

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

GREGORY D. KILPATRICK PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.
M.D. JESSIE FIELDS 174031 (17CV5115) RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS - 2ND CIRCUIT
U.S. DISTRICT COURT - S.D. N.Y.

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

GREGORY D. KILPATRICK

(Your Name)

3444 WHITE PLAINS ROAD APT #3C
(Address)

BRONX, NEW YORK CITY, N.Y. 10467
(City, State, Zip Code) 5716

(718) 994-5347 VOICE MAIL
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1- WHY DID 174031 REFUSE TO GIVE PRO-SE PLAINTIFF THE PRESCRIPTIONS CONSISTING OF DISPOSABLE HYPODERMIC NEEDLE SYRINGES AND LIQUID VIAL MEDICINE TO CURE SQUAMOUS EPITHELIAL AND URINE MUCUS CONTAMINATIONS INJECTED INTO PLAINTIFF FROM 173128 AND 174036 WITH HSV1-HSV2 VIRUS?
- 2- WHY DID 174031 ONLY PRESCRIBE TO PRO-SE PLAINTIFF VALACYCLOVIR HCL 500 MG WHEN THIS PILL ONLY CONTROLS HSV1-HSV2 HERPES (PIMPLES, BLISTERS, RASHES, BLEEDING?)
- 3- WHY DID 174031 ON DEC. 30, 2015 GIVE PRO-SE PLAINTIFF HIS BLOOD LAB RESULTS SAYING PRO-SE PLAINTIFF IS INFECTED WITH ONLY HSV1 WHEN ON DEC 28 2015 AT 3:05 P.M. THE FLOW SHEET THAT PRO-SE PLAINTIFF OBTAINED IN HIS ENTIRE MEDICAL FILE RECORD HIGHLIGHTED FROM THE COMPUTER THAT PRO-SE PLAINTIFF IS INFECTED WITH HSV2 ALSO.
- 4- WHY DID 174031 PRESCRIBE TO PRO SE PLAINTIFFS FORMER PHARMACY ATTACHED THREE HUNDRED ALCOHOL SWABS AND NOT GIVE PRO-SE PLAINTIFF THE NEEDED MEDICINE LIQUID VIAL (174031 KNOWS THE NAME OF THE VIAL LIQUID MEDICINE) AND DISPOSABLE HYPODERMIC NEEDLE SYRINGES?
- 5- WHY DIDN'T 174031 GIVE PRO-SE PLAINTIFF HIS URINE SPECIMEN RESULTS AND ALSO INFORM PRO-SE PLAINTIFF THAT HIS URINE IS INFECTED AT THE SAME TIME GIVE PRO-SE A PRESCRIPTION FOR HIS URINE THE SAME WAY M.R. JACRETT KELLY DID JUNE 25, 2016 (SEE ATTACHED PAGE ONE PHARMACY).
- 6- THE PRESCRIPTION VALACYCLOVIR MAKES PRO SE PLAINTIFF SICK, NAUSEA AND VOMITING SO PLAINTIFF DOESN'T TAKE THIS PILL MEDICINE ANY MORE. (TAINTED PRESCRIPTION? D.E.A AND F.D.A NOV. 08 2018 NEGLIGENCE - 173128-174036 → AND THREE PHARMACIES "CHUCK CHARLES ROSENBERG" ADMINISTRATOR

7- WHY DIDN'T 174031 TELEPHONE, FAX 174021
PRO-SE PLAINTIFFS FORMER P.C.P. ABOUT THE LIQUID
VIAL MEDICINE AND DISPOSABLE HYPODERMIC
NEEDLE SYRINGES THAT 174031 REFUSED TO GIVE
PRO-SE PLAINTIFF FOR CURE (173128-174036)?

8- WHY DID 174031 SAY TO PRO SE PLAINTIFF
WHEN PRO SE WENT TO THE CLINIC FOR HIS
LAB RESULTS GEORGIA, GEORGIA, GEORGIA?

