clerk, Please File My Petition! Sent 4-9-19

1/ Case No 18-5877 Levi Stoltzfus v Pennsylvania

2/ On 3-28-2019 The Clerk of Courts Gave Me 15 Days To File This Petition.

3/ I Certify that this Petition For Rehearing Is Presented In Good Faith And Not For Delay: Levi Stoltzfus

Levi Stoltzfus 4-6-19

4/ Grounds Not Previously Presented, I Certify I Have Grounds
Levi Stoltzfus 4-6-19

My Civil Rights Have Been Violated And Fine Is Unconstitutional

5/ The Federal Court Ruled I Get Court Appointed Help, But Still I Have To Proceed Pro Se, Because there Is No Help.

Levi Stoltzfus 4-6-19

6/ The Clerk of Court Refuses To Answer All My Questions Regarding to Exactly What Is Needed To File This Petition. And No One Else Will Help, Even with The Federal Court Ruling that I Am Granted Help. So Do Not Blame Me If My Petition Is Not Exactly What May Be Required To File This Petition, My Attorney I Had Will Not Help, Neither will the Clerk of Courts When I Ask them Questions As To What Is Exactly Required From Me to File this Petition. Levi Stoltzfus 4-8-19

Sincerely, United States Citizen Levi Stoltzfus

No Help From Clerk of Courts! 202-478-3025

30 S. Groffdale Rd

On 4-8-19 I Called Clerk of Courts And

Leola, PA 17540

Jeff Atkins Said I Must Talk To

(215) 403-4103

Mike Dugan About My Filing Questions.

He Started Answering My Questions Until He

Levi Stoltzfus1@gmail.com

Realized My Original Paperwork Was Filed

(one)

Last Fall At Which Point He Didn't Want To

Answer My Questions, He Said I Could Write This Petition In Cursive, But I Wrote In Pen.

I Certify Grounds: ~~Levi Stahl~~ Levi Stahl 4-6-19

1) FOR PRESENT ARGUMENT FOR GROUNDS NOT PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED. I Have 2 Reasons:

A) The United States Supreme Court Ruled Unanimously that the Ban on Excessive Fines Applies To The States In "Tyson Timbs of Marion, Indiana". If A \$40,000 Dollar Fine Is Cruel And Unusual Punishment For Him, Then \$540,000 Dollar Fine Is Most Definitely Cruel And Unusual Punishment For Me! If A \$40,000 Dollar Fine Is Depriving Any Person of Life, Liberty, And the Pursuit of Happiness, Then \$540,000 Dollars Is Even More So!

B) My Civil Rights Have Been Violated! I Don't Even Blame My Attorney (That I Had) I Blame the Federal Court! I Applied For Court Appointed Help And Was Granted Court Appointed Help. They Knew For Sure That I Needed An Experienced Attorney, But Still they Assigned Me One Who I Believe Hardly Has Any Experience. He Made 3 Arguments, the ones I Have Been Pursuing All Along.

① The Law Is Unconstitutionally To Broad. ② The Fine of \$540,000 Dollars Is Unconstitutional, Because It's Cruel And Unusual Punishment (And that My Life, Liberty, And Pursuit of Happiness was taken) ③ That the Law Is Unconstitutional Because It Has No Mens Rea Element. (Without A Man's Rea Element, A Person Can Go To Jail For Life And Get Robbed of Everything For Just Not Knowing the Law) The Third Circuit Court Ruled We Can Only Appeal that the Law Is Unconstitutional to Broad. (So We Appealed that, And then the 3rd Circuit Court Says There's No Such Thing As Unconstitutional to Broad outside the 1st Amendment) When they said I Could Only Appeal (Argue) that the Law Is Unconstitutional to Broad, I told My Attorney That I Want To Appeal That Decision to the Full Panel Court

their ruling that we are not allowed to Appeal-Argue ① That the Law Is Unconstitutional Because It Has No Mens Rea Element ② and That the Fine of \$540,000 Dollars Is Cruel And Unusual

Punishment. My Attorney Said we cannot Appeal, And then He Pursued Just My One Argument, that the Law Is Unconstitutional

to Broad. He Blended the other 2 Issues within the Argument

that the Law Is Unconstitutionally to Broad, But I Had Wanted

to pursue-Argue All 3 Points Separately. I Wanted My Attorney

to Appeal to the Full Panel Court To Get the Appeal Right to Argue

the Other 2 Issues to Be Presented In Separate Arguments.

