

September 27, 2018

**VIA HAND DELIVERY**

Scott S. Harris, Esq.  
Clerk of the Court  
Supreme Court of the United States  
1 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20543

Re: *Cnty of Orange, Cal., et al. v. Gordon*, Case No. 18-337

Dear Mr. Harris,

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.4, Respondent Mary Gordon respectfully requests a 30-day extension of time to file a response to the petition for a writ of certiorari in the above-captioned matter to November 14, 2018. Ms. Gordon requests this extension because she only recently retained undersigned counsel to represent her *pro bono* before this Court and counsel has numerous filing deadlines which would otherwise prevent him from providing the sort of comprehensive analysis that would aid this Court in determining whether to grant certiorari.

Ms. Gordon has not previously sought an extension of time from this court. Petitioner's petition for writ of certiorari was docketed on September 14, 2018. The time for filing a response to the petition would therefore expire on October 15, 2018, absent an extension.

Mr. Shapiro, undersigned counsel, has a number of substantial competing commitments, including:

- An opening brief in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in *Mills v. Mitchell*, No. 18-15531, due October 5, 2018;
- An opening brief in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit in *Canady v. Hodes*, No. 18-6885, due October 9, 2018; and
- A merits amicus brief in support of respondent in *Nieves v. Bartlett*, No. 17-1174, due October 9, 2019.

Scott Harris  
September 27, 2018  
Page 2

For these reasons, Ms. Gordon respectfully requests that the time to file a response to the petition for writ of certiorari be extended to and including November 14, 2018.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David M. Shapiro".

David M. Shapiro

cc: Shelton Franklin Harrell Jr. (via U.S. Mail)