

ELIZABETH HARING COOMES

v.

MARYLAND INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

\* IN THE  
\* COURT OF APPEALS  
\* OF MARYLAND  
\* No. 24  
\* September Term, 2017

## **ORDER**

The Court having considered the Third Motion with Consent to Amend Briefing Schedule and Reschedule Oral Argument filed in the above entitled case, it is this 22nd day of February, 2018,

**ORDERED**, by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, that the motion be, and it is hereby, **DENIED**.

/s/ Clayton Greene, Jr.  
Senior Judge

ELIZABETH HARING COOMES

v.

MARYLAND INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

\* IN THE  
\* COURT OF APPEALS  
\* OF MARYLAND  
\* No. 24  
\* September Term, 2017

### ORDER

The Court having considered the "Motion to Dismiss" submitted by Respondent, and the "Combined Answer to Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Stay Proceedings Or In the Alternative Suggestion of Stay" filed by Petitioner, in the above entitled case, and

Whereas, the Court having considered that Petitioner has failed to file a brief within the time prescribed by the Court, and

Whereas, the Court having considered that this appeal involves the revocation of Petitioner's insurance producer's license, that Petitioner has filed for Chapter 13 bankruptcy, and that pursuant to 11 U.S. Code § 362(b)(2)(D), "the filing of a petition under section 301, 302, or 303 of this title . . . does not operate as a stay under subsection (a) of the withholding, suspension, or restriction of a driver's license, a professional or occupation license, or a recreational license, under State law, as specified in section 466(a)(16) of the Social Security Act[.]", it is this

8<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2018,

**ORDERED**, by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, that the Motion to Dismiss is hereby **GRANTED**; and it is further,

**ORDERED**, that, pursuant to Md. Rule 8-602(a)(7), the Writ of Certiorari issued in this proceeding is hereby **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

/s/ Clayton Greene, Jr.

Senior Judge

ELIZABETH HARING COOMES,

*Petitioner,*

v.

MARYLAND INSURANCE  
ADMINISTRATION,

*Respondent.*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

IN THE

COURT OF APPEALS

OF MARYLAND

September Term, 2017

No. 24

\* \* \* \* \*

**ORDER**

The Court having considered Petitioner's Motion to Reconsider, Petitioner's Combined Answer to Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Stay Proceedings or in the Alternative Suggestion of Stay, Petitioner's Supplement to Motion to Consider, and the Administration's Response to the Motion to Reconsider, it is this 27th day of March, 2018, pursuant to Maryland Rules 8-602(a)(7) and 8-605, **ORDERED** that:

1. The Motion to Reconsider is DENIED; and
2. The appeal is DISMISSED with prejudice.

/s/ Clayton Greene, Jr.

SENIOR JUDGE

ELIZABETH HARING COOMES

v.

MARYLAND INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

\* IN THE  
\* COURT OF APPEALS  
\* OF MARYLAND  
\* No. 24  
\* September Term, 2017

## **ORDER**

The Court having considered the Motion to Withdraw filed in the above entitled case, it is this 27th day of March, 2018,

**ORDERED**, by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, that the motion be, and it is hereby, granted, and the appearance of the Michael J. Moran, Esquire is hereby withdrawn as counsel for Petitioner in this case.

/s/ Clayton Greene, Jr.  
Senior Judge

ELIZABETH HARING COOMES

v.

MARYLAND INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

\* IN THE  
\* COURT OF APPEALS  
\* OF MARYLAND  
\* No. 24  
\* September Term, 2017

### ORDER

Whereas the Court having issued an Order dated March 8, 2018, granting Respondent's "Motion to Dismiss" and the writ of certiorari in this proceeding having been dismissed with prejudice, and the Court having issued an Order dated March 27, 2018, which, upon consideration of Petitioner's "Motion to Reconsider", Petitioner's "Combined Answer to Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Stay Proceedings or in the Alternative Suggestion of Stay", Petitioner's "Supplement to Counsel's Motion to Reconsider", and the Respondent's "Response to Petitioner's Motion to Reconsider and Supplement", denied the Motion to Reconsider and dismissed with prejudice the proceeding; and

Whereas, the Court having considered Petitioner's "Response to Maryland Insurance Administration's Response to Petitioner's Motion to Reconsider and Supplement", which was filed on March 27, 2018; and