(The Third Circuit Court Ruled that We Can Only Argue One Issue,

unconstitutional to Broad, So I Wanted that Ruling Appealed So That

We Can Also Argue the Other 2 Issues, The No Mens Rea Element

And that the Fine Was Cruel & Unusual Punishment. I Wanted

to Appeal to the Full Panel Court to Hopefully Gain the right to

make these Arguments And to Be Heard For these Arguments)

Bottomline: My Civil Rights were violated. I Had Every Right To

Appeal the Third Circuit Courts Ruling to the Full Panel Court

to make An Argument that we can Also Pursue-Argue The Fact

that the Law Has No Mens Rea Element And that the \$540,000

Dollar Fine Is Cruel And Unusual Punishment.

When My Attorney Appealed to the 3rd Circuit Court that the Law

Is Unconstitutional to Broad (We could Only Argue this One Point Instead

of the 3 I Wanted), We Lost. So I told Him To Appeal that

Decision to the Full Panel Court. (In Fact, I Had told Him Many

times I Want To Give ALL the Courts Every Opportunity to Let Justice

Prevail) He Said He's Not Even Sure If He Can Even Represent

me anymore, He Has To Check. About A Week Later, He Said He Can Represent Me; But, that, we can only Appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court. So He Does My Appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court with My Understanding that We Cannot Appeal to the Full Panel Court. When My Case Was Scheduled For Conference In the U.S. Supreme Court, (On Friday Nov 2, 2018) I Called My Brother, And Requested that He Prays For Me + For My Appeal, On Friday, Because It Is Extremely Important to Me that My Case Gets Heard. He then Asked Me what Happened So Far. I Said that We Lost In the 3rd-Circuit Court. He Then Asked Me what Happened In the Full Panel Court. I told Him My Attorney Told Me We Cannot Appeal To A Full Panel Court. He Said, thats Not true, you can. I said, thats what I Also thought, But My Attorney Said We Couldn't. So the Next Day, I Contacted My Attorney And Asked Him Why He Had told Me that We Can't Appeal To A Full Panel Court, that My Brother Says We Could Have. He Then Says We Could Have, But HE Decided Not To. I said that Wasn't His Decision to Make, I've told You All Along I Want to give ALL the Courts Every Opportunity to Let Justice Prevail. I told Him I want To Withdraw My Case From the U.S. Supreme Court. And My Appeal to Be Filed to the Full Panel Court. He Said, Sorry Its to Late. I tell Him All He Is Doing Is squandering My Right to Appeal. He Says He thinks we would Have Lost, So thats why He Decided Not to Appeal. I Said Even If we would Have Lost In the Full Panel Court, IF theres even just one Dissenting Opinion, That Dissenting Opinion Is going To Mean More, To The U.S Supreme Court than Anything We Have To Say. He Again Says He's Sorry, But Its To Late. Its To Late To Appeal to the Full Panel Court Because of.

