

No. _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

WASLINGTON DC 20543

FERNANDO YATES — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

MOJAVE UNITS CSD VS. MOJAVE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

APPEAL COURT SAN FRANCISCO CA DIVISION
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

FERNANDO YATES

(Your Name)

2800 BRADEN AVE. AP72

(Address)

MODESTO CA 95356

(City, State, Zip Code)

916 4124770

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

WHY THE US COURT AND APPEALS
COURT SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
RULED AGAINST PETITIONER,
WHEN DEFENDANT CLEARLY
DISCRIMINATED AGAINST
PETITIONER DUE TO HIS
BRAIN SURGERY?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

SUPREME COURT SAN FRANCISCO BANC
REQUEST for REVIEW

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

I do not know

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was DEC 28, 2017.

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

VIOLENTM OF PETITIONER
CONSTITUTIONAL R16 DS BECAUSE
OF HIS BURN SURGERY
DISCRIMINATION AND RETENTION
FOR PLAINTIFF'S DISABILITY

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

POLICE REVIEW APPENDIX A

PETITIONER WAS DISCRIMINATED AND RETALIATED

BY THE WEST CENTRAL CONFEDERATED SCHOOL DISTRICT
IN VIOLATION OF THE AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT.

PETITIONER WAS EMPLOYED BY THE DISTRICT
ON OR ABOUT FEBRUARY 2014, HE RECEIVED
EXTREMELY POSITIVE LETTER FROM HIS SUPERVISOR
BECAUSE AWARE OF PETITIONER'S DISABILITY
AND BECAUSE A CAMPAIGN OF DISCRIMINATION
AGAINST HIM, AND RETALIATED AGAINST HIM
DEMONSTRATING HIM, A SUBJECT POSITION
(EXHIBITS 2, 3, 6, 9) WHEN HE COMPLAINED
BEFORE THE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
BESESIDES THAT, THE COURT ACCEPTED DEFENDANT'S
PERJURIED DECLARATIONS. LEGAL STANDARD

DEMONSTRATING HIM, A SUBJECT POSITION
(EXHIBIT 1) A SUBSTIMATE POSITION
(EXHIBITS 2, 3, 6, 9) WHEN HE COMPLAINED
BEFORE THE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
BESESIDES THAT, THE COURT ACCEPTED DEFENDANT'S
PERJURIED DECLARATIONS. LEGAL STANDARD

ON MARCH 2014, THE DISTRICT
BECAUSE AWARE OF PETITIONER'S DISABILITY
AND BECAUSE A CAMPAIGN OF DISCRIMINATION
AGAINST HIM, AND RETALIATED AGAINST HIM
DEMONSTRATING HIM, A SUBJECT POSITION
(EXHIBITS 2, 3, 6, 9) WHEN HE COMPLAINED
BEFORE THE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION
BESESIDES THAT, THE COURT ACCEPTED DEFENDANT'S
PERJURIED DECLARATIONS. LEGAL STANDARD


REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

BECUSE PETITIONER
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS WERE
VIOLATED AND HE WAS
DISCRIMINATED AND
RETALIATED BY WEST
CITYS COUNCIL
SCHOOL DISTRICT

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John Doe". It is written in a cursive style with a long, sweeping line on the left and a more compact, enclosed area on the right.

Date: March 1, 2018