

1
2
3 In the Supreme Court of the United States
4

5
6 In Re: ~~Formally Foster, Formally Lockett~~
7 Deborah Elizabeth Garck-Chassis
8 Petitioner,
9

10
11 v.

12 State of California
13 Respondents
14
15

16
17 Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus
18
19
20

21
22 In re ~~Formally Foster, Formally Lockett~~
23 Deborah Elizabeth Garck-Chassis
24 PO Box 88704
25 Los Angeles, California
26 90009
27
28

831-383-3437

Questions Presented

1. Judicial Errors

2. Fraud Upon the Court

3. Judges Misconduct

4. Obstruction of Justice

5. Petitioner due process right to a Speedy Trial and fairness denied

6. Intentional Emotional Distress and Mental Anguish

7. Retaliation for Filing Civil Lawsuit

8. Not allowed to Amend Complaint

9. Intrinsic Fraud

10. Extrinsic Fraud

11. Government Malfeasance

List of Parties

Formally Foster, Formally Lockett
Deborah Elizabeth Houch-Onassis
P O Box 88704
Los Angeles, California 90009

831-383-3437
Petitioner,

State of California
Attorney General Office
ATTN: Raymond Fitzgerald
300 S. Spring Street
Suite 1702
Los Angeles, California 90013

Respondents,

Table of Contents

Question Presented	2
List of Parties	3
Table of Contents	4-5
Appendices (Index to)	6
Opinions Below	7
Jurisdiction	7-8
Statutory Provision Involved	8-9
Statutes, Rules and Procedure and Cited Case	10-16
Statements	
A. Statutory Background	17-18
B. Factual Background	19-27
Reason for Granting a Extraordinary Writ	28
1. Fraud Upon the Court	
2. Judicial Misconduct	
3. This case was an ideal vehicle for deciding the questions	

Table of Contents (Continued)

Certificate of Compliance	29
Certificate of Good Standing and Faith	30
Verification	
Certificate of Counsel	30
Certificate of Service	31
Conclusion	32

Index to Appendices

Appendix A United States District Court
Central District of California

23 August 2016, 16 November 2016

Appendix B United States Court of Appeals
Ninth Circuit Order/Mandate
24 April 2017, 16 May 2017

Appendix C US District, 9th Circuit

Opinions

Petitioner respectfully ask that the Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus to issue to review the judgment below.

The Opinion of the United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit appears at Appendix B to Petitioner and is unpublished.

The Opinion of the United States District Court Central District of Los Angeles, California appears at Appendix A to Petition is unpublished.

Jurisdiction

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit decided my decision on 24 April 2017 and I received it 24 April 2017 on Pacer.gov, and gmail account.

1 No Rehearing was offered in the case or in Case
2 At US Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, 16-56778
3
4 US Supreme Court step was necessary
5 due to Judges Bias, Racism and Fraud
6 Upon the Court by Government Official.
7
8
9

10 11 Statutory Provisions Involved

12
13 FRCP (Federal Rules of Civil Procedures)

14
15 Supreme Court of the United States

16 Rule 44
17 but Government
18 and State is
19 defendants/Respondents

20 FRCP 4(c)(e)(f)(i)(M)(L)(d)

21 FRCP 5

22 12(a)

23 9

24 15(B)

25 15(B)(2)

26 15(A)

27 15(c)(1)(A)(B)

28 15(c)(1)(B) 8

1 FRCP 55(a)(b)

2
3 FRCP 55(b)(2)

4
5 FRCP 28-1915

6 FRCP 60(a)

7 FRCP 60(b)

8
9 FRCP 60
10 United State Codes
11 18-1512

12 18-1512(B)

13 18-1512(B)(1)

14
15 18-1362

16 15-18111

17 15-8116

18 42-373

19 18-1028

20 18-1029

21 18-1505

22 18-1509

23 28-455

24
25 Federal Practice Digest 28(3)

26 California Code Gov't Code - 12940

27 43, 45, 46(3) and 47

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions

United States Constitutional ~~Article~~ I, II, III
IV, VI, VII, VIII, XIII, XIV

Civil Rights Act of 1964, XII - Article VI,
Amendment 1

7 - Section 2

13 - Section 1

14 - Section 5

15 - Section 2

19 - Section 2

Constitutional Ground
Challenge for
State of California

Supreme Court Rule 74 but ^{US} Gov't
(A) (B)
Statutes, Rule and Procedures

See Next Page

1 Fraud upon the Court FRCP 60, Rule 60B

2 California Civil Procedure

3 584.585

4 Judges Bias Prejudice Case FRCP 28143

5 Federal Practice Digest 28(3) Conspiracy.

6 United States Statutes

7 FRCP 18-1512

8 Documents

9 FRCP 18-1512(B)

10 1512(B)(i)