the time Issue, And Its to Late to withdraw,
 Bottomline: So Once Again My Civil Rights were Violated. I Had
 Every Legal Right To Appeal To The Full Panel Court As To Whether
 the Law Is Unconstitutionally to Broad. I Honestly Believe We
 Could Have Had A Chance To Win In the Full Panel Court, Because I
 Seen A Movie Where Ginsburg Won In Front of A Full Panel Court,
 I Still Don't See How Our Appeal to the 3rd Circuit Court Failed,
 Because If You Divorce the subsection From the title, And the
 PA State Attorney General Also Doesn't Divorce the Subsection From
 the title, Then the State Attorney Generals Office will Prosecute
 Thousands of Businesses For Structuring And violating the Letter of
 the Law, Anyway If He Does His Job. Really the State Has No
 Argument that You Can Divorce the Subsection From the title,
 Because their own State Attorney General Is Using the title
 of the Law to Decide whom to charge. If the State Attorney
 General Does Divorce the subsection From the title of the Law,
 And He Does His Job, then He will Charge Thousands of Pennsylvania
 Businesses For Structuring, And Thousands of Business Owners would Go
 To Jail And Lose Everything they own. Would Society or the Courts
 go along with Injustices to these victims? Of Course Not! But It
 Seems If Just Myself Is Charged And My Freedom + the Money
 Is Stolen, Then Its Who Cares. Unless I Lash out, Society + the
 Courts Don't Care, Its Only One Victim of Injustice, Not Thousands
 of Victims. But There would Be If the State Attorney would
 Enforce the Letter of the Law As It Is Currently Written.
 But Even the State Attorney General will Not Divorce the
 Subsection of the Law, From the title, Because He Uses

the title to Decide whom to charge. You Cant tell Me the title of the Law Has Nothing To Do with the Subsection, It Is Specifically What the State Attorney Generals Office Uses to Decide whether to Charge Someone! If the State Attorneys Office Didn't Use the title to Decide whom to Prosecute, Then Thousands of Business Owners would Be Jailed And Robbed By the State, Because they Structure Daily!

Bottomline: My Civil Rights Have Been Violated! Right Now A Court Appointed Attorney (Someone with Experience) should Be Arguing My Case To A Full Panel Court For My Right to Make the Argument ① that the Law Has No Mens Rea Element & ② that the Fine of \$540,000 Is Unconstitutional. ③ And that The Law Is Unconstitutionally too Broad. I Have A Civil Right to Appeal to A Full Panel Court, And If Not, Then Why Does The United States Have them? They Are There So I Can Have My Arguments Heard, And As Far As I Know I Have A Civil Right to Appeal to them And to Be Heard By them, Also Don't Blame Me for this Legal Nightmare-mess, the Federal Courts Had Every Opportunity to Give Me A Court Appointed Attorney or Law Firm that Has Experience And Can Handle A Case Like this, But they Care Less. Basically All three Arguments that I Had In the Beginning should Be In Front of the Full Panel Court Today - or next, Because If I Am Not Mistaken I Have the Civil Right to Appeal to the Full Panel Court And to Be Heard By the Full Panel Court. (Insufficient Representative)

3) ALSO, ABOUT WRITING THIS PETITION MYSELF If I Have Not Followed Every Guideline For This Petition,

then Don't Blame Me: I Don't Even Understand Half of It. For an Instant, I Read Somewhere. You Need 40 Copies. Then Someone Said 1 original And 10 Copies, But Letter From Clerk of Courts Didn't Say Anything About Copies. Also Don't Understand the "Grounds" Rule. I'm Supposed to Have Court Appointed Help. That Was the Ruling! However, My Attorney That Was Helping Me Before Said He Will Not Help Me with this Petition. So the Court Appointed Help Ruling Fails To Provide Court Appointed Help. And I Don't Know the Laws - Guidelines. Actually, My Attorney Said There's Nothing More that Can Be Done After the U.S. Supreme Court, wouldn't Hear My Case. Still I Refused to give up on Justice. And wrote 3 Letters To U.S. Supreme Court Called Motion to Reconsider. I Finally Have the Right to File Petition, But I'm At Lost of what Exactly Is All Required. All I Know Is that I Am Still Pursuing Justice And If I Can't Get Justice In Court, then I Must Get Justice In the World. Court or try to Get A Gun (Or Just Be Screwed Over, And that's why I struggle with Suicidal + Homicidal thoughts. Now. In Fact, I Can't Sleep without Meds, Because I Relive the nightmare Every night that My Mind Keeps Racing And Won't Stop Until I Force It to Stop with Meds. Not that I Expect Anyone to Care, Because It Seems My Family + Friends Don't Care Either. But They ... Would, If their Money And Freedom were Robbed!)