P.D. GEORGE ASTARITA - 103RD PRECINCT APRIL 05, 1973

QUEENS CRIMINAL COURT - 112 PRECINCT
125-01 QUEENS BLVD - FINE PAID \$50.00

KEW GARDENS, 11415 - JUDGE BELDOCK DISMISSED
CASE AUGUST 1985

"THUMBS DOWN" WITH F.D.P.C - BENJAMIN TUCKER
FORMER POLICE COMMISSIONER - BENJAMIN WARD
BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT - ERIC ADAMS
FORMER POLICE COMMISSIONER - LEE BROWN
FORMER CHIEF OF POLICE - DAVID DINKINS
FORMER DETECTIVE MARVIN BLUE

FORMER SERGEANT AND DETECTIVE - ELLA OWENS
FORMER DETECTIVE TUMULTY

FORMER DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DAVID SCOTT
FORMER DEPUTY INSPECTOR MONTGOMERY

43MAFF - DETECTIVE ESCABRANES - WASHINGTON HEIGHTS NYC 10033

D.E.A. AGENT CHARLES ROSENBERG (NOV. 08, 2018 - PENDING)

FED. P.D. ALBERTO AVILES V.A.M.L.
DETECTIVE FERGUSON - STATE P.D.

H E
C T
M I
A S
U T
I S
S
THREE

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

M.D. JESSIE FIELDS
MOUNT SINAI DOCTORS WEST 147TH STREET
2771 FREDERICK DOUGLASS BOULEVARD
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10039

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS - 2ND CIRCT UNREPORTED - MAY 21, 2018 MOTIONS DENIED - PGS 1-5 APPEALS DISMISSED
APPENDIX B	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - S.D. N.Y. UNREPORTED - DEC. 19, 2017 - DISMISSED ORDER PGS 1-5, 2-5, 3-5 CIVIL JUDGEMENT - NOV. 29, 2017 - ORDER OF DISMISSAL 4-5, 5-5
APPENDIX C	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - S.D.N.Y. - 1-4, 2-4, 3-4, 4-4 UNREPORTED - COMPLAINT - JUNE 24, 2017 - PGS 1-7
APPENDIX D	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT UNREPORTED - NOTICE OF APPEAL - DEC. 09, 2017 PGS. 1-8
APPENDIX E	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT UNREPORTED - 24, 1 "PROVIDE A STATEMENT" - 1 FULL PG. DEC. 28, 2015 TO JAN. 05, 2016
APPENDIX F	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT UNREPORTED - FORM D-P - JAN. 12, 2018 - PROVIDE - 2 PGS A DESCRIPTION SECTION 1-5
APPENDIX G - N.Y.S. D.O.H. - O.P.M.C - APPEAL TO INVESTIGATOR - PGS 1-4 APRIL 15, 2017 REPORTED	
APPENDIX H - N.Y.S. D.O.H. - O.P.M.C - COMPLAINT - PGS. 1-2 REPORTED FEB 05, 2016	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

U.S. C. O. A. 2ND CIRCT

CASES

PAGE NUMBER(S)

174031 - ORDER - MAY 21, 2018 - CIRCUIT JUDGES - 1 PG
 DENNY CHIN-ROSEMARY S. POOLER
 174031 - ORDER - MAY 21, 2018 - RICHARD C. WESLEY
 CATHERYN O. WOLFE, CLERK - 1 PG
 OF COURT
 174031 - MANDATE - JUNE 11, 2018 - CIRCUIT JUDGES - R.S.P. R.R.W., D.C. - 1 PG
 CATHERYN O. WOLFE, CLERK OF COURT - 1 PG

U.S. DIST. COURT - S.D.N.Y.

PGS.

17cv5115 - ORDER - DEC. 19, 2017 - CHIEF JUDGE COLEEN McMAHON - 1-5, 2-5, 3-5 4-5,
 17cv5115 - ORDER OF DISMISSAL - NOV. 27, 2017 - C.J.C.M. - PGS. 1-4, 2-4, 3-4, 4-4
 17cv5115 - CIVIL JUDGEMENT - NOV. 27, 2017 - C.J.C.M. - PGS 1

