

No. CR 2012-133963-001 DT
ARIZONA V. TACQUARD
COURT RULINGS/DECISIONS

06-02-2018 AZ. Supreme Court No. CR-18-0849-PR

A-1 AZ. Court of Appeals No. 1 CA CR 16-0393 PRPC

A-2 AZ. Supreme Ct (State Habeas) No. HC-17-0016

A-3 AZ. Court of Appeals No. 1 CA-CR-0393 PRPC

(Rulings Denying Proper Attempts to alert Court of errors)

*A-4 Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR2012-133963-001 DT
(Trial Court Nathwehr intentional errors/persuasion committed)

A-5 Arizona Court Special Action Decisions

*A-6 AZ. Ct Appeals No. 1 CA-CR 13-0916 06/02/2015

*(presiding Judge Gammill dissent pg 8-9 Trial Court Error)

*A-7 AZ. Supreme Court No. CR-15-0349 PR

(Vice Chief Justice Pelander VOTED TO GRANT REVIEW)

EXHIBIT A



SCOTT BALES
CHIEF JUSTICE

JANET JOHNSON
CLERK OF THE COURT

Supreme Court

STATE OF ARIZONA
ARIZONA STATE COURTS BUILDING
1501 WEST WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 402
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-3231

TELEPHONE: (602) 452-3396

June 12, 2018

RE: STATE OF ARIZONA v JOHN RICHARD TACQUARD
Arizona Supreme Court No. CR-18-0049-PR
Court of Appeals, Division One No. 1 CA-CR 16-0393 PRPC
Maricopa County Superior Court No. CR2012-133963-001

GREETINGS:

The following action was taken by the Supreme Court of the State of Arizona on June 12, 2018, in regard to the above-referenced cause:

ORDERED: Petition for Review Rule 32.9(g); 31.21 Ariz.R.Crim.P. ARS §13-4036; Const. Art. 6§5(4)(5); 6.1§4; 6§21; USCA Const. Amends 5, 6, 14 18 U.S.C. §242; 245 Color of Law Violations = DENIED.

A panel composed of Justice Timmer, Justice Bolick, Justice Gould and Justice Lopez participated in the determination of this matter.

Janet Johnson, Clerk

TO:

Joseph T Maziarz
Lisa Marie Martin
John Richard Tacquard, ADOC 071238, Arizona State Prison,
Douglas - Mohave
Amy M Wood
kd

Arizona Court of Appeals No. 1 CA CR 16-0393 PRPC
01-16-2018 Motion for Reconsideration DENIED
12-26-2017 REVIEW GRANTED, RELIEF DENIED

EXHIBIT A-1

IN THE
COURT OF APPEALS

STATE OF ARIZONA
DIVISION ONE



DIVISION ONE
FILED: 1/16/18
AMY M. WOOD,
CLERK
BY: RB

STATE OF ARIZONA,) Court of Appeals
) Division One
 Respondent,) No. 1 CA-CR 16-0393 PRPC
)
 v.) Maricopa County
) Superior Court
 JOHN RICHARD TACQUARD,) No. CR2012-133963-001
)
 Petitioner.)
)
)

ORDER

The court, Presiding Judge Kenton D. Jones, Judges Jon W. Thompson, and Jennifer M. Perkins participating, has considered Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration and Request for Oral Argument filed on January 4, 2018.

After consideration,

IT IS ORDERED denying the motion.

/s/

Kenton D. Jones, Presiding Judge

A copy of the foregoing
was sent to:

Lisa Marie Martin
Janelle A McEachern
John Richard Tacquard ADOC 071238 (mailed)

Received January 22, 2018

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

v.

JOHN RICHARD TACQUARD, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 16-0393 PRPC
FILED 12-26-2017

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County

No. CR2012-133963-001

The Honorable Richard L. Nothwehr, *Judge Pro Tempore*

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix

By Lisa Marie Martin

Counsel for Respondent

Janelle A McEachern Attorney at Law, Chandler

By Janelle A. McEachern

Counsel for Petitioner

RECEIVED I.L.M. 12/29/2017

STATE v. TACQUARD
Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Kenton D. Jones, Judge Jon W. Thompson, and Judge Jennifer M. Perkins delivered the decision of the Court.

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner John Tacquare seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is the petitioner's first petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this Court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 576-77, ¶ 19 (2012). It is the petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion in denying the petition. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not shown any abuse of discretion.

¶4 Accordingly, we grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court
FILED: AA

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**