11 FRCP 18-1513 Retaliation

12 18-1362 Locking online, phone

13 15-8111 Intellectual Property

14 15-8116

15 42-373

16 18 USC-1028

17 Aggravated Identity Theft
18 Fraud and Related Connection
19 Obstruction of Justice
20 Obstruction of Justice
21 Obstruction of Justice
22 Obstruction of Justice
23 Obstruction of Justice
24 Obstruction of Justice
25 Obstruction of Justice
26 Obstruction of Justice
27 Obstruction of Justice
28 Obstruction of Justice

18 USC 1505

18 USC 1509

28-455

Judicial Misconduct

California Code Gov't Code - 12940

Code - 43, 45, 46(3) and 47

FRCP 15(1)(B)(3)

- Amend at anytime

15(1)(2)

15(c)(1)(A)(B)

15(c)(1)(B)

11

1 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 4^{FRCP}(c)(e)(f)(i)(m)(L)
2 Summons Perfected

3
4 Notice of Lawsuit and Waiver of Service ^{FRCP} 5, ^{FRCP} 12(a)
5
6 Waiver of Service on FRCP 4(d)

7
8 Summons FRCP 28-1915

9 Proof of Service FRCP 5

10
11 In forma Pauperis FRCP 28-1915

12 Failure or Refusal to Appear, Plead or Defend - FRCP
13 12(a)
14 Abandonment of Case

15 Clerk's Refusal of Documents FRCP 9

16
17 Mistakes/Inadvertently Filed FRCP 9, FRCP 60(a)

18
19 Entry of Default/Default Judgment by Court ⁵⁵ FRCP (a)(b)
20 (d)

21
22 Stipulation FRCP 9 ^{FRCP 55(b)(2)}

23
24 Amendment Relating to Pleading ^{FRCP} 15(c)
25 Quia Pro Tunc ^{15(B)}

26
27 Summary Court with No defendant ^{15(b)(2)}
28 defending Case

Cited Case

Applying v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. 340 F.3d

Continental National Bank v. Holland Banking (opn Circut) 769 F.3d 1181
W. 66 F. 2d 823, 830 (8th Cir. 1933)

Bullock v. United States, 763 F. 2d 1115, 1121 (10th Cir. 1985)

Kenner v. C.I.R., 387 F. 3d 369 (1968)

Witt (1191) Ill. 2d 380, 583 N.E. 2d 526, 531, 164 Ill. Dec. 610

Bowers v. Bernards, 150 Cal. App. 3d 870, 872-73 (1984)

Lemung v. Oilfield Trucking Co., 44 Cal 2d 343, 356 (1955)

People v. Azizic, 88 Ill App 3d 477, 410 N.E. 2d 626 (1980)

P

1 United States v. Reynolds, 345 U.S. 1 (1953)

2
3
4
5 After case was remanded back to the District Court
6 the District Court ordered the government to
7 produce the documents in order that the
8 Court might determine whether documents were
9 privileged matter. The Government declared, so
10 the Court entered an order, under Rule 37(b)(2)(i)
11 that the facts on issue of ^{plaintiff's} negligence would be
12 taken established in ^{favor} of plaintiff.

13
14
15
16
17 Kerner v. C. I.R. 387 F. 3d 689 (1968);

18 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512

19
20 [The 7th Circuit further stated] "a decision produced by
21 fraud upon the Court is not essence a decision
22 at all, a never becomes final.

23
24 (1) intentional fraud

25 (2) by officers of the courts

26 (3) which directed at the court itself, and (4) that fact deserves
27 the court,
28

Writ of mandamus, Writ of Error
 TO Review the Judgment of an Inferior Court
 12 USC 1254
 28 USC 1291
 Extraordinary Writs - Inad to

Ex Parte Bollman, 8 US (4 Cranch) 75 (1807)
 United States Alkali Exp. Ass'n v. United States
 345 US 196 (1945)

De Beers Consol. Mines, Ltd v. United States, 325 US 212 (1945)

In Re 620 Church St. Craps 299 U.S. 24, 26 (1936)

~~Ryan v. Wette - Civ. No 13639, Fed. Dist., D. N. C.~~

Doen v. Hickman, 385 US 57 (1958)

Buelo v. Adams 424 U.S. 641 (1976)

Keer v. United States Dist. Court

426 US 394 (1976)

In re "Me" v. State of California

Judges Name.