STATUTES AND RULES

THIS DOCKETED CASE IS DELIBERATE, UNCALLED FOR, UNPROFESSIONAL,
 RACIAL AND A VIOLATION TO PRO-SE PLAINTIFFS HEALTH DENYING
 PRO SE THE NEEDED MEDICINES FOR CURE, AND PRESCRIPTIONS ARE
 GROUNDS FOR NEGLIGENCE MONEY PAYABLE TO PLAINTIFF,
 THERE WAS NO RESPONSE FROM 18287(17cv9861) OR 18291(17cv9862)

OTHER

PRESCRIPTIONS: RASHES, ETC "SKIN DAMAGES FROM 173128(17cv5013) - 174036(17cv5111)
 RX 517442 M.D. SHANNON SITCHENKO(917)7154360 RX 63533-M.D. SPAR RUSSELL (718)683
 556, VALACYCLOVIR (HCL) 500 MG (GENERIC FOR VALTREK) RX 105062 - QUANTITY 30. ONLY
 CONTROLS HSV1-HSV2 M.D. JESSIE FIELDS (212)5238672 - FAX (212)8190843 - AUGUST 14 2018 -
 3:30 P.M. M.D. WAYNE LEE N.P.I. 1871639666 - REFERRAL TO HEMATOLOGIST - BLOOD, URINE -
PRESCRIPTIONS - FOR SQUAMOUS EPILITHIAL URINE MUCUS CONTAMINATIONS FROM 173128
 AND 174036. LIDOCAINE FROM PRIME LIBERTY PHARMACY - MARK (858)2164998 - REFERRAL
 FROM M.D. P.C.P. WAYNE LEE AND MARK WILL MAIL PRESCRIPTIONS TO PLAINTIFF,
 RE: SONIA BATOOL (877)7643638 - P.M. GENETICS ADDITIONAL MEDICINE TO BE
 MAILED TO PLAINTIFF FOR PERMANENT SKIN DAMAGES.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

PLAINTIFF IS SEEKING JOINT REVIEW UNDER RULE 12.4
The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case
was MAY 31, 2018 AND JUNE 11, 2018

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: JAN. 02 2018, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix 1.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

THE DATE ON WHICH THE U.S. DIST. COURT DECIDED MY CASE IS DEC. 19, 2017, NOV. 21, 2017

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

JUDGE C.J.C.M. - U.S. DIST. COURT C.J. R.A.K, U.S.C.C. A 2ND CIRCT (GEIGER JOSEPH) BOTH LEGAL CLINICS AT WHITE PLAINS N.Y. AND FOLEY SQUARE, PRO SE. SUPV. U.S. DIST. COURT CECILIA RUDDEL, CLERK OF THE U.S. DIST. COURT RUBY KRATICK, CLERK OF C.O.A. 2ND CIRCT CATHERYN OHAGAN WOLFE, (BRUCATO, NORIEGA AND BROWN - ROOM 200 U.S. DIST. COURT PRO SE OFFICE) REFUSED TO MAIL PRO SE PLAINTIFF THE FEDERAL ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FORMS ARTICLE 78, ETC. PRO - SE PLAINTIFF WAS TOLD FROM MS. RAFAEL AND MS. WONG (212) 626-7383 - CITY BAR JUSTICE HOTLINE ON JULY 05, 2018 THAT ARTICLE 78 TO SHOW CAUSE ARE STATE CIVIL FORMS AND THAT THE PRO SE HAS 90 DAYS FROM N.Y.S. O.I.G. DATE OF CORRESPONDENCE FOR PLAINTIFF TO FILE THE ARTICLE 78. ON JULY 06, 2018 I RECEIVED A LETTER WITH FIVE MOTIONS RETURNED FROM STAFF ATTORNEY REINA STATING "YOUR DOCUMENTS" ARE CAPTIONED FOR ANOTHER COURT OR AGENCY? ALSO ON JULY 06, 2018, FEDERAL PRO SE LEGAL ASSISTANT DILLON LEE (212) 382-4729 TELEPHONED AND TOLD PRO-SE THAT ARTICLE 78 ARE STATE FORMS AND THAT THE JUDGES, LEGAL CLINICS, PRO-SE PERSONNEL AND ASSISTANT, SOLICITOR GENERAL - OREN L. ZEVE SHOULD AN OUGHT TO HAVE TOLD PRO SE AS WELL AS THE CASE MANAGERS FROM U.S. C.O.A. 2ND CIRCUIT. THERE IS A COVER-UP SCANDAL CORRUPTION PRESENT BECAUSE THEY ALL ARE PROTECTING 173128 AND 174036 FROM CIVIL CRIMINAL PROSECUTION. "RACISM"

