

No. _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Pro se- GREGORY D. KILPATRICK PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.
M.D. FABIO VOLterra 173547 (17085109) RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
V.S. COURT OF APPEALS - 2ND CIRCUIT-Foley Sq
V.S. DIST. CT - S.D. N.Y.

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

GREGORY D. KILPATRICK
(Your Name)

3444 WHITE PLAINS ROAD APT #2C
(Address)

Bronx, New York City 10467-5716
(City, State, Zip Code)

(718) - 994-5347 VOICE MAIL
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1- WHY DIDN'T 173547 INFORM PRO-SE PLAINTIFF WHEN PRO SE RETURNED TO HIS CLINIC JANUARY 12, 2016 THAT HE WAS INFECTED WITH HSV-1, HSV-2 SQUAMOUS EPITHELIAL, AND URINE MUCUS CONTAMINATIONS? (PLAINTIFF WAS AT HIS CLINIC WHERE HE WITHDREW SEVEN TUBES OF BLOOD AND TOOK A URINE SPECIMEN DECEMBER 04, 2015)
- 2- WHY DIDN'T 173547 GIVE PRO SE THE PRESCRIPTIONS FOR THE DISPOSABLE HYPODERMIC NEEDLE SYRINGES AND THE LIQUID VIAL MEDICINE TO ADD THE CONTAMINATIONS? (THERE IS NO CURE YET FOR HSV1-HSV2) (173128-174036)
- 3- WHY DID 173547 GIVE PRO-SE A FALSE MEDICAL DIAGNOSES STATING PRO SE HAS "CALM MUTATION" (GENE) IN MY BONES? 173547 TOLD PRO-SE THAT THERE IS NOTHING WRONG WITH ME?
- 4- WHY DID 173547 TELL PRO SE THAT 174031 GAVE ME A FALSE MEDICAL DIAGNOSES OF HSV1-HSV2?
- 5- WHY DIDN'T 173547 GIVE PRO-SE MEDICATION FOR THE URINE INFECTION PRO-SE COMPLAINED ABOUT TO 173547 ON JAN 12, 2016 AND DEC. 04, 2015?
- 6- WHY IS 173547 PROTECTING FROM CRIMINAL-CIVIL PROSECUTION "ACCIDENTALLY-DELIBERATELY" DENTAL MEDICAL MAL PRACTICE? (C.J.C.N. DID NOT GRANT PRO-SE IFF FOR MCV5109?)
- 7- WHY DID 173547 AND THE M.D. THAT REFERRED PRO SE TO 173547 - "172831" BOTH FALSIFY THE BLOOD DIAGNOSES AND URINE SPECIMIN? (173128-174036)
- 8- WHY DID M. DONOVAN N.Y.S. D.O.H.-O.P.M.C. #17-04 2805 (04-27-17) INVESTIGATOR HINDER PRO-SE'S COMPLAINT AND APPEAL TO O.P.M.C. ABOUT 173547 (MCV5109)
- 9- WHY DID L. CROGAN N.Y.S. D.O.H.-O.P.M.C. # 16-09-5805 HINDER PRO-SE COMPLAINT AN APPEAL TO O.P.M.C. AGAIN ABOUT 173547? →

10- WHY DID HEALTH CARE PROVIDER DELIBERATELY
SPELL AND WRITE (TYPE) 173547 FULL NAME
INCORRECTLY ON LETTER HEAD DOCUMENTATION
TO PRO-SE PLAINTIFF? THIS WAS NO ERROR
BECAUSE O.P.M.C. INVESTIGATORS CLAIM THAT
THEY DON'T KNOW WHO 173547 IS?
(INVESTIGATORS M.DONOVAN AND L.CROGAN)

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

EAST CHESTER CENTER FOR CANCER CARE
M.D. FABIO VOLTERRA
MEDICAL DOCTOR (ONCOLOGIST & HEMATOLOGIST)
2330 EAST CHESTER ROAD
BRONX, NEW YORK CITY 10469
TEL- (718) 7324000, FAX(718) 8810094
0091