Rule 30

Question Presented

Rule 14.1(b)
 20.3(a)
 List of Parties
 Table of Contents

Authorities

Relief Sought

Re Curiam
 United States v. Reynolds, 345 US 1 (1953)

due Process Right
 to an impartial judge

People v. Proctor (1992)

1338/341

To Force
 Lower
 Court to
 comply
 with the
 mandates
 and orders
 of an
 Appellate
 Order

Sovereign
 Prerogatives

Direct Appeal
 15 days

Second Circuit -

Wagner Spray Tech Corp v. Noly

113 F.R.D 50 (S.D.N.Y. 1986)

People v. Mahoney (1927)

210 Cal.
618,622
17-7474
Rehearing
page 4

3rd Root Refining Co. v. Universal Oil Products
169 F.2d 514 (C.C.A 3d Cir 1948)

7th Taft v. Donnellan Jerome
F 2d.

So bad
you have to
shut over
with deny's
to case
has
Nothing

9th Cir - In re Dantes 66 F.3d 1002, 32 Fed R. Serv.
303 1094 (9th Cir 1995)

Mahoney

U.S Supreme Court -

US v. Beggs, 524 US 38, 118 S.Ct 1862, 121 L. Ed
2d 32, 40 Fed R Serv. 3d 756 (1998)

Seventh

Fraud on the court should be embrace only that species of
fraud which does or attempts to subvert the integrity of the court
itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the courts

(Citation omitted) Kern v. Med. Products, Inc v. N. & H. Instrument
Inc, 616 F.2d 833, 837 (11th Cir 1980)

FRCP 60(b)

5/18/87

16

Statements

A. Statutory Background

Petitioner, filed lawsuit/complaint along with a Waiver of Service, Notice of Lawsuit, Waiver of Summons along with stipulations as required on a Government Entity. The Respondent Received the documents and Spoke with the representative and gave them additional time to answer. Petitioner filed Entry of default, default judgment w Memorandum of Law to accompany this default judgment due to Respondent abandoned Case. Clerks at the US District Court gave a summons and it was perfected along with Waiver of Service and Summons and Complaint. Due to ~~go~~ having my state have intercourse with me I could not afford a copy and it was late the Clerks told me to return next day. Like the Kennedy Scandal and Watergate Scandal they claimed to have a leak in the building

1 The documents could not be found but
2 I had no copy. Next, the Judge and
3 Magistrate knew that I was ingene
4 and had no money as I slept on the
5 street. Petitioner filed all the financial statements
6 need to prove that I was poor enough. \$1200
7 in \$3,500 out do the Math. The Judicial Court
8 System and Officer waited to I perfected
9 the services on all document and wanted
10 to schedule a default judgment hearing
11 and here comes the dirty clerks speaking
12 of the filing some were discrepancies which
13 weren't true and No One could find my
14 copy where their families was breaking
15 into my storage or on my person while
16 sleeping on the street. Next I filed documents
17 on pacer.gov and the clerks again removed my
18 documents and put in the State of California

Statements

B. Factual Background

Monterey County of California, refused to assist with Relocating Petitioner, which was caught in the crossfire of something going on in Salinas California. County of Monterey refused to go after landlord where Petitioner could have died in the Apartment from Mold from an upstairs Apartment. Though the ~~Apartment~~ Apartment was illegal (due to house turned into four (4) Apartments, State law requires for the earthquake no basement are to be rented out. Yet the health department would assist Petitioner with landlord for relocation of Apartment, for two downstairs apartment So they say just move with no assistance.

1 Yet, the other apartment on the bottom/base-
2 ment where my neighbor lived with her children.
3 The State of California assisted my neighbor
4 which was in the country illegally by
5 giving her an attorney, which gave her \$2,500.
6 to find an apartment, \$600.00 to relocate.
7 There was nothing wrong with her apartment.
8 The Monterey County Health Department gave me
9 nothing, took no picture or wrote the landlord
10 up for Mold-Rainbow Colored and then black mold.
11 To take it the next level the landlord had me
12 meet the plumber to fix the pipes, and the plumber
13 cut the sheetrock while Petitioner was in the apartment
14 and the spores came out the full force from the
15 walls. To add insult to injury Petitioner paid
16 \$30,000 Cash on Motel & Hotel and expenses.
17 Money came from 2 Settlements, which was
18 never reimbursed by the State of California or the
19 landlord. Next, February 25, 2013 the government
20 housing situation could have placed petitioner to
21 an apartment for Affordable Housing in Salinas

for Affordable Housing and Section 8. At the appointment of running my Social Security Number and completing all the necessary requirements. A female Supervisor decided that I could not receive government assistance due to my criminal record for fighting since I petitioned to leave the military. Even though I was number one on the list for the apartment, 2 bedroom, full bath, she decided that that wouldn't be good for me. No felonies, no drug use or self but I'm not a good candidate for assistance she stated. My neighbor staged a fight and I was not aware and after she kept hitting me, I tried to kill her, because I hadn't done anything to her but be nice to her. Yet, I have a criminal record but if never showed what really happened, if you put your hands on me I am supposed to defend myself. When that was not enough while trying to get help the court clerk lied and said I was evicted but where is my money I spent.

