

1001 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 200 Alameda, California 94501 TELEPHONE: (510) 337-1001 FACSIMILE: (510) 337-1023 David A. Rosenfeld

drosenfeld@unioncounsel.net

STEWART WEINBERG DAVID A. ROSENFELD WILLIAM A. SOKOL BLYTHE MICKELSON BARRY E. HINKLE ANTONIO RUIZ MATTHEW J. GAUGER ANI ONIO I DAY

MATTHEW J. GAUGER
ASHLEY K. IKEDA
LINDA BALDWIN JONES
PATRICIA A. DAVIS
ALAN G. GROWLEY
KRISTINA L. HILLMAN &
EMILY P. RICH
ONCEPCIÓN E. LOZANO-BATISTA
CAREN P. SENCER
KRISTINA M. ZINNEN
KRISTINA M. ZINNEN
JANNAH V. MANANSALA
MANUEL A. BOIGUES W
KERIANNE R. STELLE&
GARY P. PROVENCHER
EZEMIEL D. CARDERE
MONICA T. GUIZAR
LISLA R. SOTO
JOLENE KRAMER

> ALEJANDRO DELGADO
> CAROLINE N. COHEN
> XOCHITLA I.OPEZ
> CAITLINE GRAY
> TIFFANY CRAIN ALTAMIRANO
> RYAN B. KADEVAN
> DAVID W.M. FLJIMOTO
> AUDIO W.M. FL ALEJANDRO DELGADO

OF COUNSEL

ROBERTA D. PERKINS NINA FENDEL TRACY L. MAINGUY* ROBERT E. SZYKOWNY ANDREA K. DON LORI K. AQUINO• SHARON A. SEIDENSTEN

Admitted in Hawaii Also admitted in Nevada Also admitted in Illinois Also admitted in New York and Alaska Also admitted in Florida Also admitted in New York Also admitted in Minnesota

January 17, 2019

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND UPS OVERNIGHT

Clerk of the Court United States Supreme Court 1 First Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20543

Re: Nu Image, Inc., a California corporation v. International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees, Moving Picture Technicians, Artists and Allied Crafts of the United States, Its Territories and Canada, AFL-CIO, CLC, Case No. 15-580

Dear Clerk:

The undersigned represents the Respondent. The purpose of this letter is to bring to the Court's attention a case which bears on the Petition for Writ of Certiorari pending before this Court.

On January 9, the Court issued its decision in Henry Schein, Inc. v. Archer & White Sales, Inc., No. 17-272. This was issued the day after the Petitioner filed its Reply in Support of Petition for Writ of Certiorari. The Respondent filed its Brief in Opposition to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari on December 26.

Respondent has pointed out that there is a pending arbitration demand by Petitioner Nu Image covering the same dispute which it is litigating. See Brief in Opposition p. 21-22. Respondent has replied to that contention. See Reply p. 11 which was filed the day before this Court issued Henry Schein.

The Court's decision in *Henry Schein* relates to the Respondent's position that since the parties have agreed to arbitration, this Court should not intercede and grant the Petition.

Sincerely,

/s/ David A. Rosenfeld

David A. Rosenfeld Counsel of Record for the Respondent

DAR:kk opeiu 29 afl-cio(1) 146640\1005429

Certificate of Service cc: