

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Chan S. Cheeseboro _____ — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Little Richie Bus Company _____ — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Circuit Circuit Court Lower Manhattan
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Chan S. Cheeseboro

(Your Name)

4129 Kittrell Farms Drive Apt 304

(Address)

Greenville, NC 27858

(City, State, Zip Code)

252-481-7987

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Why the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York would allow the law firm of Lewis Bris Bois Bisgaard and Smith LLP. allowed Mrs. Subrattan Corchado to do a deposition, knowing that she was not involved in the accident the morning of November 15, 2000. Mrs. Corchado name does not appear on the police report. The report states that only eleven passengers were on the bus, two males and nine children. The District Court Judge wrote in her statement that Mrs. Subrattan Corchado did her job the morning of November 15, 2000. This statement is false. Mrs. Corchado was not involved in the accident on November 15, 2000.

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Aaron David fishberg

Subrataan Corehado

William Lightfoot Jr.

Joseph Ristano

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

Table of Authorities

CASES

Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc. 477 U.S. 242,256 (1986)

Lombard v. Boaz-Allen & Hamilton, Inc., 280 F.3d 209, 215 (2d Cir. 2002)

<https://www.adagov/peterpanbusa.html>, Settlement Agreement post (08/09/2017)

Settlement Agreement between the United States of America vs. Peter Pan Bus Lines, USAO

Complaint No. 2019V00022/DJ No. 202-36-286, a complaint filed with the United States Department of Justice and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Massachusetts against PPBL alleging disability discrimination.

STATUTES AND RULES

Federal Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Procedure, Rule 4

Federal Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, Procedure, Rule 28

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at United States Court of Appeals,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at United States District Court; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 5/18/2018.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 5/18/2018. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

PURPOSE

The purpose of a constitutional provision is to establish the most basic vital rights, restrictions and organizations in society. Constitutional provisions establish broad notions of what is legal and what is illegal in a country, and they establish the structure of government.

SIGNIFICANCE

Constitutional provisions cannot be altered or amended by legislatures or by the courts. The only way to amend a constitutional provision is to follow the procedures set out in the constitution itself, such as state ratification. The purpose of making it difficult to amend a constitution is to ensure that the constitution remains a relatively static document that protects fundamental and inalienable rights.

TYPES

Constitutional provisions cover such topics as the rights and responsibilities of the president or governor, legislature and the court system. They also define the balance between state and federal governments.

RIGHTS

Constitutional provisions also establish fundamental rights in society. For example, the Bill of Rights, the first 10 amendments to the U.S. Constitution, establishes such fundamental rights as freedom of speech, press and religion, the right to a trial by jury and the right to bear arms.

ENFORCEMENT

The U.S. Congress can pass laws that are consistent with constitutional provisions, but ultimately the U.S. Supreme Court is charged with interpreting and enforcing constitutional provisions. The Supreme Court's authority even includes the power to declare Congressional acts unconstitutional.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I, the appellant Chan Cheeseboro, filled my notice of appeal for my claims of negligence suit for injuies I sustained in the Little Richie School Bus accident on November 15, 2000. During this time, I was eight years of age. I do not understand why the bus company is immune from libility. The bus company should not be immune from damages due to the negligent way in which they handled the accident on that day. The bus driver called the bus company instead of calling 911. The bus company sent another bus to transport nine children to a hospital in Jamica Queens, NY, passing two additional hospitals along the way. Little Richie Bus Company allowed one of there employees to do a desposition, stating that she put me in the seat belt the morning of the accident. However, this statement is false. Not only was this employee name not on the police report, but this employee was not on the bus the morining of November 15, 2000.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I Chan Cheeseboro are asking the nine Justices to grant my case because of wrong doing on November 15, 2000 they took us off the bus without any paramedic giving any child medical treatment than they proceeded to put all the children on to another bus than taking us to a hospital they have let a woman by the name of Subrattan Charado do a deposition saying she was on the bus that morning doing her job as a matron but does NOT appear on the Police Index from the police station their was only two adults on the bus that morning Joseph Disanto and William Lightfoot Jr. I am asking that the courts look through this case thoroughly because the state of New York let it slip through the cracks because of the time fram by letting Little Richie not being responsible for my pain and suffering all these years and letting their employee do a false deposition knowing she was not on the bus she was asked in her deposition 4 times have you ever been in a little Richie bus accident she stated no in a questions I have that this

Will be resolved in a proper manner this time
around because me and my family have been through
a lot over the years of trawling expenses and emotion
for me I hope ~~stop~~ this

Third I think it is very disgusting what they have
done to me all to cover up a lie william lightfoot
Jr. Worked for the board of Education he was not
a little Richie employee but he was on the bus
that morning acting as a busmatron I hope the
Courts help me through this case

For the reasons discussed previously herein, Plaintiff-Appellant Chan Cheeseboro, respectfully request this Court overturn the judgement of the Court of Appeals for the second circuit in its entirety. An I further request that this Court award me all punitive and compensatory damages allowed by law.

I therefore, respectfully ask that this Court reverse the judgement of the District Court with a finding of fact in favor of appellant. In the alternative, the Court should remand the case for a fair and impartial trial before an unprejudiced jury on proper evidence and under correct instruction as is just and proper.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Signature: 

Date: 8-14-2018