

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

José Joaquín Ramírez — PETITIONER
(Propria Person - Sui Juris) (Your Name)

vs.

State of New York — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

New York State Court of Appeals
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

José Joaquín Ramírez

(Your Name)

Unlawfully Enslaved At: A.M.K.C. 18-18 Hazen Street Riker's Island Slave Complex

Slave # 895-18-0076

(Address)

East Elmhurst, New York - 11370

(City, State, Zip Code)

347-209-1325

(Phone Number)

(Stephanie Melissa Robinson)
Power of Attorney

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Is the New York State Bail Law as applied (§30.40) a bill of Attainder ???

2. Is denying hearing, not responding to motions, purposely keeping accuse out of the court proceedings in violation of due process?

3. Is due process of law still a trial by Jury? (Smith v Phillips-1982)

4. Is forcing a Mental health lawyer on a College educated-graduate who insists upon proceeding ProPria Personam and demands several orders of protection from said lawyer a violation of 6th Amendment? (Faretta v California)

5. Is a "defense" lawyer that purposely refuses to listen, communicate with accuse and continues to defy wishes of a highly educated individual ineffective counsel? Strickland - Note Counsel has been abrasively refused

6. Is enslaving an individual for a year without trial, even though trial was demanded in writing a violation of Speed Trial?

7. Is denial of ^{bail} violation of 8th Amendment - extended for a year, with no history of violence, no fights, tickets while enslaved?

8. Is enslaving innocent individuals cruel and unusual punishment?

9. Is pre trial enslavement in violation of 13th Amendment?

10. Is procedure that attacks non white individuals a violation of equal Protection Clause of 14th Amendment ???

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[✓] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Joseph Aponte, George Graso (Officially)

These names are technically listed

Jeffery Bloom, Darcel Clark, Jeffery Kirelman,
Allison Zimmerman, Janet DiFiore, Andrew Cuomo,
Legal Aid Society

These names have been petitioned to be listed -
Possibly corrected on newer Court Proceedings
Still on going in S.D.N.Y. Federal Court and
NYS Supreme Court Appellate Division - First Department
as well as NYS Supreme Court - Bronx Supreme Court

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	New York State Court of Appeals Paperwork
APPENDIX B	NYS Appellate Division, First Department Paperwork
APPENDIX C	Only available transcript (Feb. 16 th , 2018) Bronx Supreme Court
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Extensive Case law cited and quoted at length.

Upon receiving docket #, Petitioner will forward previously argued documents.

Documents longer than 40 pages will be entered as "evidence" as to comply with page limitations.

STATUTES AND RULES

- Bill of Attainder Clause
- Unreasonable Searches
- Due Process Clauses
- Speedy trial Clause
- Ineffective Counsel
- Right to Proceed Proper Person
- Right to be accused
- Right to bail
- Cruel and Unusual Clause

OTHER

- Slavery Clause
- Equal Protections Clause

* Note, these were stated to be

"no substantial constitutional question"

- Chief Judge Janet DiFiore
New York Court of Appeals

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at June 26th, 2018; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Jun 26th 2018.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

page 2

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Jose Joaquin Ramirez has raised substantial arguments detailing violations of Bill of Attainder; due process, unreasonable searches, speedy trial, Propria Persona representation, Ineffective Counsel (forced upon case against will without consent), Right to be accused (Never given any formal documents), Right to bail (Remanded for over a year without bail), Cruel and Unusual Clause (getting right for "pre-trial detainees"), Slavery Arguments (all downplayed or ignored by courts), and Equal Protections of the law (invoking Yick Wo doctrine).

Also invoking Title 28 U.S.C. 1654 (Right to Propria Persona)

Also invoking Title 28 U.S.C. 1333 (State court operating in improper Jurisdiction that is exclusive of Federal Gov't)

Also invoking New York State Constitutional Rights which should be upheld via the Due Process Clause of 14th Amendment

State Courts purposely disregard State Statutes in 30.30, 30.20 Speedy trial Clauses of Release pending trial

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Jose Joaquin Ramirez is a political prisoner and unconstitutional slave. The pretended criminal "charges" have been lodged and the State of New York Refuses to properly proceed in accordance with due process procedure.

Jose Joaquin Ramirez has consistently and continuously advocated to defend self and has been denied. The State "trial" court ignores all motions, petitions and keeps adjourning against will without consent.