After being jailed, when I am not bothering anyone
or doing anything criminal the County and
State of California has a witch hunt going on
I could have had a doctorate degree in law but
all I get is scumbags saying I did something
to them when I do not even want to be on the
Country. Then as Monterey County let the
rest of the scumbags tore up my car which I spent
\$1000.00 to keep it running, stealing everything
that was something to me I take the offense.
The law enforcement did nothing. The other
neighbor that I got into with, was "Managers"
of the four Apartment had the key and was robbing
me blind. No one had the key but her and myself.
Salinas Police would do Nothing, Monterey Sheriffs
would do nothing. Whenever someone wrongs me
and I take them to Court the judges have to help
them out, like I care. My issue is my money
being wasted in a Country I no longer want to
be a part of.

1 It started with the neighbors from May 2012 to
2 October 2012 and again December 2012. I feel
3 in jail and blood came out my right ear yet I lost
4 all my hearing and it did come back but
5 not completely. But when the doctor claim
6 nothing is wrong but I still can't hear properly.
7 In fact I cussed out a judge who made up
8 but I was shackled and the sheriff made me jump
9 about 2 1/2 feet off a bus and refused me
10 the small ladder my ankles have been damaged
11 ever since. (Injury). Restored my name
12 due to divorce from 2006 in another state,
13 the judge tells me to take the name of Deborah
14 Elizabeth Chassis. OK, like I told her that, I didn't
15 want my name like that because of fraud, the woman
16 stole everything that Aristotle Socrates Chassis left
17 me but it took me over a year to get my name
18 back and the bastards of the world is still passing
19 out my identity. I came to the conclusion the
20 State of California was deliberately giving me
21 hell for no reason, I have not committed any crimes.

1. The government employees be it county or
2. State did not want me to get help for
3. housing or anything else they deliberately
4. caused this almost six years of hell.
5.
6.

7. Since August 2012, I have tried to get the
8. governor to assist me as to family business
9. This guy Adrian would not let me have a
10. way to talk to him, he may suppose to be
11. a cousin he thought that I was lying but I was
12. telling the truth. Adrian I gave him my name
13. and families name and social security number
14. he did nothing for almost 4 years. All I
15. was going to do was to ask Governor Jerry Brown
16. for help to move to Saudi Arabia its no one's
17. business. No one calls me when they need help
18. with family, I don't say come to me first but yet
19. this idiot has refused to assist me where I
20. have been physical attacked twice and generated
21. over \$100,000.00 in broad daylight, Even
22. the police would not assist me and put me on

1 as the guy was beating me in the ground. Yet,
 2 when I asked Eric Holder to Assesst me which
 3 was the US Attorney General, before he stepped
 4 down, I had government interference for some
 5 dumb reason, they told the Attorney Generals Office
 6 in Washington D.C that I was Evicted. Big Lie
 7 I have spent over \$100,000.00 Cash and
 8 at least \$30,000.00 is student loans which
 9 have to be paid back. I wrote to my Mayor
 10 of Salinas, California, Mayor of Monterey, California
 11 C. Police of Salinas and Monterey, Monterey County
 12 Sheriff's Congressman Sam Farr, Senator Baker & Feinstein
 13 ACLU but still no help as of today. Everywhere
 14 you go there is a dirty ^{government} employee that has to do
 15 a friend a favor but I have not broke the law and
 16 things have gotten out of hand. The government neghere
 17 by its employees are libel and all done deliberately.
 18 Next, the then Attorney General Kamala Harris tried
 19 to get Eric Holder's job, but she can't answer a law suit
 20 though and to add insult to injure she's a cheethore

1 friend from across street in Harvey, Illinois but the
 2 Governor can assist her as the Rest of trash is
 3 holding me back and not assist me. But I
 4 Broke no law and injured by the State of California
 5 employees. I also no Barack and Michelle Obama
 6 but when I try to get help from them no one will
 7 let me speak to them. It should've been no
 8 problem since no one else is doing anything
 9 while they take care of everyone else even though
 10 it has nothing to do with me. Just mending my
 11 own business. Also as they have destroyed or
 12 stolen everything of mine I have the State of California
 13 in State Court and Small Claims Court. Yet,
 14 I have Racist, biased judges with their own
 15 agenda. The judges and Clerk were doing
 16 fraud upon the Court but I was not bothering them
 17 or anyone else as I am victimized for no reason.
 18 The whole state turned on me, regardless of race
 19 but I didn't do anything to them, I just want
 20 to cut-off what offends me.

