

United States, No. 4:15-CV-1533 HEA (E.D. Mo); *Jeep v. Government of the United States*, No. 4:16-V-0810 CDP (E.D. Mo).

b-2

This Court lacks jurisdiction over family court matters. *Kahn v. Kahn*, 21 F.3d 859, 861 (8th Cir. 1994) (“The domestic relations exception . . . divests the federal courts of jurisdiction over any action for which the subject is a divorce, allowance of alimony, or child custody.”). As a result, plaintiff’s claims relating to his issues with the outcome of family court matters must be dismissed under Rule 12(h)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The remainder of plaintiff’s claims are frivolous and malicious. The nature and tone of the allegations against the remaining government defendants demonstrate that plaintiff’s purpose is to harass the named judicial and governmental defendants¹ rather than vindicate a cognizable legal right.

Moreover, plaintiff’s request that this Court overturn his prior state court judgments is not feasible. This Court does not have subject matter jurisdiction “over challenges to state court decisions. . . in particular cases arising out of judicial proceedings even if those challenges allege that the state court’s action was unconstitutional. Review of those decisions may be had only in [the United States Supreme Court].” *District of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman*, 460 U.S. 462, 486 (1983). In light of the aforementioned, this action is dismissed with prejudice.

Accordingly,


IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff’s motion to proceed in forma pauperis [ECF No. 3] is **GRANTED**.

¹Plaintiff sues the Justices of the Supreme Court, the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals, several judges of the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Missouri who have presided over his past cases, President of the United States Barack Obama, members of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and several state court Judges. Plaintiff seeks damages in excess of one billion dollars.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

Dated this 9th day of November, 2017.

b-3



AUDREY G. FLEISSIG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No: 17-3681

David Gerard Jeep

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Government of United States of America

Defendant - Appellee

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri - St. Louis
(4:17-cv-02690-AGF)

JUDGMENT

Before WOLLMAN, COLLOTON and GRUENDER, Circuit Judges.

This court has reviewed the original file of the United States District Court. It is ordered by the court that the judgment of the district court is summarily affirmed in accordance with Eighth Circuit Rule 47A(a) but modifying the dismissal to be without prejudice.

May 30, 2018

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

/s/ Michael E. Gans

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**