

U.S. District Court

United States District Court for the District of Connecticut

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 8/14/2017 at 10:21 AM EDT and filed on 8/14/2017

Case Name: Seniw v. Connecticut General Assembly et al

Case Number: 3:17-cv-01347-MPS

Filer:

Document Number: 4(No document attached)

Docket Text:

ORDER. The [2] Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis is **GRANTED**. However, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a) requires that a complaint "must contain a short and plain statement of the ground for the court's jurisdiction," "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief," and "a demand for the relief sought." After reviewing the [1] complaint, construing all allegations in the pro se plaintiff's favor, the Court is unable to determine the plaintiff's claim for jurisdiction, claim for relief, or relief sought. The Administrative Procedure Act, under which the plaintiff alleges jurisdiction, does not allow for federal court review of state agency decisions. The complaint is therefore **DISMISSED** without prejudice. The plaintiff should file an amended complaint, fixing these defects, within 21 days, if he wishes. Otherwise, the Court will dismiss the action with prejudice. Signed by Judge Michael P. Shea on 8/14/2017. (Mac Dougall, S.)

3:17-cv-01347-MPS Notice has been electronically mailed to:

3:17-cv-01347-MPS Notice has been delivered by other means to:

Bohdan G. Seniw
c/o Seflin Lee, 230 Henry Ave.
Stratford, CT 06614

Appendix C.

U.S. District Court

United States District Court for the District of Connecticut

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 9/7/2017 at 10:44 AM EDT and filed on 9/7/2017

Case Name: Seniw v. Connecticut General Assembly et al

Case Number: 3:17-cv-01347-MPS

Filer:

WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 08/14/2017

Document Number: 6(No document attached)

Docket Text:

ORDER. The plaintiff has filed a [5] Amended Complaint. However, this amended complaint does not address the jurisdictional issues that the Court [4] noted with respect to his [1] original complaint. Namely, the amended complaint does not "contain a short and plain statement of the ground for the court's jurisdiction," "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief," and "a demand for the relief sought" as required by Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 8(a). As such, the Court is unable to determine the plaintiff's claim for jurisdiction, claim for relief, or relief sought. The plaintiff still appears to be asking asking this Court to review a state agency decision, which this Court does not have jurisdiction to do. Therefore, the [5] Amended Complaint is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. Signed by Judge Michael P. Shea on 9/7/2017. (Mac Dougall, S.)

3:17-cv-01347-MPS Notice has been electronically mailed to:

3:17-cv-01347-MPS Notice has been delivered by other means to:

Bohdan G. Seniw
c/o Seflin Lee, 230 Henry Ave.
Stratford, CT 06614

D. Conn.
17-cv-1347
Shea, J.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 29th day of March, two thousand eighteen.

Present:

Robert A. Katzmann,
Chief Judge,
John M. Walker, Jr.,
Circuit Judge,
Victor A. Bolden,*
District Judge.

Bohdan G. Seniw,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

17-3369

Connecticut General Assembly, Individual Capacity and Official Capacity, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appellant, pro se, moves for “issuance of the mandate.” Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion is DENIED and the appeal is DISMISSED because it lacks an arguable basis in law or fact. *See Pillay v. INS*, 45 F.3d 14, 16 (2d Cir. 1995); *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989).

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

A circular seal of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit is stamped over the signature. The seal contains the text "UNITED STATES", "SECOND CIRCUIT", and "COURT OF APPEALS".

* Judge Victor A. Bolden, of the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, sitting by designation.

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT**

At a Stated Term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 8th day of November, two thousand and seventeen,

Bohdan G. Seniw,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

Connecticut General Assembly, Individual Capacity and Official Capacity, Marilyn Moore, Senator, Christopher Rosario, House of Representative, Edwin Gomes, Senator, Individual Capacity and Official Capacity, John Doe, 631, Jane Doe, 631, Nancy Wyman, President of the Senate, Joe Aresimowicz, Speaker of the House of Representative,

Defendants - Appellees.

A notice of appeal was filed on October 16, 2017. The Appellant's Acknowledgment and Notice of Appearance Form due November 3, 2017 has not been filed. The case is deemed in default of FRAP 12(b), and LR 12.3.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal will be dismissed effective November 29, 2017 if the Acknowledgment and Notice of Appearance Form is not filed by that date.

For The Court:
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe,
Clerk of Court




**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
for the
SECOND CIRCUIT**

At a Stated Term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 8th day of November, two thousand and seventeen,

Bohdan G. Seniw,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

Connecticut General Assembly, Individual Capacity and Official Capacity, Marilyn Moore, Senator, Christopher Rosario, House of Representative, Edwin Gomes, Senator, Individual Capacity and Official Capacity, John Doe, 631, Jane Doe, 631, Nancy Wyman, President of the Senate, Joe Aresimowicz, Speaker of the House of Representative,

Defendants - Appellees.

A notice of appeal was filed on October 16, 2017. Appellant's Form D-P was due October 30, 2017. The case is deemed in default.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal will be dismissed effective November 29, 2017 if the form is not filed by that date.

For The Court:
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe,
Clerk of Court

 