Whereas, this appeal involves the revocation of Petitioner's insurance producer's license, that Petitioner has filed for Chapter 13 bankruptcy, and that pursuant to 11 U.S. Code § 362(b)(4), Petitioner's petition for bankruptcy is not stayed, it is this 29th day of March, 2018,


**ORDERED**, by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, that the Order dated March 8, 2018 is hereby MODIFIED to clarify the Court's decision to grant Respondent's Motion to Dismiss; and it is further,


**ORDERED**, that the Order dated March 27, 2018 is hereby MODIFIED to reflect the Court's consideration of all filings by the parties; and it is further

**ORDERED**, that Petitioner's Motion to Reconsider remains hereby DENIED; and it is further

**ORDERED**, that this proceeding remains hereby DISMISSED with prejudice.

/s/ Clayton Greene, Jr.  
Senior Judge





IN THE  
COURT OF APPEALS  
OF MARYLAND

No. 24

September Term, 2017

Elizabeth Haring Coomes v. Maryland Insurance Administration

**MANDATE**

Certiorari to the Court of Special Appeals (Circuit Court for Baltimore City)

**On the 8th day of March, 2018 it was ordered and adjudged by the Court of Appeals:**

ORDERED, by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, that the Motion to Dismiss is hereby GRANTED; and it is further,

ORDERED, that, pursuant to Md. Rule 8-602(a)(7), the Writ of Certiorari issued in this proceeding is hereby DISMISSED with prejudice.

**On the 27th day of March, 2018 it was ordered and adjudged by the Court of Appeals:**

ORDERED, by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, that the Motion to Reconsider is DENIED; and the appeal is DISMISSED with prejudice.

**On the 29th day of March, 2018 it was ordered and adjudged by the Court of Appeals:**

ORDERED, by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, that the Order dated March 8, 2018 is hereby MODIFIED to clarify the Court's decision to grant Respondent's Motion to Dismiss; and it is further,



ORDERED, that the Order dated March 27, 2018 is hereby MODIFIED to reflect the Court's consideration of all filings by the parties; and it is further

ORDERED, that Petitioner's Motion to Reconsider remains hereby DENIED; and it is further

ORDERED, that this proceeding remains hereby DISMISSED with prejudice.

See attached Statement of Costs.



# MANDATE - STATEMENT OF COSTS

## Court of Appeals of Maryland

COA-REG-0024-2017

**Elizabeth Haring Coomes v. Maryland Insurance Administration**

**Appellant**

Elizabeth Haring Coomes

Motion for Reconsideration	50.00
RPIF	11.00
Filing Fee - Petition for Writ of Certiorari	61.00
Additional Copies CSA Joint Record Extract (15)	1,544.40
Additional Copies CSA Brief of Appellant (1)	15.84
<b>Appellant Total</b>	<b>1,682.24</b>
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>1,682.24</b>

STATE OF MARYLAND, ss:

*I do hereby certify that the foregoing is truly taken from the records and proceedings of the said Court of Appeals.*

*In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand as Clerk and affixed the seal of the Court of Appeals this 9th day of April, 2018.*

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Bessie M. Decker".

Bessie M. Decker

Clerk of the Court of Appeals of Maryland

**Costs shown on this Mandate are to be settled between counsel and NOT THROUGH THIS OFFICE.**

**ELIZABETH HARING COOMES**

**v.**

**MARYLAND INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION**

\*     **In The**  
\*     **Court of Appeals**  
\*     **of Maryland**  
\*     **No. 24**  
\*     **September Term, 2017**

## **O R D E R**

The Court having considered the Appellant's Motion to Reconsider March 29, 2018 Order, the Appellant's Motion to Deem Appellant's Motion to Reconsider March 29, 2018 Order as Timely Filed, the Appellant's Motion to Recall Mandate and the Appellant's Corrected Motion to Recall Mandate filed thereto, in the above entitled case, it is this 15th day of May, 2018,

**ORDERED**, by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, that the Appellant's Motion to Reconsider March 29, 2018 Order, the Appellant's Motion to Deem Appellant's Motion to Reconsider March 29, 2018 Order as Timely Filed, the Appellant's Motion to Recall Mandate and the Appellant's Corrected Motion to Recall Mandate be, and they are hereby, **DENIED**.

/s/ Clayton Greene, Jr.  
Senior Judge

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**