

No. _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ROGER WILSON

ROGER WILSON — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

DELTA AIR LINES, SHARE BUILDER.COM
RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

DISTRICT COURT + PITTSBURGH P.A.
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ROGER WILSON
(Your Name)

516 SINCLAIR ST #501
(Address)

MCKEESPORT PA 15132
(City, State, Zip Code)

412-927-7016
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

① DID THE TRAIL ERROR/ COMMIT A MISCARriage OF JUSTICE WHEN THEY APPLIED A FECTIONAL LAW to my SUIT "CALLED" REPORT AND RECOMENDATIONS" AFTER I TOLD THEM I HAVE A JUDGE ON RECORD STATING THAT THERE'S NO SUCH LAW AND I HAVE AN EXPERT WITNESS WILLING TO TESTIFY THAT MY STOCK HAS TO HONORED?

② ~~how I feel~~ DID THE DISTRICT ONCE AGAIN COMMIT ANOTHER MISCARriage OF JUSTICE BY TRYING TO SAY I DON'T HAVE A CLAIM AFTER I TOLD THEM I HAVE A EXPERT WITNESS, THAT I PROVIDED A RECIEPT TO THE POLICE PROVING I OWNED THE STOCK AND THE POLICE INVESTIGATED AND TOLD ME THAT DELTA AIRLINES OWED ME ALOT OF MONEY, BUT THEN REFUSED TO GET IT FOR ME?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

Antitrust Laws

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at 18-1871; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A-B to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at ~~District Court~~ 18-305; or, CASE FROM PACER
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished. 18-305

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

28 USC 2101 (E)

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- 28 USC 2101 (E)
- Anti-trust Laws

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I BROUGHT STOCK IN DELTA AIRLINES IN 2006 THRU SHAREBUILDER.COM, I CHOSE TO REINVEST THE DIVIDENDS, I PROVIDED THE POLICE WITH A RECEIPT IN 2011, 2012, 13, 14, 15, 16 AND 2017 EACH TIME THEY INVESTIGATED AND TOLD ME I WAS OWED A LOT OF MONEY BECAUSE DELTA AIRLINES AND SHAREBUILDER STOLE MY STOCK, EACH TIME THE POLICE REFUSED TO PRESS CHARGES OR RETURN MY MONEY, I ALSO HAVE AN EXPERT WITNESS WHO WORKS ON WALL STREET WILLING TO TESTIFY TO THE FACT THAT MY STOCK HAS TO BE HONORED AND THERE'S NO WAY AROUND IT" THEY (DELTA + SHAREBUILDER) CAN NOT STEAL MY STOCK, IT HAS TO BE HONORED

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

THE APPEALS BROKE THE LAW BY DOING THE FOLLOWING: ① THEY DENIED MY 2255 SEVERAL YEARS BACK STATING THERE WAS NO CONSTITUTIONAL DEFECT ② DOCKET NUM. 18-1260 GOT DENIED EVEN AFTER THE GOV'T DEFAULTED, WHICH MANDATED THE COURT TO RULE FOR ME, BY LAW ③ DOCKET NUM. 18-1562 THE APPEALS COURT GAVE THE GOV'T 2 MONTHS TO RESPOND WHEN THEIR ONLY ALLOWED 21 DAYS. AND AS FOR 1) MY 2255 I WAS INNOCENT AND I SAT IN JAIL FOR 8 MONTHS WHEN LAW STATES THEY HAVE TO HAVE TRIAL OR RELEASE YOU WITHIN 70 DAYS

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

ROGER WILSON

Date: 7/11/18