I Don't Know How To Represent Myself Properly, And the Court Ruling To Give Me Help Has Failed, Because I Have To Write This Petition Myself. The Court Appointed Help Has Also Failed For My Past Appeals, Because My Right To Appeal To The Full Panel Court Were Violated.

3) HIS TORY OF FAMILY CASE #1) It is not enough to say
 In 1999, I withdrew cash in amounts of less than \$10,000 a day
 because I was paranoid about it. In 2006, I deposited cash
 in amounts of less than \$10,000 a day. All my withdrawals and
 deposits were made to avoid paperwork, paperwork that I was
 thought I had to do. In 2006, when I made my first deposit, I asked
 the bank manager "Is it \$10,000.00 or \$10,000.01 when I have to
 fill out paperwork?" She said it is \$10,000.01 but that they could
 do suspicious activity reports anytime they wanted to. I said "I
 don't care about paperwork that you do, I just don't want to do any."
 (I have found out since that if my deposits would have been over
 \$10,000.01, it would have been the bank's responsibility to do the
 paperwork, and not my responsibility. However, none of the bank
 managers told me it was their responsibility and not mine to do the
 paperwork. Also, for my first deposit in 2006, I told the bank
 manager I want to avoid the paperwork, and she never told me it is
 illegal to do so. At trial, we asked her why she didn't tell me and
 her response was that it is not her responsibility to let me know
 what the law is. (In fact, nobody told me it is illegal to avoid the
 paperwork or that structuring is illegal) The first time I ever
 heard the term "structuring your deposits" was after I had
 made all my deposits and my accounts were frozen. After my
 accounts were frozen, I was given a phone number to call the
 state attorney's office. So I made a meeting with them, and at
 that meeting was the first time I heard the term "structuring
 your deposits." I thought he was joking, I had always thought
 I could take money out of the bank and put money into the

Bank However You Wanted To! (It Should Also Be Noted That In 1999, If I Would Have Been Prosecuted For "Structuring Your Deposits", They Also Would Have Had to prove That It Was Proceeds of Unlawful Activity. Exactly What The Title of PA Law Says, "Dealings In Proceeds of Unlawful Activity". However, After 9-11, the State Changed their Law And Made It A Felony Just To Avoid the Paperwork - Or What the State Calls It "Structuring Your Deposits". They Divorced Section #3 "Structuring Your Deposits" From the title, "Dealings In Proceeds of Unlawful Activity", So Now A Person Can Be Guilty of A Felony For Avoiding Just the Paperwork, or Now Known As Structuring Your Deposits. Theoretically Speaking, If A Person Deposits \$1.00 Into A Bank In the State of Pennsylvania And Does It With the Intent To Avoid the Paperwork, or Structuring, That Person Can Be Prosecuted And Be Sent To Jail For 20 Years. (Do I Actually Need To Intentionally Deposit \$1.00 Dollar with the Intent To Structure And Request the Max Sentence of 20 Years Before Society or the Courts Acknowledge that this Law Is Unconstitutional?) It's Like State Superior Court Justice Elliott Ford Says, It Is Absurd To Think that the Pennsylvania General Assembly Didn't Think That the title Itself "Dealings In Proceeds of Unlawful Activity" Would Also Be Part of Subsection #3, "Structuring Your Deposits". Otherwise A Business Who Pays In \$2,500 Each Business Day of the Week Is Breaching the Law On A Regular Basis. That Business Owner Could Have Made One Deposit of \$2,500 That Week And Not Structured the Deposits. By Making 5 Deposits of \$2,500 Dollars Instead of 1 Deposit of \$12,500 Dollars, That Business Has Now Broken the Letter of the Law And Structured the Deposits. (That Business Owner Is Now Legally Guilty of Breaching

