

No. _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON DC 20543

FERNANDO YATES — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

WEST UNITED COSTS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

APPELLATE COURT SAN FRANCISCO CA DIVISION
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

FERNANDO YATES
(Your Name)

2800 BRADEN AVE. AP72
(Address)

MODESTO CA 95356
(City, State, Zip Code)

916 4124770
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

WHY THE US COURT AND APPEALS
COURT SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
RULED AGAINST PETITIONER,
WHEN DEFENDANT CLEARLY
DISCRIMINATED AGAINST
PETITIONER DUE TO HIS
BRAIN SURGERY?

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	SUPREME COURT SAN FRANCISCO BARRED
APPENDIX B	REQUEST FOR REVIEW
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

I DO NOT KNOW

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was DEC 28, 2017.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

VIOLATION OF PETITIONER
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS BECAUSE
OF HIS BRAIN SURGERY
DISCRIMINATION AND RETALIATION
FOR PLAINTIFF'S DISABILITY

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

PLEASE REVIEW APPENDIX A

PETITIONER WAS DISCRIMINATED AND RETALIATED

BY THE WEST COSTA UNITED SCHOOL DISTRICT
IN VIOLATION OF THE AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES

ACT. PETITIONER WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DISTRICT

ON OR ABOUT FEBRUARY 2014, HE RECEIVED

EXTREMELY POSITIVE LETTER FROM HIS SUPERVISOR
(EXHIBIT 1)

ON OR ABOUT OCTOBER 2014, THE DISTRICT

BECAME AWARE OF PETITIONER'S DISABILITY
AND BEGAN A CAMPAIGN OF DISCRIMINATION

AGAINST HIM, AND RETALIATED AGAINST HIM

DEMOTING HIM TO A SUBSTITUTE POSITION
(EXHIBITS 2, 3, 6, 9) WHEN HE COMPLAINED
BEFORE THE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

BESIDES THAT, THE COURT ACCEPTED DEFENDANT'S
PERJURED DECLARATIONS. LEGAL STANDARD

ON MRS. COTTON (WEST COSTA USED
HR DIRECTOR) PAGE 2, LINE 19 TO 24 SHE

COMMITTED PERJURY. THE (PETITIONER) DID

NOT RETURN THE COMPLETED DOCUMENT

AND THE DISTRICT HAS NEVER RECEIVED A

COMPLETED AND SIGNED LETTER OF RESIGNATION

FROM HIM. ON THE NEXT PAGE CTA REP

MEMO CLEARLY CONFIRMS ^{THAT} SHE RECEIVED

PETITIONER'S LETTER OF RESIGNATION.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

BECAUSE PETITIONER
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS WERE
VIOLATED AND HE WAS
DISCRIMINATED AND
RETAILIATED BY WEST
COUNTY COSTS UNIFIED
SCHOOL DISTRICT


CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "J. G. ...", written over a horizontal line.

Date:

A handwritten date "MARCH 1, 2018" written in a stylized, bold font, with the "1" being particularly large and prominent.