The State of New York Refuses to Serve Jose Joaquin Ramirez with legal documents including certified complaint, certified indictment (true bill), bill of discovery, minutes of past proceedings, bill of discovery, bill of particulars. The Bronx District Attorney, Legal Aid Society and Bronx Supreme Court have refused to engage with Jose Joaquin Ramirez all the while enslaving the accused.

Jose Joaquin Ramirez petitioned the higher court Appellate Division - First Department for a Writ of Habeas Corpus as well as a Writ of Mandamus to demand documents. Both denied, both appealed, both denied by New York Court of Appeals.

While this Petition is to the State Court, Jose Joaquin Ramirez went to Federal S.D.N.Y. for a Writ of Habeas Corpus in 17-CV-9103 and a 42-1983 in 17-CV-10171. Both dismissed, on appeal to 2nd Circuit in 18-705 and 18-1564.

The State has forged medical documents, in Russian political form and turned away from the complexity of legal arguments presented and medical documents that prove sanity and more. The State overlooked 6 character witness statements. The process still goes on, of blatant misconduct and gross negligence, and purposeful crimes.

After a year Jose Joaquin Ramirez was given no "plea offers" and is refused a trial. Kept out of almost all proceedings against will, without consent including Grand Jury - which is provided by State Constitution and Statutory Provisions. For more detail understanding, please see previously created documents that follow once a docket # is provided by the Supreme Court.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The issues at Bar apply to each and every individual accused of a crime in the entire American Republic. The problems detailed are system wide and are being brought forth by an individual victim whom has the command of the English language to properly convey the repeated injuries to the people.

All possible courts have been presented with the massive arguments and have turned a blind eye — due to the system wide nature of the presentment. There is no other forum available for relief. The entire State System has took the position that due process, speedy trial, slavery, equal protections and more are not constitutional issues. Further, every Court level has turned a blind eye that Jose Joaquin Ramirez refuses state lawyers and has requested orders of protections and restraining orders against state "defense" lawyers to no avail. This ordeal is currently before the 2nd Circuit whom is deciding Yangon issues so aggressively insisted upon by S.D.N.Y. Chief Judge Colleen McMahon.

In this specific case, the NY Court of Appeals refuses to acknowledge the levels of wrong done by the "Justice" system and rather than detail how the ordeals are lawful, the NY Court of Appeals denies that anything is constitutional at all. The issues presented need final adjudication. A case by case basis is no longer a valid option of the positions being presented.

The main focus of pre trial enslavement will continue for ungodly time periods without recourse because according to the New York Chief Judge, 5 years of pre trial Enslavement does not violate speedy trial purposes. The State steals all liberty, freedom, financial resources with pretended proceedings that have no shadow of Constitutional Adversarial process. To any individual who fights back, the railroad process quickly shines in — all in effort to torture innocent people to admit guilt and forego Organic rights in the name of 1984 "Justice." Big Brother is alive and well, the Court now needs to restore liberty, freedom, and Justice.

Submission

Please Forgo and forgive any hyper technical formats, or lack thereof by Jose Joaquin Ramirez. Three of the previous created documents exceed 40 pages (75, 44, 70) - these need to be properly viewed in order to fully understand the arguments that were presented. Further, motions that were later drafted will also be submitted into this specific case.

It need be properly noted that Jose Joaquin Ramirez has put together all legal arguments, without the assistance of Counsel and now proceeded Propria Persona - Sui Juris in every Court readily available - NYS Appellate Division, First Department - NYS Court of Appeals, S.D.N.Y. District Court, 2nd Circuit Court of Appeals and now the Supreme Court that is still denied such right in Bronx Supreme Court "trial court" in indict # 1812/2017.

Please Grant the Cert, even if only to assert that all arguments presented are wrong, but to allow the Fraud of standing that the issues are not of Constitutional nature is absurd.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

JRM - Hota Hota



Date: Tuesday July 3rd, 2018

Jose Joaquin Ramirez

Propria Persona - Sui Juris

- Political Prisoner -

- Prisoner of Conscience -

Proud Federalist

- Unlawful Slave, deprived of life liberty and property without due process of law and forced into peonage, involuntary servitude and slavery without being duly convicted of any crime at all

World Wide Liberation

Federalist Republic of America