the State written Law And Could Now Go To Jail For Up To 100 Years!) If You Divorce "Structuring Your Deposits" From "Dealings In Proceeds of Unlawful Activity", Then You Make Thousands of Businesses Guilty of Breaking the Letter of the Law. That Is Why She Ruled It Is Unconstitutionally Too Broad. (You Divorce the subsection From the title, It Makes Thousands of Businesses Guilty of Breaking the Law) I Also Argued that the Law Is Unconstitutional Because It Has No Mens Rea Element of A Guilty Mind. (I Read At Lancaster Law Library. After I Was Arrested That There Needs To Be A Mens Rea Element And An Actus Rea For A Crime, So I Made No Plea Deal) I Had Absolutely No Guilty Mind For Breaking the Law By Structuring My Deposits, Because I Didn't Even Know Such A Law Existed. When You Don't Know That "Structuring Your Deposits" Law Exists, Then You Obviously Don't Have A Guilty Mind For Breaking the Law of Structuring Your Deposits. I Was Also Fined 540,000 Dollars. Basically I Was Robbed For Not Knowing the Law. If A Person Speeds In His/Her Vehicle, That Person Most Times Knows They Are Breaking the Law, And Pays A \$100 or \$500 Dollar Fine. I Didn't Even Know I Was Breaking the Law For Structuring My Deposits And My Fine Was 540,000 Dollars. In All Reality, A Person Who Speeds Is More A Danger To Society Than I Am, Because That Person Could Kill Someone And There Could Be A Victim (Imagine A Town Making It A \$540,000 Fine For Speeding, After All They Are More A Danger To Society Than A Person Putting Cash In the Bank) (People Intentionally Speed And Get A Couple Hundred Dollars Fine, But If I Intentionally Structure \$1.00 Dollar. Right Now, I Could Go To Jail 20 Years! Absurd.)

I Unknowingly Broke the Law By Structuring My Deposits
And It's A \$540,000 Dollar Fine. Also, Where Is the Victim? Is the
State the Victim For Stealing (That's What I Call It) \$540,000 Dollars
From Me For An UNKNOWN LAW? After I Was Charged, I Asked
Many People If they knew It Was Illegal to "Structure Your
Deposits", And Only One Person Knew, A Lawyer. A \$540,000 Dollar Fine
Is Nothing More Than Legalized Robbery By the State. Make No
Mistake, They Stole My Freedom And Money. If I Had A Knife And
Would Take The States Freedom And Money By Force, Then I Would Be
Labeled A Terrorist. Well, That's What the State of Pennsylvania
Did to Me, They Stole My Freedom + Money By Force with their
Unconstitutional Law. So I Can Absolutely Say the State of
Pennsylvania Are My Terrorists. Let Me Steal \$540,000 Dollars From
You And Claim To Be the Victim, Like the State Did to Me. For
All 58 Felonies Combined, the Fine Should Not Have Been More Than
A Combined Fine of \$10,000 Dollars. Even Then, That's A Steep
Fine For Someone who structures their Deposits when they
Don't Know It's Illegal to Structure their Money. Pretty Much
Everybody I Met Also thought they could take Money In or Out
of Banks Any Way they Wanted To, Even with A \$10,000 Dollar
Fine, The State Would Be Laughing All the Way to the Bank For
Making \$10,000 Dollars on a person who Didn't know the Law. Make No
Mistake they were GLAD I Unknowingly Broke the Law, that was
they could Steal \$540,000 Dollars. Whoever, Heard of A \$540,000
Dollar Fine For Someone who unknowingly Broke the Law of
structuring. (Yes, I Knew I Was Avoiding the Paperwork, But I Didn't
Know there was such a thing or Law called Structuring Your Deposits)