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	V. S. COURT OF APPEALS - 2ND CIRCT. - PGS-1 VNREPORTED - MAY 21, 2018 - MOTIONS-APPEALS PRO BONO ATTY - DENIED AND DISMISSED
APPENDIX B	V. S. DIST. COURT - S. D. N.Y. - PGS-1-4, 2-4, 3-4, 4-4 VNREPORTED - OCTOBER 10, 2017 - ORDER OF - PGS-1-4, 2-4, 3-4, 4-4 CIVIL JUDGEMENT - DISMISSAL - PGS-1
APPENDIX C	N.Y.S. D.O.H. - O.P.M.C. # 17-04-2805 - PGS-2 REPORTED - APRIL 27, 2017 - M. DONOVAN INVESTIGATOR REPORTED - SEPT 12, 2016 - L. CROGAN INVESTIGATOR
APPENDIX D	N.Y.S. D.O.H. - O.P.M.C. # 16-09-5805 - PGS-2 REPORTED - APRIL 15, 2017 - PGS-1-5 APPEAL TO INVESTIGATOR
APPENDIX E	N.Y.S. D.O.H. - O.P.M.C. - COMPLAINT - JAN. 13, 2016 - PGS 1-3 REPORTED
APPENDIX F	N.Y.S. DIST. COURT - S. D. N.Y. APPLICATION PRO-BONO DENIED - FEB 26, 2018 - COUNSEL PGS-1-2 VNREPORTED
APPENDIX G	N.Y.S. D.O.H. - O.P.M.C. - APPEAL TO INVESTIGATOR - PGS. 1-5 REPORTED APRIL 15, 2017
APPENDIX H	N.Y.S. D.O.H. - O.P.M.C. - COMPLAINT - PGS. 1-3 REPORTED JAN. 13, 2016

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED
U.S.C.C.A. 2ND CIRCT.

CASES

PAGE NUMBER (S)

173547- ORDER - MAY 21, 2018 - CIRCUIT JUDGES
ROSEMARY S. PODLER PL-1
RICHARD E. WESLEY
DENNIS CHIN
CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE

MANDATE - JUNE 11, 2018

U.S. DIST. CT - S.D.N.Y.
17CV5109 - ORDER OF DISMISSAL - OCTOBER 10, 2017 PGS. 14, 24, 34, 44
CIVIL JUDGEMENT - CHIEF JUSTICE COLEEN McMAHON

STATUTES AND RULES

THIS DOCKETED CASE MUST BE GRANTED ON THE GROUNDS OF
RACISM, HINDERING (173128-174036), FAVORITISM, PERJURY AND
SUBJECT MATTER (FALSIFICATION OF BLOOD-URINE MEDICAL DIAGNOSES-
ANALYZATION).

OTHER

PRESCRIPTIONS: CASHES, ETC. "SKIN DAMAGES FROM 173128 (17CV5013)-174036 (17CV-
511) RX 517442 M.D. SHANNON SITCHENKO (917) 7154360, RX 63533-M.D. SARA RUSSELL
(718) 683556. VALACYCLOVIR (HOL) 500 MG - (GENERIC FOR VALTREK) RX 105062-
QUANTITY 30- ONLY CONTROLS (1581-HSV2-M.D. JESSIE FIELDS (212) 5238672-FAX
(212) TO-8196843- AUGUST 14, 2018 - 3:30 P.M. M.D. WAYNE LEE N.Y. PL 1871639660-
REFERRED TO HEMATOLOGIST - BLOOD URINE - PRESCRIPTIONS FOR 5 QUAMOUS
EPITHELIAL URINE MUEVS CONTAMINATIONS FROM 173128 AND 174036. LIDOCaine
FROM PRIME LIBERTY PHARMACY - MARIL (858) 210 4998 - REFERAL FROM M.D. PUP
WAYNE LEE AND MARK WIL MAIL PRESCRIPTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS RE: SONIA
BATOOL (877) 764 3638 - P.M. GENETICS - ADDITIONAL MEDICINE TO BE MAILED
TO PLAINTIFF FOR PERMANENT SKIN DAMAGES.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

PLAINTIFF IS SEEKING JOINT REVIEW UNDER RULE 12.4

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was MAY 21 2018 AND JUNE 11, 2018

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: JAN 02, 2018, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