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THIS NEGLIGENCE FROM 174031(17CV5115) AGAIN IS DELIBERATE, REPETITIOUS, SNEAKY, WELL PLANNED, CONNIVING AND INTENDED TO REFRAIN PLAINTIFF FROM OBTAINING MONEY DAMAGES PAYABLE TO PRO-SE PLAINTIFF FOR NEGLIGENCE AGAINST RESPONDENT M.D. JESSIE FIELDS (THERE WAS NO RESPONSE FROM 18287(17CV9861) AND 18291(17CV9862) O.P.M.C.?)

THE QUESTIONS PRESENTED MUST BE APPLIED BEFORE PRO-SE PLAINTIFF GETS DENIED OF NEEDED MEDICINES PRESCRIPTIONS AGAIN IN THE FUTURE AND PRESENTLY, THESE NEEDED MEDICINES AND PRESCRIPTIONS ARE RECEIVED FROM MEDICAL DOCTORS AND PHARMACIES NOT DENTISTS. 174031 DID NOT INFECT PRO-SE PLAINTIFF. ALL 174031 DID WAS WITHDRAW PLAINTIFFS BLOOD AND SEND IT TO TETERBORO N.J. FOR ANALYZATION. PRO-SE GAVE A URINE SPECIMEN FOR ANY INFECTION, AND 174031 WAS NEGIGENT WITH URINE RESULTS TOO. (QUESTIONS PRESENTED NUMBER FIVE #1

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

PURSUANT TO CIRCUIT JUDGES R.S.P. R.C.W. AND
D.C. WITH C.J.C.M. COURT CLERK CATHERYN O'HAGAN
WOLFE - V.S. C.O.A. AND CIRCUIT ORDERS DISMISSING 174031
(MCV5115) BOTH V.S. DISTRICT COURT AND V.S.C.O.A AND
CIRCUIT WITH ALL RESPECTS TO THE BAR ASSOCIATION OF
ATTORNEYS, I GREGORY D. KILPATRICK URGE THAT THIS
WIT OF CERTIORARI PROPERLY GRANTED AS TO THE
ISSUE OF WHETHER THE DISTRICT COURT PROPERLY
DISMISSED OTHERWISE A VALID INDICTMENT CAUSING
BOTH DISTRICT AND COURT OF APPEALS FAILED TO DISCLOSE
TO THE JURY TRIALS SUBSTANTIAL EXCULPATING
EVIDENCE OF NEGLIGENCE WHERE THE ISSUE WAS
BUT WAS NEVER THE LESS PASSED ON. THIS RECOMM-
ENDATION IS DESIGNED TO AID THE PRO-SE ATTORNEY
COMMENDED IN OBTAINING HEALTH CARE AND ADVISE
THE COURTS THAT A CERTAIN COURSE BE PURSUED AND
PERMANENT HEALTH CARE OBTAINABLE. THE REPORT-
ER PORTER EMBODY THE RESULTS OF AN INVESTIGATION
SUCH AS JURISDICTION, SUBJECT MATTER OF ANY
SIGNIFICANCE, CASE MANAGEMENT, ADMINISTRATION
THERE WAS NEVER ANY TRIAL HELD IN LITIGATION,
ARBITRATION, PRE TRIAL CONFERENCE, FACT FINDING
CONFERENCE OR HEARINGS. THERE WERE ORDERS
EMBODYING THE TERMS FROM PLAINTIFF AGREED
UPON BUT NEVER ENFORCED BY SUPREME COURT
WASH D.C. IF AND WHEN THESE LAWS CAN BE
EXECUTED IT WOULD BE MOST BENEFICIAL TO
PLAINTIFFS, PETITIONERS, APPELLANT RESPONDENTS
CLAIM AND HEALTH.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Pro Se - Plaintiff - Appellant Respondent Gregory D. Kilpatrick

Date: August 18 2018