I Also Could Have Been Sentenced To 160 Years In Jail. I'm Not Actually Wishing The Judge Would Have Given Me That Max Sentence. I So That Way Society And The Courts Can See How Unconstitutional This Law Actually Is. Jail Didn't Even Reform Me, It Just Gave Me Complete And Total Rage. The Point That It Became Another Civil War Has To Be Fought Against Our Country, Like Our Country Did To England. Their Main Argument was that the taxes were too high. But Imagine If England Would Have Made Laws To Allow themselves to steal thousands of people's freedom and wealth. (That is, what the State of Pennsylvania Law Can Do If Pursued By The State Attorney General Against Businesses If It Is Allowed to "Divorce Subsection Structuring Your Deposits" From The Title of The Law Dealing In Proceeds of Unlawful Activity) (Some People Say No Representation Was Issue, But That Would Have Helped Absolutely Nothing To Add A Few of American Representatives To England's Assembly Because The Majority Still Votes For England). Right Now If Pennsylvania Would Enforce The Written Law of Pennsylvania And "Divorce" The Subsection From The Title (Like The State Is Arguing For) You Would Have thousands of Business Owners Sentenced For "Structuring And Robbed For Structuring". Even If They Say They Didn't Know Making \$2,500 Dollar Deposits 5 Days A Week Instead of 1 Deposit of \$2,500 Dollars Is Structuring, It Doesn't Matter Because The State Is Arguing That No Mens Rea Is Required. (Actually They Claim It Is Required In Their Briefs And Say A Person Must Know But That Was Not The Case For Me Because I Didn't Know, So They Contradict themselves) Just The Act Is All That Is Required Now Under State Law, No Mens Rea Is Required.

Just because the business is not being prosecuted, this does not mean they are not breaking the law.

THEY ARE NOT BREAKING THE LAW. THE WRITING OF THE PRESIDENT (when you divorce the suggestion from the state) of just means that the state attorney general is not prosecuting.

AT BLIND EYE TO THE CRIMES THEY ARE COMMITTING. He basically is a one man law and order. Rights and liberties.

Whom to prosecute because when you divorce the suggestion from the state then thousands of businesses are guilty of something.

That why they consistently to broader you can read state superior court just take it to the sitting opinion, but let me make my own argument of why the law is unconstitutional.

to broad. Why are these thousands of businesses not being prosecuted for something? Because no one is. They are something.

The state attorney general himself uses this to see the state attorney general also doesn't divorce the state.

"Dealing with the state attorney general" which is the state attorney general who is charged for something. If this state attorney general would just use subsection 3 is something.

your deposits then there would be thousands of banks. Sources with the freedom to move money by the state but that state attorney general also.

the thousands of people on business who are doing it. Ros. See the state attorney general also doesn't divorce the state.

Dealing with the state attorney general which is the state attorney general who is charged for something. If this state attorney general would just use subsection 3 is something.

your deposits then there would be thousands of banks. Sources with the freedom to move money by the state but that state attorney general also.

the thousands of people on business who are doing it. Ros. See the state attorney general also doesn't divorce the state.

Dealing with the state attorney general which is the state attorney general who is charged for something. If this state attorney general would just use subsection 3 is something.

your deposits then there would be thousands of banks. Sources with the freedom to move money by the state but that state attorney general also.