*THE DATE ON WHICH THE U.S. DIST COURT DECIDED MY CASE
OCT 10, 2017*

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

JUDGE C.J.C.M. - U.S. DIST. COURT, C.J.P.A.K. U.S. C.O.A. 2ND CIRCT (GEIGER-JOSEPH), BOTH LEGAL CLINICS AT WHITE PLAINS N.Y. AND FOLEY SQUARE, PRO SE SUPERVISOR, U.S. DIST. COURT CECILIA RUDDER, CLERK OF THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT RUBY KIRKICK, CLERK OF C.O.A. 2ND CIRCT - CATHERYN CHAGAN WOLFE, PLUCATO, NORIEGA AND BROWN ROOM 300 U.S. DIST. COURT PRO-SE OFFICE) REFUSED TO MAIL PRO-SE PLAINTIFF THE FEDERAL ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FORMS ARTICLE 78, ETC. PRO SE PLAINTIFF WAS TOLD FROM MS. RAFAEL AND MS. WONG (312) 626-7383 - CITY BAR JUSTICE HOT LINE ON JULY 05, 2018 THAT ARTICLE 78 TO SHOW CAUSE ARE STATE CIVIL FORMS AND THAT THE PRO SE HAS 90 DAYS FROM N.Y.S. O.I.G. DATE OF CORRESPONDENCE FOR PLAINTIFF TO FILE THE ARTICLE 78. ON JULY 06, 2018 I RECEIVED A LETTER WITH FIVE MOTIONS RETURNED FROM STAFF ATTORNEY JEINA, STATING "YOUR DOCUMENTS ARE CAPTIONED FOR ANOTHER COURT OR AGENCY"? ALSO ON JULY 06 2018, FEDERAL PRO SE LEGAL ASSISTANT DILLON LEE (312) 382-4129 TELEPHONED AND TOLD PRO-SE THAT ARTICLE 78 ARE STATE FORMS AND THAT THE JUDGES, LEGAL CLINICS, PRO-SE PERSONNEL, AN ASSISTANT SOLICITOR GENERAL - CRENZEVE SHOULD AN OUGHT TO HAVE TOLD PRO-SE AS WELL AS THE CASE MANAGERS FROM U.S. C.O.A. 2ND CIRCT. THERE IS A COVER-UP SCANDAL CORRUPTION PRESENT BECAUSE THEY ALL ARE PROTECTING 173128 AND 174036 FROM CIVIL-CRIMINAL PROSECUTION. "RACISM"

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THIS NEGLIGENCE FROM 173547 IS DELIBERATE, REPETITIOUS, SNEAKY, WELL PLANNED, CONNIVING AND INTENDED TO REFRAIN PLAINTIFF FROM OBTAINING MONEY DAMAGES PAYABLE TO PRO-SE PLAINTIFF FOR NEGLIGENCE AGAINST RESPONDENT M.D. FABIO VOLTEARRA. THE QUESTIONS PRESENTED MUST BE APPLIED BEFORE PLAINTIFF IS MORE DENIED THE NEEDED MEDICINES. THESE NEEDED MEDICINES AND PRESCRIPTIONS ARE OBTAINABLE AND RECEIVED FROM MEDICAL DOCTORS AND PHARMACIES, NOT DENTISTS. 173547 DID NOT INFECT PRO-SE PLAINTIFF. ALL 173547 DID WAS WITHDRAW PLAINTIFFS BLOOD, ANALYZE PRO-SE PLAINTIFFS BLOOD AND URINE AND THEN GAVE PRO-SE A FALSE MEDICAL DIAGNOSES. WHY?

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

PURSUANT TO CIRCUIT JUDGES R.S.P., R.C.W. D.C., WITH
C.J.C.M., COURT CLERK CATHERYN OHAGAN WOLFE - U.S.C.O.A.
2ND CIRCUIT ORDERS DISMISSING 173547 (17LV5109) BOTH
U.S. DISTRICT COURT AND U.S.C.O.A. 2ND CIRCUIT WITH ALL
RESPECTS TO THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF ATTORNEYS. I
GREGORY D. KELPATRICK URGES THAT THIS WRIT OF CERTIORARI
PROPERLY GRANTED AS TO THE ISSUE OF WHETHER THE DISTRICT
COURT PROPERLY DISMISSED OTHERWISE A VALID INDICTMENT
CAUSING BOTH DISTRICT AND COURT OF APPEALS FAILED TO
TO DISCLOSE TO THE JURY TRIALS SUBSTANTIAL EXONERATING
EVIDENCE OF NEGLIGENCE WHERE THE ISSUE WAS BUT
WAS NEVER THE LESS PASSED ON. THIS RECOMMENDATION
IS DESIGNED TO AID THE PRO-SE ATTORNEY COMMENDED
IN OBTAINING HEALTH CARE AND ADVISE THE COURTS
THAT A CERTAIN COURSE BE PURSUED AND PERMANENT
HEALTH CARE OBTAINABLE. THE REPORT-REPORTER
EMBODY THE RESULTS OF AN INVESTIGATION SUCH AS
JURISDICTION SUBJECT MATTER OF ANY SIGNIFICANCE.
CASE MANAGEMENT ADMINISTRATION THERE WAS
NEVER ANY TRIAL HELD IN LITIGATION, ARBITRATION,
PRE TRIAL CONFERENCE, FACT FINDING CONFERENCE,
OR HEARINGS. THERE WERE ORDERS EMBODYING
THE TERMS FROM PLAINTIFF AGREED UPON BUT NEVER
ENFORCED BY SUPREME COURT WASH D.C. IF AND
WHEN THESE LAWS CAN BE EXECUTED, IT WOULD
BE MOST BENEFICIAL TO PLAINTIFFS, PETITIONERS,
APPELLANT RESPONDENTS CLAIM AND HEALTH.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Pro-SE-PLAINTIFF Henry D. Kilpatrick

Date: August 18 2018