1 documents to dismiss my case. Really,
2 A flashback from Dings Salinas Police Dept for
3 the thefts done to me and the City Attorney
4 office, Elizabeth Flores held and cost me \$10,000.00
5 and stated I was evicted all lies but
6 Almost 6 years later, the Messy Judges and
7 Clerk are responsible for not receiving all my
8 judgment because they have to help out the
9 Defendants or the Respondents that I go against
10 the law is not to corrupt but it is. All
11 the Judges and Justice say they can't go
12 against another judge so here we are.
13 This Court is proper because no other Judges
14 in the land can make this decision.

15 The laws that govern this case is been
16 in my cases the entire time. I am now using
17 Judicial Misconduct on the judges at this time.

Reasons For Granting

Extraordinary writs of Mandamus to right the wrongs by law that these same government officials are to respect but don't. For these reasons this case should be granted.

1. Fraud Upon The Court

2. Judicial Misconduct

3. Fraud on The Court

4. This Case is an ideal vehicle for deciding the questions provided with laws substantiated the lawsuit.

1 CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

2 NO.

3 Formally Foster, Formally Lockett

4 Deborah Elizabeth Gouch-Onassis

5 Petitioner,

6 V.

7 *State of California*

8 Respondent,

9 As required by US Supreme Court Rule 33.1(h), I certify that the
10 Petition for *Extraordinary* contains 3,000 words, excluding
11 *Writ of Mandamus*
12 the parts of the Petition that are exempted by US Supreme Court Rule
13 33.1 (d).

14 I declare under the penalty of perjury that he foregoing is true and
15 correct.
16
17
18

19 Executed: *20 July 2018*

20 Respectfully,

21 *Deborah Elizabeth Gouch-Onassis*
22 *Formally Foster, Formally Lockett*
23 DEBORAH ELIZABETH GOUCH-ONASSIS
24 FORMALLY FOSTER, FORMALLY LOCKETT
25
26
27
28

Certification of Good Standing/Truth

Petitioner for ^{Extraordinary} Writ of Mandamus to the Supreme Court of the United States, has constitutional grounds given to be heard by the Justices. Petitioner has the penalty of Perjury in the State of California County of Los Angeles has honestly pleaded all documents from the Ninth Circuit Court to Ninth Circuit, Court of Appeals to the Supreme Court with due diligence and honesty. Petitioner has used all legal Resources To expedite Case without delay to the Courts System.

Verification

This is to verify that I am the Petitioner in this case, and all documents and a statement are correct.

Dated: 20 July 2015

Deborah Eliza Smith
Formally Justly, Formally Locked

Certificate of Counsel

The Undersigned Certifies that this matter should be heard in Supreme Court of the United States, jurisdiction is from Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

I declare under the penalty of Perjury that the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated 20 July 2018

Respectfully,

Deborah Elizabeth Marsh Chassis
Formally Foster, Formally Lockett

Certificate of Service

I, the undersigned, declare that I am the Petitioner in this case, and over the age of 18 years of age, I am a United State Citizen, in the County of Los Angeles, California and I served: Raymond Fitzgerald California Attorney General, Suite 702, 300 S. Spring St. Los Angeles, California 90013

Dated:

Deborah Elizabeth Marsh Chassis
Formally Foster, Formally Lockett

Conclusion

Petitioner asked Supreme Court of the United States to grant this Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus because all lower court refuse to Make a decision and no other Court can Make that judgment but you all. Because of hand upon the Court, one Judge decided to join hands with State of California, Attorney Generals Office to make Petitioner a Vexation Litigant after the defendants and the Court system has deliberately continued Cases in lower court for almost 2 years by a legal Changel in Court which was not an attorney but worked for State of California Attorney Generals Office, yet Petitioner is correct and injured. Ask Court to Reverse decision from lower court in favor of Petitioners judgment.
Dated: 20 July 2018
There is no other court but internal court to go to. Respectfully

Richard D. Smith, Jr. & Family
Annally Smith, Family Smith