Page # 14
The title "Proceeds of Unlawful Activity" is the controlling factor because it is the title that the State Attorney General has to decide whom to charge for structuring. If they didn't, thousands of businesses would be charged. That has been one of my main arguments. Also, that you can't divorce the title "Proceeds of Unlawful Activity" from the subsection "structuring your deposits" otherwise thousands of business people are guilty of breaking the state's written law. I have been saying that the law is unconstitutional, too broad, because the state attorney general also does not divorce the subsection "structuring your deposits" from the title "Proceeds of Unlawful Activity". When they decide whom to charge, still the state of Pennsylvania thinks that the subsection can be divorced from the title of the law, when even their own state attorney general is not divorcing the subsection from the title of the law when he decides whom to prosecute out of the thousands of people businesses that are doing it. When their own state attorney general doesn't divorce the subsection from the title when he or she decides whom to prosecute from the thousands of people or businesses who are structuring then why can't the state see that the subsection cannot be divorced from the title? It only leaves thousands of business owners guilty of crimes. And it plays into a one man law as to whom the state attorney wants to prosecute. The state attorney general Hamsett doesn't divorce the subsection from the title when they decide whom to charge. So how can the state say that the title is not the controlling factor because it is not.

off Unlawful Activity, But It Is Still the title "Proceeds of Unlawful Activity" that they are using to decide whom to charge for structuring. If they didn't, thousands of businesses would be charged. That has been one of my main arguments. Also, that you can't divorce the title "Proceeds of Unlawful Activity" from the subsection "structuring your deposits" otherwise thousands of business people are guilty of breaking the state's written law. I have been saying that the law is unconstitutional, too broad, because the state attorney general also does not divorce the subsection "structuring your deposits" from the title "Proceeds of Unlawful Activity". When they decide whom to charge, still the state of Pennsylvania thinks that the subsection can be divorced from the title of the law, when even their own state attorney general is not divorcing the subsection from the title of the law when he decides whom to prosecute out of the thousands of people businesses that are doing it. When their own state attorney general doesn't divorce the subsection from the title when he or she decides whom to prosecute from the thousands of people or businesses who are structuring then why can't the state see that the subsection cannot be divorced from the title? It only leaves thousands of business owners guilty of crimes. And it plays into a one man law as to whom the state attorney wants to prosecute. The state attorney general Hamsett doesn't divorce the subsection from the title when they decide whom to charge. So how can the state say

that the title is not the controlling factor because it is not.

*I Wanted And Still Want This Court To Decide Whether The
Overbroad Doctrine Can Be Applied Outside of The 1st Amendment
Thank-You

Absolutely IS!! They Are Specifically Using the title As
the Controlling Factor when they Decide When they Want To
Prosecute. So the Controlling Factor IS "Dealing In Proceeds
of Unlawful Activity" when they Decide Whom to Prosecute w
out of the thousands of Businesses or People who Are Doing It.
They Just Don't Want to Have To PROVE Dealings In
Proceeds of Unlawful Activity. But Still that IS the Deciding
Factor For Whom they Choose to Prosecute! If the State Wants
To Claim that the Subsection 3 "Structuring Your Deposits" Can
Be Divorced From the title "Dealing In Proceeds of Illegal
Activity" then Lets See the Attorney General Do Her Job!
And Prosecute the thousands of Businesses who Structure
their Deposits on A Regular Basis! If He Would, Then the US
Supreme Court (or Pennsylvania Supreme Court themselves) would
soon and Most Definitely Rule that the Law IS Unconstitutional
to Broad. Even If They Are Not Getting Prosecuted (The Businesses)
They Still Are Breaking the Letter of the Law, And the State
Attorney General Can then Just Be A One Man Law And Decide
Whom to Prosecute Based on Suspicion. If For It (Ridiculous!)
that the State Wants To Claim that the subsection Can Be
Divorced From the title when Even their Own State Attorney
General Specifically Uses the title to Decide Whom To Charge.
Like State Superior Court Justice Elton Ford Said, It IS
Unconstitutionally To Broad. If You Divorce the subsection
From the title, It Makes Thousands of Business Owners, Guilty
of Breaking the Letter of the Law, Even If Not Charged.
Thus It IS Unconstitutionally To Broad!! Actually It Doesn't Really
Matter What I Write, Because Truth Will Not Prevail, Unless You Are Convicted of Truth

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**