

No. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ANTRONE ARNESS THOMAS – PETITIONER

vs.

JUDGE WILKINSON ET AL. – RESPONDENTS

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

ANTRONE ARNESS THOMAS

173 NORTH LAURNUM AVE. #3

RICHMOND, VA 23223

(804) 351-5183

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Ought I have right to a jury trial? Also a civil action due to my State criminal conviction being reversed?

LIST OF PARTIES

- All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows: Harvie Wilkinson III, Barbara Milano Keenan and Clyde H. Hamilton.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	3
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	4
CONCLUSION.....	4

INDEX TO APPENDICES

Appendix A	Order of U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit Denying Rehearing
Appendix B	Stay of Mandate of U.S. Court of Appeals
Appendix C	Judgment of U.S. Court of Appeals Affirmation
Appendix D	Unpublished Per Curiam Opinion of U.S. District Court
Appendix E	Decision of Service of a Summons
Appendix F	Order of U.S. District Court Dismissal
Appendix G	Memorandum of U.S. District Court
Appendix H	Notice, Circuit Court for Talbot County Stet/Nolle Prosequi/Dismissal
Appendix I	Letter, Krause & Ferris Attorneys at Law Opinion

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

Case	Page
The State of Maryland vs. Antrone A. Thomas Case Number: 20-K-09-009453F.....	2

STATUTE AND RULES

The Constitution of the United States of America, Amendment VII [1791].....	3
28 U.S. Code § 1331 – Federal Question.....	3

OTHER

Letter, Krause & Ferris, Attorneys at Law; August 31, 2015.....	4
---	---

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix D to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: June 4, 2018, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. _____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was June 26, 2015. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix H.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. _____ A _____.

The jurisdiction of the Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

United States Constitution, Amendment VII [1791]; In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise reexamined in any court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The plaintiff Antrone Arness Thomas, Pro Se filed a pristine civil action in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland¹. Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis. Granted by J. Frederick Motz March 31, 2017, including confirmation of a served summons to the defendant (see Appendix E).

Mr. Thomas also requested a jury² pursuant to (requirements authorized by the Clerk of the Court for filing) the civil docket sheet signed September 6, 2016 and Amendment VII of the United States Constitution.

¹ The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States (June 25, 1984, ch. 646, 62 Stat. 930; Pub. L. 85-554, § 1, July 25, 1958, 72 Stat. 415; Pub. L. 94-574, § 2, Oct. 21, 1976, 90 Stat. 2721; Pub. L. 96-486, § 2(a), Dec. 1, 1980, 94 Stat. 2369.).

² JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 07/16) Civil Cover Sheet; Case 1:16-cv-03112-JFM Document 1-1 Filed 09/09/16 Page 2 of 2.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The Court should affirm review of Mr. Thomas' petition for a writ of certiorari. Based on the facts that the U.S. District Court nor the attorneys for the appellee dare to challenge his right to a jury. Also due to the mitigating opinion³ of the defendant's assistance of counsel (see Appendix I).

³ "it is clear to me that your conviction has been reversed,".

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Antoine A. Thomas Pro Se

Date: July 9, 2018